

## ABSTRACT

Institutional research that focuses on profe'ssional development is addressed in 35 papers from the 1982 meeting of the North East Association for Institutional Research. Titles and autfors include the following: "Modeling College Student Adjustment and Retention for the Individual Institution" (Norman D. Aitken); "The Development Saga of an Institutional Research Database at a State College" (Edward L. Belaney); "Projecting Enrollments at Small Colleges (The Role of the Institutional Researcher)" (Randall. Drapert; "A Model of University Tenure Decision-Making: Some Additional Results" (Winship C. Fuller, Susan A. Goodwin); "Microcomputers in Institutional Research" (Leah R. Hutten); "Strategic planning: Working with Department Chairs" (Antoinette Iadarola); "The Design and Implementation of an Evening Student Survey: Methodological Issues and Practical Considerations" (Linda Lyons); "Developing a Composite of Institutional Reputation and Assessing Its Impact upon Selected Student Behaviors" (John P. Mandryk); "Improving Faculty Use of Student Outcomes Informatión" (Sidney S. Micek); Sexual Inequity in Career Choice: How Can. Colleges Help?" (Jean V. Morlock); and "Comparative Fiscal Analysis in Higher Education" (David L. 'Rumpf).: (SW)
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The Ninth Annual Conference of the North East Association for Instituitiönal "Research was held October 17-19, 1982, at the New Èngland Center, Durham, New Hampshire. The conference theme, "Doing Institutional Research: A Focus on Professional Development" drew, $167^{\circ}$ participants. .

The Call for Papers produced a large number of proposals, which made possible a wide variety of topics and presentation formats.. The program consisted of one'symposium, three professjonal development seminars, five panels, and 38 paper preseritations. The three workshops were well attended and provided an opportunity for members to choose among attractive alternatives. The Conference Evaluation indicatedian increase in the "pertinence of, paper presentations," a tribute to those who have contributed their work for others to shàre.

John McCredie presented a relevant keynote address on Sundáy evening. Mr. McCredie is President of EDUCOM and an active institutional researcher. He described various strategies for campus computing; drawing on recent comprehensive studies at a number of diffewnt jnstitutions.

We hope that you will find the material in the Ninth Proceedings to be stimulating and informative, In reading the reports you may see some references to appended materials'that are not included. The need to keep the . papers within certain page limitations precludes the inclusion of excessive tables, copies of questionnaires, etc. Please feel free to contact the individuals who submitted the papers for this additional information. : The Association is grateful to Bob Lay (Boston College) for his excellent job as Chair of the Conference Program Committee.- John Kraus, Local. Arrangements Chair (University of New Hampshire) and his able staff were responsible for a particularly well run conference.

The papers included in the Proceedings are those submitted for publica-' tion and do not cover all the presentations made at the conference. Apprecilation is due all who contributed their time as moderators, presenters, and panelists.
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MODELING COLLEGE STUDENT'ADJUSTMENT AND ${ }^{\text {RETENTION FOR THE INDIVIDUAL INSTITUTION }}$

Norman D. Aitken
Dept. of Economics
University of Massachusetts

Individual institutions of higher learning have become increasingly interested in systematically examining the determinants of student adjustmen't and retention on their own campuses. This paper, addresses some of the issues an institutional researcher is likely to face in attempting to construct both a methodologically sound model of retention and yet one which is of practical value to the institution itself. In other words, the paper : will examine both the science and art of model building as it pertains to institutionally based studies of college student retention.

STRUCTURAL MODEL
We begin by defining a college student retention model as a logical representation of the existing theory of student. adjustment and reaction to the college experience as it affects the ultimate outcome of whether or. not the student continues (or completes) her/his education at articular institution. The model-will normally consist of, a serieps of structural equations, which are defined as equation's which specify the detạiled behavioral relationships hypothesized by the theory without exploiting. pos'sibilities of algebraic simplification. Each equation on the structural.", model, therefore, will normally hypothesize a direct-causal re]ationship. This in turn means that the model will provide a framework through which one can explicitly trace the effects.of a change in any variable or paragneter through the theory's behavioral relationships. A structural model,
therefore, istands in sharp contrast to a-single predictive equation, where. retentioh is made to depend upon a series of explanatory variables which are justified at mest on an ad hoc basis. Not only is the latter type of equation likely to produce unreliable results, but it is almost impossible to use for policy, evaluation since there is no behàioral structure withinn which to observe the effect of a policy change.

Obstacles to Model Estimation

- Deśpite the desirability of structural models, the following obstacles need to be overcome by the institutional researcher who would like to estimate such. a model for his or her institution:
(1) Absence of a well-defined and generally accepted theory of student adjustment and retention.
(2) The need to modify any general theory of retention to fit the unique characteristies and specific needs of the individual institution.
(3) The need to find operational measures of theoretical variables.
(4) Identification of the most effective statistical techniques for estimating the models parameters.

The objeçtive of this paper is to discuss methods by which the above obstacles can be overcome.

## Genera] Theory of Student Retention

While there may be no well-defined general theory of student retention, there is sufficient agreement in the literature about the basic determinants of retention to allow the construction of at least a simple structural model: For example, the major writers on the subject tend to agree that retention is largely determined by the successful integration of the student into the intellectual and social systems of the institution. (Spady, 1970; Tinto; 1975): Each of these major sumation aspects of a student's
experience is, in turn, determined by'a combination of factors, including individual attributes, family background of the student and student interaction with both peers and faculty.

Based on a revised and somewhat condensed version of Tinto's theory of retention, we have specified the following modei. It is presented by way of illustration only and is not intended as a comprehensive model of retention

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Retention }(R)=a_{0}+a_{1} A I+a_{2} S I+u_{a}  \tag{1}\\
& \text { Academic. Integration }(A I)=b_{0}+b_{1} G P+b_{2} I D+u_{b}  \tag{2}\\
& \text { Social Integration }(S I)=c_{0}+c_{1} P G I+c_{2} F I+c_{3} P+u_{c}  \tag{3}\\
& \text { Grade Performance }(G P)=d_{0}+d_{1} I A+d_{2} F S+d_{3} P G S+d_{4} F C+u_{d} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

The variables ón the left-hand side of the equal signs are endogenous (i.e., determined with in the model) while all other variables in the equations are exogenous (determined outside the model). The lower case letters ( $a_{0} \ldots a_{5} ; b_{0} \ldots b_{6}$, etc.) are parameters (to be estimated empirically) while the u's are random error terms.

For equation (1), student retention by a specific institution $(R)$ is assumed, to be a function of the degree to which the student is integrated into the academic (AI) and social (SI) systems of the institution. Equation/(2) specifies that academic integration is determined by grade ". performance (GP) and intellectual development (ID) which is definéd as the student's "evaluation of the academic system" (Tinto, 1975, p. 104). For equation (3) social integration (SI) is a function of the extent of peer interaction (PGI), the extent of interaction with faculty (FI) and the $<$ student's (érsonality (P). Finalily, for equation (4), grade performance (GP.) is assumed to be determined by the intelleçtual ability of the
student (IA.), the degree of family support for the student completing a college education (FS), the degree of peer group support for intellectual and academic activities (PGS), and the degree of close personal contact with faculty. around intellectial or academic issues (FC).

APPLIED MODEL
Which Type of Retention?
Having specified a generai theoretical model of retention, the next step in the process is to convert the general model into an applied model which fits the unique characteristics and specific needs of the individual institution. In order to achieve this objective, we shall have to simultaneously overcome obstacles two and three listed above: 'One of the fipst decisions an institution willahave to make is whether it wants to focus on voluntary dropouts or whether its retention equation is to account for both voluntary withdrawal and academic dismissals. If the voluntary withdrawal model is chosen, then the study sample to be used to estimate the model's statistical parameters would excludé all students dismistsed from the institution for academic reasons. If the model is to explain the total loss of students, including academic dismissals, then not only would the study sample incede all types of student withdrawals, but it will also require the introduction of a new:independent variable in equation (1). Since students could be-torged to leave. the' college or university solely because they did not meet a certain grade requirement, a dummy variable reflecting the grade requirement should be introduced as an independentvariable.

- Th\& advantage of the latter approach is that the relative size of the ."Meet GPR Requiremênt" coefficient would indicate the relative importance
of académic dismissal jn explaining 'the overall loss of students, while át pthe same time standardizing for, the effects of academic dismissal in testing for the significance of the other variables that explain voluntary with drawal. The equation, therefore, Sprovides a compact summary of the inste" tution*s overall retention situation, and if it wece to be estimated for a series of years, changes in the relative importance of causes would be : revealed by corresponding changes in coefficients.


## Retention Equation

Once the type of model is decide on, the researcher must then examine the other independent variablef in equation (I) and see how they might best. be measured. Because academic integration and social integration are abstract theoretical variables, the researcher may decide to settle for redefined proxy variables which are, easiorr to measure, such äs äcademic satisfaction and social satisfaction. Assuming the given institution adopts the more comprehensive retention model and makes the specified changes, the applied version of equation (1), would then appear as follows:

$$
\text { Retention }(R)=a_{0}+a_{1} A S+a_{2} S ' S+a_{3} M G P A+u_{a}
$$

Where the dependent varịable, retention ( $R$ ), is a 'dummy variạble which takes the value one if the student is retained by the institution and, a value of zero if the student withdraws or is dismissed from the institution. $A S$ and the $S S$ are survey response measures 'for each individual student in the-study, which-measure satisfaction with their academic and social experience. Finally, MGPA; another dummy variable, takes the value of one if the student has, met the institution's minimum grade point average and the value of zero if the student has not achjeved the required minimum.

In constructing the applied version of equation (2), academic integration will obviously need to be replaced by academic satisfaction. In terms of the independent variables, GP is easily measured by student grade point'. average, but intellectual deyelopment may prove impossible ta, measure.
$\therefore$ Since intellectal development is supposed to measure a student's overall evaluation of the academic system, it may be possible to substitute a series of variables for ID, each of which measures the student's evaluation of a specific part or component of the academic environment. In addition, the researcher may want to add additional variables to account for special programs which might have a favorable impact on a student's satisfaction with his or her. academic experience, such.as an honors prosidam, academid: dormitory, or varíous types of acaḑemically based extracurricular activities : (e.g. .language club or debate team), In the latter case, the equation serves, as a test of the effectiveness of various types of programs which. constitute the policy of the institution. The following equation is $*$ representative of the typè of equation which might be specified.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Academic Satisfaction (AS) }= & b_{0}+b_{1} G P A+b_{2} C R+b_{3} A A R+b_{4} L R+ \\
& \cdot b_{5} H P+b_{6} A D+b_{7} A E A+u_{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

Where AS represents overall student satisfaction with the -academic program and is measured as a survey response item and GPA is measured by . the student's grade point average. CR, AAR, and LR represent sṭudent ratings of the curriculum, academic advising, and library. facilities and they presumably would also be measured via a survey. HP, AD, and AEA are dumy variables which measure whether or not the student is participating.

- in the ho program, livíng in an academic domitory, and participating in a
academic extracurricular activity, respectively.


## Social Satisfaction

While student satisfaction with their social experience may be largely determined by the extent of positive interaction with peers, the interaction 'itsel foch take place in several different types of environments which the researcher may wish to identify in the model. Specifically, the interaction can take place (1) through academic or class rom related activity (egg. study groups), (2) through participation in extracurricular activities, or (3) within the residence hall system. Since each type of interaction. may have a different type or degree of effect on student reaction to both their social and academic experience, it is not entirely clear how student social experiences can be most effectively modeled.

It may depend primarily on the way students conceptually aggregate the various types of social experiences into meaningful whole when they cons sciously evaluate the institution. © types of social experience into one overall reaction on evaluation of their social experience; $\mathrm{pe} \overline{\mathrm{r}}$ se, or ${ }^{\text {do }}$ they incorporate the relevant social experience into their evaluation of the academic, residential living, and. extracurricular experience? The second hypothesis implies a very different type of model than the first and the choice between the two alternatives will need to be decided by additional empirical work. Because the first hypothesis ts consistent with Tinto"s theory, it has been used as the basis for formulating the following equation. The alternative approach, however, has also been used elsewhere. (Aitken, 1982)

Social Satisfaction (SS) $=c_{0}+c_{1} R H S R+c_{2} A S R+c_{3} E S R+c_{4} F S R+$

$$
\dot{c}_{5} S 0+u_{c}
$$

Where student satisfaction with their total social experience (SS) is determined by satisfaction with residence hall social relations (RHSR), pademic social relations (ASR), extracurricular social relations (ESR), - social relations with faculty (FSR), and some measure of thenstudents degree of optimism (SO) in lieu of a more comprehensive measure of student personality, All specified variables should be measured as survey responses. The researcher may also wish to include additional variables to measure the impact of counseling seprvices or orientation programs offered by the institution for the purpose of improving student social relations.

Grade Performance
Gragde performance is perhaps the easiest equation to convert to the applied form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
G P A .= & d_{0}+d_{1} S A T V+d_{2} S A T M+d_{3} H S R+d_{4} P E+d_{5} P G S+d_{6} F C+d_{7} H P+. \\
& d_{8} A D+d_{9} A E A+d_{d} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Where student grade point average (GPA) is a function of SAT verbal âdnd. math scores and high school rank (HSR) as measures of intellectual ability, educational level of parents (PE) as a proxy variable for parental support of students' academic goals, peer group support for academic activity (PGS) and degree of contact with faculty around academic issues (FC); with the last two variables being meàsured by survey responses. Fínally, additional variables may be added to account for student participation in various programs which may improve academic performance. The variables previously included in'the academic satisfaction equation have been included here as well (i.e. participation in the honors program (HP), academic.dormitory (AD), and academic extracurricular activities (AEA).

## Additional, Equations

The model need not be limited to the four basic equations derived from; the theoretical model, but could be expanded by choosing one or more
independent variables for use as a dependent variable in a new equation. (e.g. the effect of typéof residence hall on residence hall soctial relations might be explored by specifying an additional equation with RHSR as the dependent variable.) Consequently, the institutional researcher has the option of expanding the model to cover areas or issues of primary concern to the institution.

## Statistical Estimation

The parameters of the model (e.g. the lower case letters listed in the above equations) can be estimated through the use of multiple regression. analysis which is both described in a number of standard statistical works (e.g. Kane, 1968) and is included as a program in the Statistical Package for the Social Science (1975)."

In' the event that the specified model contains one or more sets of simultaneous equations, special types of regression analysis may be required. (Anderson and Evans, 1974) Where the model is characterized by a one way 'flow of causal influence among the dependent variables, like' the models specified above, estimates of the models' parameters can be obtained'by the use of ordinary least squares regression.

## CONCLUSION

Despite the lack of a comprehensive general theory of college student retention, it should be possible for the institutional researcher to develop and empirically estimate a model of college student retention which is not only methodologically sound but also capable of capturing the unique features of the specific institution. The latter feature; in turn, means that the model may eventually be used for policy evaluation and simulation.
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A Comparison of Minority and Nonminority Faculty Perceptions of Career Opportunities 'at Penn State

Kenneth W. Boras Planning Analyst
G. Gregory Lozier Associate Director Office of Planning and Budget The Pennsylvania State University

INTRODUCTION
3.

Faculty hiring and promotion practices have undergone substantial changes over the last 25 years. These changes have resulted in part from the passage of over 45 pieces of legislation designed to ensure equal opportunity for minorities and women. Most of these laws, and several executive orders, have been extended to include institutions of higher educatiom Given these mandates, many colleges and universities have sought to strengthen affirmative action programs to improve recruitment, hiring, and professional advancement opportunities for women and minority faculty members.

The process of change takes time. According to Braithwaite and Beatty (1981), in 1978, all minority groups combined comprised 16.1 percent of the total U. S. population. Yet, they constituted only 1 percent of all recipients of J.D., M.D., and Ph.D. degrees and 3.percent of the recipients of the master's degrees. This small group was responsible for approximately 7 percent of the research 'knowledge, production and utilization effort--pnimarily concentrated in education and social science fields.' Estimates for 1976' revealed that blacks represented about 4.4 percent of the total higher, education faculty and that black males held 1.7 percent of full professorships: Despite all the regislation, the achievement of equal employment opportanity in higher education has been slow.

Current pressures ò institutions stemming from the projected deeline in enrollments and the realities of financial stringency are resulting in fewer new faculty positions: In addition to these pressures, a recent article in The Chronicle of Higher Education ( 1981 ) aimplies that the Reagan Administration is altering the federal government's approach ta affirmative action by adopting "voluntary compliance" as a means of achieving affirmative action goals. This change in direction, it is feared, may retard the progress that affirmative action programs have produced.

Steps 'have been taken by some institutions to assess thefr effectiveness in creating an environment conducive to equitable access and advancement of faculty and professional. staff. For example, after identifying a disproportionate rate of attrition for female faculty members as compared to men, Dartmouth College initiated a survey of junior faculty to determine the factors contributing to this occurrence (1978). In another project, the American Institute for Research, funded by a grant from the Carnegie Corporation of New York, developed an Lnstitutional Self-Study Guide on Sex Equity to "evaluate the extent and effects of inequitable treatment by sex and race" (n.d.).

Penn State, like other institutions, is concerned with encouraging access to its academic ranks by minorities and women. In accordance with Executive Order 11246, the University established an Affirmative Action Office reporting to the University Provost in 1972. Over the next few years, several studies were conducted to explore reasons why minorities, blacks in particular, left the University.

In November 1981, the Forum on Black Affairs at The Pennsyivania State, University submitted a report to the University President entitled "Bucking' the Wend--Toward the Development of a Program to Stabilize and. Expand the Number of 81 ack Faculty, Staff and Graduate Students at The Pennsylvaniá State University" (1981). The report Showed that. from 1975 to 1980 the
number of full-time faculty declined by 5.9 percent while the numbèr of black faculty declined by 11.9 percent. One outcome of the Forum's report was a decision to conduct a faculty survey to assess factors militating against more successful hiring and retention of minority faculty.

METHODOLOGY
The basic problem which the survey was designed to address was the identification of circumstances which restrict black and other minority faculty accesss, to and professional advancement within Penn State. During initial survey development efforts, a number of questions surfaced regarding' géneral career development: How do faculty hear about a position at Penn State? Why do they come to Penn State? What were their expectations? How are they treated professionally and personally by members of their departments? Is a mentóring relationship important? From these general questions eight survey areas were \{dentified: recruitment; promotion and tenure; mentoring; inclusion, acceptance and recognition; feedback and evaluation; role in.university service; professional opportunities; and affirmative action. Between five and 15 descriptive statements were prepared for each. ajea. For each statement, respondents had the choice of selecting one of six possible responses, from l--strongly disagree.to 6 --strongly agree. For explanatory purposes, a 3.65 average response (which falls in the 3.50 to 4.49 range) was interpreted as almost "moderately àgree" ( $4.0^{\circ}$ ), ' while a 4.25 response, (in the same range) was referred to as .more than " "moderately agree." The general format of the "Bucking the Trend" survey was adopted from. the . Dartmouth College survey of junior faculty (1978): Pripr to condatiting the $\therefore$ survey, an instrument pretest was conducted with a sample of 22 . faculty member's.
$\because$ The faculty response groups selected for analysis were: , Blacks, other minorities (Asian, Hispanics, American Indians and others) and a proportionately representative sample of nonminorities. The sample of nonminorities was selected to mirror proportionately. the social and academic characteristics portrayed by the two minority populations compared to the total population of nonminority faculty: The survey was sent to all 179 minority faculty at. Penn 1. State and a representative nonminority sample of 323 faculty. Approximately 80 percent of all surveys were returned--139 minority faculty and 21 nenminority faculty surveys. The minority faculty response group distribution according to the survey's categories included: 67 percent (93) Asian, 19 percent (26) Black, 10 percent ( r 4 ) Hispanic, 4 percent (5) minority faculty who did not specify one of the prescribed racial groups, and i percent (1) American Indian.

Two basic statistical y The chili square procedure was used to identify differences when frequency distribution data were developed. - In addition, analysis of variance (AOV) was 'used to measure differences in average responses. The ${ }^{\circ} .05$ level of significance was used to determine whether or not the differences were significant. 'Where AOV procedures yielded significant differences, the post hoc Duncan'. test was applied to measure differences among the groups: The Duncan procedure 'requires equal sample sizes; however', a harmonic mean can be used for analytical purposes when cell sizes are unequal. The Statistical Analysis Systems (SAS) computer package was employed to perform these statistical analyses.

FINOINGS.AND OVERVIEW OF FACULTY GROUP RESPONSES
Although the survey uncovered many -differences in, perceptions between black, other minority, and nonminority respondents, on nearly 60 percent of the survey items, the survey respondents were in relative agreement. Black faculty responses differed significantly from nonminority and other minority
faculty on approximately 40 percent and 30 percent, respectively, of the questionnaire items. . However, the group of other minority faculty differed from nonminority faculty on only $\overrightarrow{15}$ percent of the questionnaire items. In a statistical sense; the group of other minority faculty resembled more closely 'the responses of nonminority faculty than black faculty. . The major focus of the discussion is directed, therefore, on those items on which black faculty responses differed significantly from nonminority faculty, with additional consideration given to items on which both black and other minority faculty differed significantly from nomminortty faculty.

There also seemed to be consistency throughout the Eurvey on' the various statements on which the individual groups agreed or disagreed. . By looking ft a group's responses across the several sections of the sürvey, noting particularly where one group differed from the others, it was possithle to develop an overview for each group. All differences cited are statistically significant. Nonminority Faculty.

The nonminority group tended to agree more than minority faculty with items in the survey which state that a condition of equality exists regardless of race or sex. For example, the nonminority group.generally believed that their departments are doing what they can to provide equal access, and opportunity for all faculty. Where the nonminority faculy were less in agrement with a survey item, the focus was on departmental activity. For example, nonmingrity faćulty perceived that department heads the an active role in recruiting minorities (4.2), but they recognized also thàt minority faculty are not always utilized to help find other. minority faculty when new positions are open (3.42). The nonminority group only moderately agreed about the likelinood that a mentoring system wơuld be useful in order to advance professionally (4.32) or that annual performance appraisals provide them with valuable and. coñstructịve information (3.57).

Interms of the professional environment, nonminority facklty agreed that their ideas are'valued (4.54) and exchanged within thepartment. In addition, they perceived that colleagues consult with (4.85) and are consulted by (4:87) minorities in the department. Nonminority respondents spent

- les's of thèir time than black respondents in university service. Nonminorities agreed that all members of their department are squally encouraged in their pursuits 'of' promotion and tenure:and haye equal access to sabbaticals and other competitive university opportunities; they perceived that the department is activè of equal abilities.

In a capsule, the nonminority faculty sample consi'stently pointed out that their departments are trying to provide an equitabile environment for professional:access and advancement.

Other Minority Faculty
Other minority, faculty (e.g.; Asians and Hi'spanics) had significantly different responses 'from the nonmingtity' sample on a few items which dealt. , with recruitment; inclusion, acceptance and recognition; professional opportunity; and affimative action. For example, a greater proportion of other minority faculty than nonminority faculty agreed that access to new faculty positions may be limited due to the fact that minority facuity are not likely to be used to contact other minority candidates-(2.86 other minority and 4.00 nonminority). Lh addition, other minority faculty only moderately agreed (4.17) while nonminority responderits agreed (4.87) that minority and nortminority colleagues consult with one another. othẹr minority respondents tended to agree less than 'nonminorities" that they have tike same access to university opportunities--(A:13 othep-minority and 4.71 nonminority). It was evident also from the survey that there exists a question in the minds of
other minority faculty as to whether the if departments ensure that minorities :receive equal salaries among colleagues of equal abilities.

In combination, these observations suggest that the other minority faculty members tended to believe $\mathfrak{i t}$ is somewhat. more difficult for minorities than noniminorities to gain an academic appointment at Penn State and to attaîncareer' advancement.

## Black Faculty

- Several items suggested that black atty were greatly concerned about their disproportionate representation in the faculty tanks, Only a $\cdot\{i t t l e$ over one percent of Penn State's faculty are black. There is also an"apparent relationship between this small black representation and the findings of several items on Bucking the Trend survey. Not surprisingly, black faculty tended to be tess convinced than other faculty that all iss being done that could be to recruit more minority faculty. The "survey 'results showed that 52 percent of black faculty respondents were recruited by word of mouth from someone at Penn State; however, these same respondents did not agree (1.61-2.b9) that minority groups, minority information networks, or minority "faćalty_already at Penn State are used sufficiently, to recruit additional minority faculty. Black' respondents cited "the small number of minority faculty" as the most likely reason to contribute to thin leaving Penn State, and they believed more than the nonminority group that Penn State would. benefit by having more minority faculty--(5.69 for black faculty and 3.85 for .nominnority faculty).

Perhaps the most telling survey results were those that indicated a . greater sense of career uncertainty among black faculty than among nonminorities. For example, 71 percent of black faculty respondents or the tenure track and those already having tenure were uncertain about career advancement; yet, they prefer to make the ir careers at Penn State. Only 18 percent of
nonminority faculty responded in the same maniner. Black faculty were more likely than nonminopity respondents to characterize relationships with their colleagues as work-related associations with less likely social interaction. ! In addition, black faculty were less li.kely to agree. that their ideas are valued by colleagues-2(4.00 for black faculty and 4.54 for nonminority). $*$ During a faculty member'sicareer, he or she would expect to be given the same opportunity or rewards as colleagges of similar status. This may not be the case perceived by some black faculty members at Peñ State. Black faculty respondents more than other Pespondents feltey would have the refocus their area of specialization to gain tenure ( 3.53 for black faculty and 2.40 for nonminority faculty). On, average, more of their time is spent providing university-services ( $20-2 \dot{9}$ percent black faculty) than the nonminorit faculty (10-19 percent) while both faculty groups recognized that there is limited reward for university service. Black respondents tended to disagree . that the department equally encourages and supports all faculty members toward achieving promotion and tenure "regardless of sex or 「race, that minorities have equal acceps to other competitive university opportunities including appointments to administrative positions, and that their departments made an active effort to insure that minorities receive equal salaries among colleagues of equal abilities.

The significantly greater uncertainties about career issues expressed by black, respondents suggest'explicit problems that the University needs to address. These problems'include:
$\therefore$ The need to further expand personal contact recruitment activities and to'establish more extensive minorityinformation networks.
,
Consideration of establishing a formal mentoring system for new junior faculty members.
Within appropriate standards of quality, provide adequately flexible review policies.

RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUDING REMARKS .
The Pennsylvania State University, like many colleges and universities, has attempted to meet its affirmative action oblĭgation in part by advertising in all appropriate minority journals and other, internal publications to attract minority faculty: And, like other institutions, affirmative action guidelines ant in place to insure equal opportunities for all faculty, Never,theless, if Blacks and other minority faculty are to truly have an equal opportunity for employment and advancement, strategies need. to be devised to supplement these on-going affirmative action efforts.

From the analysis of the "Bucking the Trend" survey, three recommendátions were prepared and submitted to Penn State's president. First; it was recommended that:

Deans and department heads should (a) reassess the effectiveness of affirmative action recrúitment methods, e.g., advertising in
particular publications, and (b) reallocate appropriate resources within the college for providing recruitment incentives to expand the scope of affirmative action and increase the level of.
"personal. contact" recruitment.
More faculty learned of an open position at Penn State'through persofinal contact than by any other means. This was particularly true for black respondents. In contrast, advertising in professional journals or newsletters and in The 'Chronicle of Higher Education, and postings in graduate school departments were significantly less effective as means to recruit minorities. Data on .file in the Affirmative Action Office regarding recruitment activities generally confirm the ineffectiveness of current advertising strategies. :Minority faculty also.indicated in the survey their belief that. departments do not make every effort to contact minority groups and minority information networks. The small number of black faculty currently employed at Penn State tends to provide at least partial evidence for this assertion.

- If this recommendation is adopted, colleges and, departments; in consultation with the Affirmative Action Office, will need to conduct a reassessment. of theim minority recreitment plans and programs. Using. information the
- effectiveness of various recruitment methods, funds will need to be reallocated from. current'recruitment programs to newly developed activities designed to increase the level of personal recruitment contacts with individuals in departments at other universities. Under this reoriented program, recraitment initiatives should not be limited to currently open positions, but shouid lay the foundation for future recruitment initiatives as openings occur.

The second recommendation addressed concerns for establishing a mentoring pregram. It was recommended that:

College deans request department heads to initiate information meetings with tenure-track minority faculty members with in their departments to discuss the desirability of mentering relationships and to explore possible departmental guidelines for establishing a mentoring program.

All of the survey respondent groups at leasst moderately agreed that mentoring was impontant; however, the black faculty cohort mare than agreed that a "formal" mentoring system for all new junior faculty members would be desirable. For the present, however, without more deliberate consultation with junior faculty members, insufficient information is available to warrant an unqualified recommendation for the development of a formarized mentoring program. It was felt that department héads should initiate an informal dialogue with tenure-track minority faculty members, and particularly black. faculty members, to explore further the type of mentor relationships junior faculty might like to see encouraged. In addition, möre information regarding mentoring programs, establishled or proposed, • 'at Qther institütions, needs to be obtained to guide those individual
departments or colleges that believe a mentoring program would be an important service for new faculty members.

The third recommendation arose from a perception by minority faculty members, blacks in particular, that department heads were not aware of the full range of professional activities performed by some faculty members. Many faculty felt that not all of these activities were considered in promotion and salary increase decisions. It was recomended, therefore, that:

College deans encourage department heads to become knowledgeable about añ individuăl's outside professional as well as University activities.
The review process for promotion and tenure, for salary increases and for other professional advancement opportunities needs to be flexible enough to consider the faculty member's,full: range of activities and responsibilities.

Responses to several survey items dealing with promotion, tenure, and professional advancement at Penn State suggested that black faculty more than other groups are uncertain about their çareer possibilities at Penn State: Because of their current limited numbers, the obligations of black faculty members to serve on numerous department, college and niversity committees require a considerable commitment to university service. The survey revealed that black faculty members reported spending a bigher proportion of their time in such service than did other faculty. Accordingly, factors such as uncertainfy concerning professional advancement opportunities and the level of expected University service have pyobably influenced the observations, by faculty respondents that a more flexible review policy is needed. This recommendation does not imply that department, college, or university standards should be different for any particular group or individual. However, it does request that department heads take all responsibilities into consideration when making workload assignments and provide recognition for the additional obligations which stem from being
a member of an underrepresented group of faculty, whether it be a lack, female, or other faculty group.

Institutional research can play a vital role'in providing timely and critical policy analyses for university executives. Recently, Fenske (1982) argued that greater emphasis on policy analysis is the only direction the institutional research function can take to become viable in the future. At Pern State, important policy questions were being asked about the status and effectiveness of minority recruitment and advancement opportunitiès. Penn State's Office of Planning and Budget, Planning and Research Group, provided the necessary survey and statistical expertise to assist university administrators and faculty representatives in conducting an ańalysis of faculty attitudes toward the University's affirmative action efforts. Since each reçmmendation was directed primarily at the college and department heads, a detailed version of this report was forwarded by thef president to the Council of Academic Deans far their review and comment.
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## IMTRODUCTIOH

A clear understanding of one's competitive environment is a vitai component of an organization's strategic view of the worid. This is as true for educational institutions as it is for profit-making corporations. For the multiuniversity, it is as essential (and complex) as it is for the large conglomerate. Competitive position is the underiying determinant-of the success or failure of most viabie strategic courses of action; it is a key factor in expiaining current situational assessments. A profile of major competitors is one indication of an institution's image, and therefore an indication of the alignment of the public's perceived reality of the institution, versus the articuiated or impicicit mission.

It is, then, the ability to measure the differences between mission, perceived reaility and relative competitive drawing power that makes a study of competitive position cruciai. Marketing research techniques, such as' those described in this paper, become the means for the institution to understand the ciient-pubic's perception, and therefore to perceive and describe the ${ }^{6}$ reality with which they must deal. In this respect, the logic inherent in utilizing the positioning methodologies is similar to that used in the application of any academic discipline. ${ }^{\prime}$.

Boston University, because of the breadth of its academic programs and, services, because of its plysical location and bedcause of signịficant changes
that have taken place since mid-century, suffers from a lack of image consistency. The spectrum of competitor institutions is as broad as its own académic portfolio. In order to better understand these complex realities and 'begin to isolate the actual dimensions of competitive force as they relate to the portiolio, the University has undertaken a serious attempt to understand the educational marketplace in these terms.'

The seriousness of this undertaking is evidenced in several ways.
Resources have been dedicated to the development of primary data on alf level's of the student client market ("levels" meaning the transitional stages of the admissions recruitment chronology). Committee structures have been developed to provide a natural consumer of resulting analyses. Organizational changes, of the nature of the creation of the enrollment'services "ṣtrategic unit", have been implemented with the intention of facilitating the adoption of the enrollment management mode of research, planning and implementation of prescriptive strategies.

The goal of these simultaneous developments -- and a working definition of positioning - will be to arrive at the optimal balance between the existing institutional mission, institutional strengths and weaknesses, client-public perceptions (image), competitor posițions and, ultimately, the client-public's desired benefits. While the statement' of missiori may or may not exist as a fomal document, it is implicit in the current curricular. structures, program offerings and executive philosophies. The remaining elements of the "strategic mix", while also qualitative in nature; require empirical support.

The non-metric scaling (an unsolved contradiction of terms) ${ }^{2}$ of the qualitative competitor-related elements of the strategic mix can be achieved by means of multidimensional data. representation techniques. This paper will
present an application of multidimensional scaling (MAS) techniques to nonmetric attribute measurements. It will also demonstrate the role that multivariate? analysis cah play in supporting the interpnetation of the MOS output.

## METHODOLOGY

## Research Design

Data a nalyzed in this paper are from a Spring, 1982 "Pósitioning istudy;" which was designed to obtain infomation on the desired benefits of a college education, and the degree to which Boston University and its competitors were perceived as offering those benefits. The study was representative of the University's primary market ... metropolitan regions in the Northeast Corridor $\approx$ - and was targeted to the three groups that are generally regarded as critical participants in the college-choice process: prospective students, - theireparents and their guidance counselors.

The student and parent samples were drawn with equal representativeness. from three institutional address files. Desirable prospects. who had not inquired were randomly selected from the University's"Student Search file; - -inquiries who had not applied and applicânts were randomly selected from the appropriate files in the student record system. Guidance counselors we. arbitrarily selected by virtue of the high schools they represented. Analyses discussed in this paper will be based on the total high school student sämple.

Aftual administration of the survey instrument was subcontracted to a research firm. 'As such, Boston University was never identified as the sponsor of the study, and a potential source of bias was eliminated.: The suryey administration consisted of two stages. First, an envelope containing itens necessary for responding to an interviewer's questions was mailed to the $3 i$
entire sample with instructions not to examine the materials; and that a L researcher would be in touch with them shortly. Within a week interviewers contacted, by telephone, the required number of potential, respondents. -Résponses were obtained from $613^{\circ}$ high schoot students, 166 parents and 102 guidance counselors. Because this was a telephone.interview, response rates were in the range of 95 to. 100 percent, thereby eliminating non-response bias. 'The returns were found to be statistically representative of the population at less than the .05 level of'significance.

Analytical Methods
The materials mailed to the sample members included a packet of cards with 17 distinct university "scenarios", each of which represented a.: : fundamental positioning option. Also included was a card with 11 universities listed: 'Boston University and ten competitors. "Exhibitt 1 displays the' scenario descriptors and the list of institutions studied.

Two examples of the sceñario cards follow:
Anyone would be proud to be a graduate or student of this institution: its graduates typically get the best jobs. It is an honor to attend this institution. Its degree is a major step to success.

This institution requires a firm grounding in the liberal arts. All students must take the traditional program of courses in the humanities, social sciences, and the natural sciences. This helps to develop the intellectual skills. needed to deal with the yariety of demands in their professional and persorial lives.

The descriptors for these two scenarios are "Prestige" and "Grounding in the Liberal Arts," respectively.

Respondents were asked to what institutions they had applied, in order of preference, to which they had been admitted, and where they most likely would

SCENARIO OESCRIPTOR
a. Prestige of Institution
b. One-to-One Counseling
c. Faculty Degrees
d. Financial Assistance
e. Professional Experience Outside. the Classroom
f. Trounding in the Liberal Arts
g. Training for the Professions
h. City of Boston

1. Many Majors
j. Demanding Coursework
k. Interaction with Faculty
2. Traditional Campa
m. Teaching Faculty
n. Athletics
B. Social Life'
p. Selectivity
q. Facilities

INSTITUTIONS STUDIED
Boston University Boston College. Cornell University Georgetown Uni versity Harvard University University of Connecticut Northeastern University Syracuse University SUNY (Combined)
Tufts Uni versity
University of Massachusetts $\therefore$
be attending. They were then asked the extent to which they were faniliar with the 11 institutions being studied. Next", they were asked to go through the deck of scenario cards and choose the five "institutions" that most appealed to them, and the fing that least appealed to them. finally, they were asked whether they associated each of the 17 scenarios with each of the $\$ 1$ institutions. The at,tribution of the scenario descriptors to the competitor institutions provided the similarity measurements, which drive. the various perceptual mapping techniques. A number of other descriptive questions were asked, which are not relevant to the topic at hand.

The power inherent in this tabular data scheme will be obvious to those' who have worked with survey data. The limitations in applying multivariate techniques will be obvious to those who are familiar with the least squares algorithms., Although an'approximation of a spatial configuration of competitor positions could be developed by hand using the nominal data, it is
unlikely that the more subtile patterns in the data could be uncovered without the aid of computer-assisted scaling techniques.

MOS roytines have been developed to support (among otfier things) the subjectipe interprefation of dimensional solutions to non-metric based similarity and dissimilafity assessments. A correlation natrix is interpreted as a proximity measure, with the underlyig concept being that the prox/mity data is directly transferable to Euclidean space. Because the MDS algorithms are iterative, rather than computational, their solutions -coordinates for $R$ dimensions -- are an optimization similar to those of linear , programming applications. The objective of the routines is to preserve the rank ordering of the proximities (that is, to maintain monotonicity) while solving the puzzle of the spatial configuration. The difference between the original and the derived proximities (residuals) is measured in terms of (Kruskal's) stress. The MDS program which was used in these analyses was the Guttman-Lingoes' Smallest Space Analysis (I).

## INTERPRETATION OF MDS SOLUTIONS

Ff gure I displays the MDS solution for $R=$ ? (two dimensions). Note the horseshoe shaped pattern which-indicates all proximity, rankings of $\mathrm{R}^{2}=.3$. The superimposed vectors on Figure $I$ indicate the author's subjective interpretation of what the dimensions might be: "Academic Reputation" and "City of Boston.". The standard deviations of the attribution to the descriptors, "City of Boston", "Selectiwity", "Prestige", "Faculty Degrees", and "Demanding Coursework" are significantly nigher, than the remaining 12, which supports this interpretation. The stope and intersection of the vectors. on Figure $I$ are also a subjective interpretation, and point out the fact that

the $x / y$ axes are for plotting purposes and do not necessarily indicate the actual position of the dimensions which are underlying the data.

Since the city of Boston is not a viable positioning altepnative for about half the institutions studied; the scenario attributions were deemed to be strategically insignificant at this level of analysis. Ensuing analyses have excluded the "Ci.ty of Boston" descriptor.

Figure 2 displays the MDS solution for $R=2$ excluding "City of Boston," / with the dimensions again being subjectivaly superimposed. While the dimension, "Academic Reputation". is similar"to that found in Figure 9, dimension 2 - indicates a new ordering along what wás tentatively deemed to be - "Preprofessional Orientation."



Note that the solution displayed in figure. 2 shows a slightly more welkconfined set of, two clusters. A discriminant function analysis (DFA) was applied to the two "groups" to gain further insight into the variables which " had contributed to this ciustering. The significant variables, with their discriminant coefficients, are"ranked below from negative horizontal positioning to positive.
-11.2 Training for the Professions
-9.6 . Faculty Degrees

- 5.6 - Social Life
+0.4 Teaching Faculty
$+3.0 \quad$ Many Majors
$+4: 2 \quad$ Demanding Coursework
+5.0 . ${ }^{*}$ Grounding in the Liberal Arts
+5.5 Professional Experience Outside the Classroom $+11: 9$ * Facilities

Although these data might indicate a single dimension of "Classical Training" versus "Experiential Training," further support from multivariate analysis was required to reinterpret the $t w o$ dimensions in light of this new potential framework.

A frequent approach to interpreting MDS dimensionality is through linear. multiple regression. The MDS vector coordinates are regressed over theattribution data to infer which variables explain the dimensional ity (and what the slope of the dimensions should bel. A stepwise regression indigated that dimension 1 was affected by the attributes "Selectivity" ( - ) and "Financial Aid" (+), and might now be ioterpreted as "Perceived Institutional Value."
": The opposite poles of the dimension may poth be interpreted in a somewhat positive light, with the negative pole being very selective (and very expensive) and the positive pole being very affordable (but not so selective). Qimension $\}$ was affected by the attributes "Interaction with Faculty" ( - ), "Athletics" (-) and "Professional Experience Outside the Classroori". (+), and is now interpreted as "Institutional Focus." The opposite poles of this dimension can be read as collegial focus (on the negative side) and careeroriented focus. (on the positive side). Figure 3displays these new interpretations.

Worth highlighting is the position of the two dimensions relative to each other. There appears to be, in the minds of the student clientele, a correlation between a collegial instifutional focus and selective institutions. Perhaps more important to Boston University is the antithesis of this perception; a career-oriented focus is not perceived as taking place at selective institutions. Stemming from this ạssessment of the dimensionality are a numiser of issues that are pertinent to ongoing discussions of the University's positioning strategies.


This solution, as interpreted, presents Boston University's primary market in a pattern that is intuitively appealing and in terms that are relative to institutional planners and strategic planning teckniques. Further statistical support through factor analysis did not prove to be feasible due to the lack of a strong metric base (i.e., high communality of the nominal áttributions). MDS solutions of greater dimensionality did no.t show a. significant enough reduction in stress to warrant attention.

There remainsia great deal to be said about the validity of a design such. as this, and the potential to probe deeper via higher levels of dimensionality. or with other multivariate support techniques (especially multiple regression). Alternative perceptual mapping techniques, such as factor analysis and
discriminant analysis, have their strengths and weaknesses when compared to MOS; they are generally related to the nature of the data to be analyzed. ${ }^{3}$ Such a discussion is beyond the scope of thits.paper. This design is presented as a fairly inexpenaike and relatively easy to use approach to perceptual mapping.

## mpl_éfitiows

Insights gained from the perceptual maps displayed in țhts paper, and others not shown here, have serious implications for Boston University's future strategic course of action. Further strategic interpretation of the maps must be grounded in other inferences obtained from the Positioning Study, which have not been giscussed here. The user of these methodologies must understand the need to go beyond the level of analyst's presented here and replicate the solution for each'of its key market segments. Included in this stratification scheme should be perceptual assessments of each level of the - recruitment chronology.

A readigg of the desirable course an institution might want to pursue as it attempts to move itself across perceptual space must account for an understanding of which market segments al ign themgelves with the various . educational benefits studied. Although these data were readily apparent in the complete analysis, Boston Unfversity is not wifing to share this information until decisions affecting our own future are finalized and implemented. What has become apparent as a result of this analysis is the need to measure the congruence between an institution's mission and the - . feasibility of implementing strategies which wili contribute to the developmentro of that mission, 'in ifght of a new understanding of the marketplace.

FOOTNOTES

1. George Zimmeman, "Basic Research: Aesthetics of Pure Science.". The World at Boston University, 29 September 1982, p. 2, col. 1.
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Data Representations: When and Why, ed. Ingwer Borg (Ann Arbor: Mathesis Press, 9817, pp. 44-45.
3. John R. Hauser and Frank S. Koppelman, 'Alternative Perceptual Mapping Techniques: Relative Accuracy and Usefulness," Journal of Marketing Research, November 1979, pp. 495-497.
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## 4

The Development Saga of an Institutional Research Database
at a State College

Public colleges and universities have become increasingly pressed in

The purpose of this paper is to describe the development of an institutional research database which supported planning, management and evaluation activities, at a multipurpose state college. With additional funding support from a Title III SDIP Grant, the Office of Institutional Research was expanded to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of the College's systems for planning, information management and evaluation review processes. These highly interrelated systems were intended to contribute significantly in developing and implementing the institutional mission in the 1980's. The wíntended result was to strengthen an. institutional. research function respongive to the increasing planning, research and evaluation needs of the College community.

## The Preparation Process

A highly participative Self-Study process in preparation for a reaccreditation visit and a long-range institutional planning effort increased the campus community's awareness of the importance of accurate and consistent information on profile characteristics of the college's students, faculty and programs. Increasingly complex external reporting and program evaluation requirements provided additional press to develop systems which would provide more easily accessible information on various institutional characteristics, particularly the entering characteristics, persistence and performance of spedcific student groups.

Initial efforts pointed out the difficulties of the present overating system to provide management information for research and planning purposes. The following conditions were especially noted:

1. Accurate information sometimes was unavailable, either because it. Was not collected by a specific operating area or, if collected, * . was collected in a form not particularly useful.
2. Information produced by one file on occasion conflicted with that produced by another unit's file.
*3. Documentation and procedures in-generating data files sometimes contributed to the collection and storage of inaccurate or missing data.
$\bigcirc$
3. The lack of file documentation and data-element definitions led to confusion in identifying and utiting appropriate information sources.
4. Many research and planning issues required data elements from
several operating units and/or data files.

These conditions produced a felt need within administrative circles to begin a process of creating a series of management information systems which would provide user-friendly access and/would be less manual labor intent sive. Because student-related aggregate data are most of ten sought and most difficult to 'obtain at the level of analysis sought, initial efforts were concentrated on the development of a longitudinal student databank system as an institutional research resource.

While vast amounts of student data are collected and electronically processed, 'they tend to be neither carefully maintained nor' greatly utilized . for planning and decision-making processes. Accurate information needed for planning and policy determination of tenn tended to be neither readily available nor consistent among source providers. Documentation and procedural guidelines were found to be neither adequate nor widely understood across administrative units. These factors served to have administrators 1) often misunderstand or mistrust the information they did receive, and/or 2) be perplexed as to whether - needed information existed or, if so, where to obtain it.

Organizing the Effort

Through funding from the Title III Grant, ${ }^{\prime}$ additional research staff and computing equipment were acquired, as well as the services of two con-suiting agencies.'

Responsibility for the development effort was placed primarily with the office of Institutional Research with. the intended involvement of the computer Center and other data providers and users.

The organizing role of the institutional research of fice seemed legitimate because it could provide a unique.institution-wide perspective. The institutional research office tends to use data from many of the operating systems and often has need to integrate data from diverse sources. As Dressel (1972) has noted nearly a decade ago, the institutional researcher seems to be in the best position to be generally knowledgeable about the structure and content of the basic operating data systems and their importance as a college-wide Xesource.
$\therefore$
Two consulting agencies comprised a highly qualified team with top
academic management and technical computér competancies attempted to facilithate the process by helping to generate broad administrative support for the approach as well as specifig procedures and suggestions on implementing the project: A management plan for the office of Institutional Research, which included a clarification of of fice functions to support institutional research and planning, information management and evaluation systems, attempted to clatify its role and function, and provided further legitimacy for institutional ; research to take.prime responsibility for the databank's development and utilization.

The computer Center is another administrative unit within the college which has the potential for a college-wide perspective on at least those data which are gathered and electronically processed by various oper- ating units. The Center provides a central role not only in data processing, but increasingly in the logical stricture and.design of the various transaction data files maintained by major offices such as admissions,"registrar, and financial aid. The consultant team attempted to develop a management plan多 for both the office of Institutional Research and the computer, Center. This
intervention was intended to provide a- model for the functional relationship between these two central unitisi and thus facilitated the development process.

Aithough the institutional research office and Computer Center have a general overview of the interrelationships among most of the data providers . and users, no single unit can be expected to have an in-depth knowledge of every specific operating area or data system. Data user and provider groups have the greatest insight and understanding of specific data required or available in their areas of responsibility. Thus, there seened to be a need to involve knowledgeable representatives in the development process; guidance was sought from a small working group composed of individuals with some institution-wide perspective and extensive familiarity with a particular data provider or user area. Such a group was quite instrumental in clarifying the information needs of major potential users of the databank and the most reliable sources of primary data elements. Their participation in the development process was intended to reduce, the reluctance to share data ownership and gave impetus to efforts' to maintain accurate' and complete 'operating'data, fịles.

## Findings and Conclusions

Several major findings about the nature and use of data have
emerged from the development process which may have generalizeability to similar institutions. They are:

1. Top administrators seem to have little understanding of the information potentially avaifable to them and thus'they have difficulty. articulating their information needs for strategic planning and
decision-making.
2. There seems to be littile administrative interest in and hence no mechanisms for the systematic collection' of information external to the institution, with the exception, of a growing conern, about enrollment projections.
3. Vast amounts of student information are collected and stored on various data files with considerable redundancy and inconsistency.
4. Although, Considerable labor intensive efforts are expended in collecting data, especially student data', relatively littie attention is given to its storage and updating; nor to its utilization. in stratigic planning and policy determination.

5: Because the individual data systems generated by several operating units have been designed as transaction systems to meet the specific purposes of the unit, there are considerablè feelings of ownership for those data with little realization of their potential importance for 'other institutional uses.

Moreover, the process has also illustrated several opportunities and pitfalls for the institutional research functions. These conclusions are the following:

1. The role of influence of institutional research in strategic planninğ and management information is very much determined by the commitment of the institution, especially its top administrators, to datarbased planning and the proximity of the institutiondir research function'to that planning process.
2. While institutional research has the potential for an institutionwide information brókerage role, it's sphere of influence is of ten
, consciously restricted by the reluctance to share data ownership by operating units.
3. Although, greati expectations are raised, a specific management information system will not anticipate and be responsive to all the information or mafof decision-makers. Its purpose and limits shouid be clearly delineated at the start.
4. There is, considerable need to balance the efforts devoted to a long range effort with the production of routine and immediately helpful. information. If not, there is considerable risks that support for țhe development ffort will be withdrawn'before it's intended results can be produced.
5. While the cooperation and commitment of the Computer Center and other administrative units are vital to. successful completion, institutional research staff must develop enough technical com-. petance to maintain sufficient locus of control assuring some degree of successful completion'.

The specific approach in the development of the information system described here may be idiosyncratic to the pilot institution or its sister institutions within the state. Nonetheless, the proceses and findings from this effort may have considerable generalizeability to colleges and universities attempting to improve upon their management of student information systems which efficiently respond to increasingly complex reporting requirements and policy issues.
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## RROJECTING ENROLLMENTS AT SMALL COLLEGES

 (The Role of the Institutional Researcher)Randall Draper Director of Institutional Research Johnspn State College<br>Vermont.

The setting is any small college with an enrollment of up to 1500 students and an incoming class of about 500 ., The college faces increasing difficulty balancing it's budget in an era of shrinking resources and a declining student poól. Tough decisions need to be made abqut curriculum, personnel, ccapital projects, and even maintenance.

The problem is a lack of consensus about expected enrollments among the key offices of admissions, financial affairs, the registrar and the president. This situation.leads to inaccurate enrollment projections, poor planning, and inevitable financial. shortfalls and surpluses.

To those of us trained in large research institutions, and who make a living collecting and analyzing data, the solution is simple: develop an "information rich environment" through a computer-based management information system. In other words, a terminal for every administrator and a programmer for every terminal. (Not to mention a word processor for every secretary.)

Unbelievably, some administrators are skeptical. They argue that the size of the college doesn't demand such a scientific approach to planning. After all, "this isn't the state university. We know from experience what to expect from day to day and year to year. If we want to know what students are planning, we'll just ask their guidance counsellors."

Perhaps ydu recognize the classic confrontation between the scientists and wizards of management.

The following paper argues that the salution to poor enrollment
projections lies not in science nor wizardry, but in pólitics. In effect, small college institutional researchers should -probably spend $25 \%^{\circ}$ of their time collecting data, $25 \%$ analyzing that data, and $50 \%$ reporting, interpreting, and seeing to it that the resultant information gets used. At all costs he or shé should avoid getting lost in the process of data collection and stor'age. A little knówledge goes a long way and doesn't demand sophisticated instruments or machines.

The following example of a modest, perhaps unsophisticated, , enrollment projection system serves to illustrate the point. The "system" includes three components: preliminary projections, the development of consensus, and year-long "adjustments." based upon a close monitoring of recruitment and retention.


PRELIMINARY PROJECTIONS
This component begins with the accumulation of base-line data on past enrollments and student characteristics supported by a close monitoring of"external and internal influences on the student market, 'e.g. the size'of the'student pool, or curriculum changes. Specifically,
A. Historical Projections:

Statistical analysis of enrollment trends either by "regressioñ", or comparison of average changes semester to semester and sub-group to sub-group. (e.g. state residence, academic major, on campus/off campus)
B. Historical Attrition:

The weighted average of dropouts by class over at, least a" four year period.
C. Market Share:

The identification of primary and secondary markets based upon the geographical source of inquiries, applications, deposits, and registrants.
D. Population Characteristics:

The profiles of incoming, outgoing, and graduating students by number', aqe, sex, residents, major, etc.; the, analysis
. of the student pool by number of high school and junior college graduates within primary and secondary market areas by age, sex, academic and career interests, etc..
E. Immediate Factors:
'The effect of local and national economy on career patterns and financing an education; expansion of contraction of specific college programs; institution of new marketing strategies, etc.
The assembly of' the above, information depends on the avaikability of existing data at the college, and from local, state
and national, agencies. Be advised that it is never as comprehensive, organized, nor accurate as expected. Very often, especially at smaller institutions, the process is tedious and time consuming. 0 Be prepared to take up residence in the Registrar!s office.

## CONSENSUS

The second component, achieving consensus, is deceptively simple, yet often ignored because of internal politics or poor communications.

The key to achieving consensus is the establishment of clear definitions and the existence of a medium through which to compare expectations.

Among many, the key concepts to be defined are:

Freshman Transfer Former Student Leave of Absence Returning Student Unsure Student Exiting Student

Freshman Sophomore Junior Senior
Retention Attrition
InState Student Out-Of-State Student.

The natural medium for achieving consensus is the Office of Institutional Research, or more specifically, the institutional researcher skilled in communication and negotiation. In essence, the researcher's job only begins with the development of preliminary projections. Accurate projections in the absence of clear under- '. standings and mutual agreement among the key officers of the college is a -purely academic endeavor.

Therefore, the successful institutional researcher will come tit at least one third of his or her time to reporting, explaining and evaluating the use of information. Researchers at smaller colleges should spend less time building complicated Management Information Systems and more time managing information.

## ADJUSTED PROJECTIONS

The agreed upon enrollment projection is monitored systematicajly through a series of weekly, bi-weekly, and monthly reports that compare goals and expectations with actual'recruitment and retention.
A. Recruitment (Appendix $\bar{A}_{1}, A_{2}$ ):

These two reports are assembled every Friday after the final delivery of mail. The $\quad$ are distributed to key administrators pefore the end of the workday and then discussed at the President's staff meeting Monday morning.

The reports enumerate the number of applications, acceptançes, depositsf, and withdrawals of deposits received during the week ending, Friday and compare the cumulative totals to the previous year's total to date and final enrollment. Of particular interest is the column indicating the number of deposits received after the date of the report the previous year. A comprhensive report comparing the last four years week by week is distributed at the beginning of the recruitment cycle.
B. Retention (Appendix $\mathrm{B}_{1}, \mathrm{~B}_{2}$ ):

The pool of actige and eligible students is identified name by name in an accounting analysis pad and a set of "unobtrusive méasures" of their future plans are reviewed regularily, eg. preregistration, request for transcripts to be forwarded, forwarding adḍresses left at the maxilroom, and hearsay evidence from faculty and staff. Subsequently, a decision is made as to each student's intentions to return or to exit based upon previously agreed upon decision rules, eg. preregistration and one other piece. of supporting evidence classifies the student as a "returner." This information is reported to the President's staff biweekly.

Towards the end of each semester and once during the summer faculty contact any of their advisees about which insufficient information exists to make a decision. This serves to clarify projections as well as' act as an excellent retention tool. C. Measuring Progress (Appendix C): .

3 Once a month profress tówards both budgetary and college goals is reported in a format that outlines the best and the worst potential outcomes.

The "least to date" column includes only, those students definitely expected to return and those on a "Leave of Absence that, have preregistered while "most to date" counts "unsures" and all LOA's eligible to return: In both cases, gnly former studert's; transfers, and freshmen with deposits are founted.

To summarize, accurate enrollment projections are no less important for the small college than for the large university. Unfortunately, often the tendancy is for institutional researchers ${ }^{\prime}$ to overcomplicate the process while adminisţrators oversimplify it. The solution is for institutional researchers to become more than purveyors of information and to enter the political process at their institution. This can be accomplished through the development of a straight forward process for enrollment projecting which - , enables the researcher to shend more time working tofards consensus) and assessing the viabilityo of budgetary and colleg̣ goals.|. :

## APPENDIX $\mathrm{A}_{1}$
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#### Abstract

This study analyzed the relationship of six institutional characteristics to institutional support éxpenditures. The share of operating *budgets spent on executive management, fiscal and information services, logistical services, and community relations and fund-raising, was found to be inversely related to total budget size for a sample of thirteen independent moderate-sized universities.


## background

It is instructive, in assessing how well a college or university is managed, to ask what share of its resources go into internal administration and support activities. While such expenditures are essential, 'they use dollars, otherwise avallable for teaching, research, financial ald or other needs.

There are no commonly accepted standards for the amount which should be spent on "institutional support." Spending too much diverts resources from the institution's primary missions; from the faculty's point of view. anything is too mueh.' Central administrators argue, on the other hand, thiat cutting resources back too far may curtall needed direction and . support, and may damage the institution over the long run.; Tufts undertook the study of expenditure patterns as part of its long-range budget planning.

## METHODOLOGY -

Twelve other colleges and universities viewed as being roughly similar - to Tufts 'in' seyral important ways were selected for the study: Boston College', Bostoh University, Brown, Dartmouth, Emory, Georgetown, Johns ; Hopkins, Princeton, Rochester, Vanderbilt, Washington University, and Yale. All are independent institutions: all are universities with both graduate and professional schools; and all are of moderate size, at least as compared with some public university giants.

Three direct and three derivative measures of institutional characteristics were thought to be related to institutional support expenditures. The direot measures, total budget, total FTE enrollment, and number of degree programs, seemed to be measures of institutional size and scope, The percent of enrollment constituted by undergraduates was a derived measure of concentration on undergraduate education. "The other derived measures were number of students per program, which gauged institutional complexity, and budget per, student, which constituted $a^{\prime}$ crude composite measure of institutional weatth and of program mix, including the presence of substantial sponsored research. Data collected from the registrars of the institutions sampled are shown in Table 1.

Table 1! Institutional base data, 1981/82.

| Institution | Oper Budget | FTE <br> Students | Budget/ Student |  | Degree Programs | Studs/ Program |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$000 | $\checkmark$ | \$ |  | . |  |
| Boston Coll. | 96,701 | 17,430 | 5,548 | 71 | 8 | 2,179 |
| Boston Univ. | 264,666 | 27,042 | 9,787 | 48 | 14 | 1,932 |
| Brown Univ. | 111,429 | 6,914 | 16,116 | 77 | 6 | 1,152 |
| Dartmouth | 103,849 | 4,389 | 23,661 | 80 | 5 | 878 |
| Emory | 82,862 | 8,154 | 10,162 | 52 | 9 | 906 |
| Georgetown | 4. 150,522 | 13,652 | 1.1;026 | 50 | 8 | 1;707 |
| Johns Hopkins | 267,492 | 9,904 | 27,008 | 47 | 7 | 1,415 |
| Princeton | 227,000 | 6,101 | 37,206 1 | 74 | 3 | 2,034 |
| Rochester | 191;083 | 8,966 | 21,312 | 56 | 8 | 1,121 |
| Tufts | 101,837 | 6,441 | 15,811 | 68 | 11 | 586 |
| Vanderbilt | 105,988 | 8,942 | 11,853 | 61 | 10 | 894 |
| Washington $U$, | 176,106 | 10,804 | 16,300 | 63 | 9 | 1,200 |
| Yale | 327,500 | 10,097 | 32,435 | 51 | 8 | 1,262 |

Questionnaires were sent to the twelve universities; telephone inter, views were conducted for clarification. The questionnaires requested schools to provide 1981/82' budget data, following the NACUBO ohart of account definitionswherever possible. A copy of the questionnaire and
instructions is available from the author, on request. In the interests of comparability, several editorial modifications were required:

- where senior-level salaries were lumped in a single account rather than, attributed to functional groupings, they were reassigned based on numbers of people in each function, after consultation with respondents;
- functions were rearranged 'to resemble the author's institution where there were significant differences;
- operating expenditures for hospitals were eliminated from total institutional expenditures;
- in the instance, 1982/83 budgets were supplied and, reduced to 1981/82 levels after discussion with the respondent.

Institutions were asked to be as inclusive as possible, regardless of how they report internally, so as to be sure that comparable expenditures were identified. For instance, fund-raising expenditures on capital - campaigns are sometimes written off against capital receipts instead of against operating incomes; wherever possible, such expenses were included here. Thus some institutions will show higher support expenditures here than those shown in their own accounting.

Comparability in executive management expenses was relatively good. In fiscal affairs, differences in treatment of data processing made it useful to construct a "fiscal affairs and information services" grouping, rather than segregating those elements. Logistical services were extremely difficult to compare; only a few common elements were selected for comparison. Finally, in community relations and development, differences.in titles and functions make assurance of comparability difficult: Appendix A shows in more detail the elements grouped in each category.

Derived measures were constructed for the data and relationships were analyzed with correlation and regression techniques. SPSS subprogram. regression was used for this purpose.

RESULTS ATND DISCUSSİON.
For executive management, fiscal and information services, certain logistical services; and communtty relations and development, the institutions sampled spent between $4.8 \%$ and 10.78 of their operating budgets. as shown in Table 2.-
. Table 2: -Percentage of Institutional Operating Budget Spent for Institutional Support, 1981/82

| High |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Exec } \\ & \frac{\text { Mgmt }}{2.6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fiscal } \\ & \frac{\text { Enfo }}{3.1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Logist. } \\ \frac{\text { Sves }}{2.4} \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\text { Subtotal }}{7.4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Community } \\ & \frac{\text { Rel \& Dev }}{4.7} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { Total }}{10.7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | c | 1.4. | 2.2 | 1.6 | 5.2 。 | 2.3 | 7.6 |
| Median |  | 1.4 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 4.9 | 2.0 | 7. |
| Low |  | . 7 . | 1.4 | . 8 | 3.6 | 1.1 | ! 4.8 |

The distribution of budget shares spent for the institutional support elements is shown in Figure 1. For, fiscal and information services, shares tended to be closely grouped; ofor community relations and development expenditures, they were.widfly-dispersed.

An exploration of the simplecgrelations (Table 3) between the institutional characteristics and budget shares for institutional support components shows that the larger a university's total budget, the sualler the share for institutional support. A possible explanation is that each institution, large or smali, requires certain minimum levels of support; beyond that base, support expenditures grow less rapidly than total budgets: This relaționship holds for overall institutional support and for each of the components except fiscal and information services. where the relationship' is too weak to be significant.
$\therefore$ The proportion of undergraduate students to total enrollment is related only to development expense. It may be that heavily undergraduate universities may depend more substantially on fund-raising incomes than do those with higher proportions of graduate and professional schools where research income may be moŕe significant.

The more degree programs an institution offers, the larger its budget sharé for fiscal" and information "serviçes. Evidently, where there arg many programs and deans, there is a need for more (and more widely available) financial and managerial data than.in less complex institutions.

## FIGURE 1

INSTITUTIONAL SUPPORT COST ANALYSIS
SUMMARY OF COMPARABLE ELEMENTS
PERCENT OF TOTAL OPERATING BUDGET SPENT
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Budget per student, as noted earlier, is a crude measure, relating in part to institutional wealth, but also to the mix of programs, and to the presence of significant research expenditures. It seems clear that the bigger an institution's budget is in relation to the number of students it has, the smaller the share of its budget that goes for executive managewent and. fiscal and information services. There does not appear to be a relationship between budget per student and development expenses or logistical expenses.

The number of degree programs, total enrollment, and number of students program do not appear to be broadly useful as* predictors of institutional support expenditures.
Table 3: Pearson correlation coefficients between institutional support expenditures and institutional characteristics

Variable



Correlations marked " are significant at the . 05 level.
Correlations marked ** are significant. at the . 01 level.

The mutual contributions of the six institutional characteristics in explaining differences in institutional support shares were examined. with multiple regressions. Initially all' six factors"wëre included, but, the results were inconclusive, Based on the simple correlations shown above, it was thought that the overall budget and budget-per-student variables. may have interacted in such a way as to confound the analysis. Hence the latter variable was left out and a second set of analyses performed.

Taken together, the five variables --- total budget ${ }^{-}$, students per degree program, number of programs, total enrollment, and percent undergraduate -- explain most (78\%) but. not all of the variance in institutional support shares between institutions (Table 4).

Table 4: Multiple regression F-ratids and other statistics omitting budget-per-student


In this analysis, total budget clearly emerges as the most powerful predictor of the percent spent of institutional support.

Further analysis of the relationship between budget and inftitutional support shares was undertaken. After experimenţing with a number of curvilinear relationships. the log of budget'was found to be a better predictor of institutional support budget shares than- was the absolute.value. The results of the linear and logarithmic regressions are shown in Table 5. and the resulting logarithmic curve is depicted in Figure 2.

Table 5: Linear and logarithmic regressions

$\because \quad$| Subtotal of executive. |
| :---: |
| fiscal, and logistical |
| servites | | Total institutional |
| :---: |
| support services |

Item Linear regression: $:\left(\frac{\text { services }}{y=a+b x)}\right.$.
$\qquad$
support services
Linear regression: ' $(\bar{y}=a+b x)$

| a |  | 6.93 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b | - | -. 00001 | . |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | , | . 34 |  |
| F |  | 5.25 * |  |

Logarithmic regression: ( $y=a+b \log x$ )


## FIGURE 2

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN OPERÁTING BUDGET AND PERCENT
$\because!$ ". SPENT ON INSTITUTHONAL SUPPORT: SCATTER PLOT AND BEST FITTING LOGARITHMIC CURVE

! Four of these universities paid all institutional, support costs out. of general revenue: four charged back all costs to schools or other cost centers; the remaining five pursụed a mixed strategy, meetinì some costs out of general revenues but charging others to cost centers.

Although the institutional characteristics identified in this study explained much of the vartance in institutional support spending, it is clear. that there are other factors at work which this study did not capture. Futurefstudies might investi毒多te such items as urban vs. rural location: single vs multiple campuses; centralization ks. decentralization as management style; research as a share of total budget; relative stability of the institution vs. rapid growth and development; presence or absence of major capi'tal campaigns; and continuity of leadership vs. rapid changeover of senior personnel.
$\%$
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APPENDIX A: INSTITUTIONAL SUPPORT EXPENDITURE.DEFINITIONS

Executive management includes:

- governing board (trustees' office. corporation seçretary, etc.)
- chief executive officer (president. ohancellor: assocfated expenses)
- chief academic officer (senior academis officer on each campus)
- chief business officer (executive vice-president: vice-presidents for finance, business administration, plant and services. etc,)
- planning and budgeting (budget offices, planning, inst. research)
- legal counsel (internal and external).
- equal employment oppaftunity (affirmative action, etc.)

Omitted noh-comparable items included: academic senates; investment management expenses, some of which showed up in operating budgets but most of which are charged to investment incomes; chaplaincy, or equivalent; other activities such as univèrsity historian, university professor..

Fiscäl. and information services included:

- fiscal reporting items .such as accounting, cashiers, grant and contract administration, internal and external auditing;
- administrative data processing, mgmt. information, and systems developpent. Since some institutions bill data'processing to users, not all costs may. have been captured in this category.

Logistical services included:

- employee personnel and records';
- purchasing;
- environmental healthsand safety:
- security.

Other items varied so widely as to be non-comparable.
Community relations and development included:

- Vice-Presidents for Development, Public Affairs, and similar . activities, plus other development staff and costs;
- Public Information, Public Relations, and Publications except for *
: catalogue and bulletin costs;
- Alumni Relations:
- Fund-raising, capital fund-raising, "relations with schools", and - government relations/resources.


## PUBLICATION USAGE INDEX (PUI):

 A quantitative method of evaluating the PUBLICATIONS OF IENCE FACULTYDr. Gloria J. Dyer.
i. Department of Biology.

Fairleigh Dickinson University
With the prevailing academic problems related to rinances and enrollment, quality will of nécessity increase in importance on college campuses if an institution is to survive. Quality of faculty research activity must be included in future hiring, promotion and tenure decisions:

One measure of research activity may be found in a faculty member's publications. According to the results of a survey by Startup and Grundberg (1976). "ernsic rewards in the form of prestige and promotion flow not so quch from researci activity as from its products -- particularly pobliṣhed matefials.". They go on to report that $55 \%$ of the respondents felt pressure to do research and to publish for promotion. This pressure was felt by $90^{\circ}: 6 \%$ of Semion Lecturers and $93.3 \%$ of Lecturers.

While it is easy to count the publications and determine numbers,
a determination of the quality of the publigetions is more difficult. This difficulty may be befind Batista's observation in his review of the literature (1976), that the predominant criterion in college teaching is research and publications, which áre fot exvaluated but only counted.

The purpose of this study was to determine statistically if, publications were, in fact, being used in promotions in science departments of two. different institutions; to determine statistically. if putlicatians were counted or evaluated for promotion; to propose a method of quantifying. scientific publications.

Dissimilar universities were selected for sampling. School $A$ is a large, state-supported, urban university with a student population of: approximately 46,000 . Doctoral degrees are awarded in the sciences.. The University consists of six campuses and thirteen colleges. The oldest college of the Univers jty offering a broad curricala in the arts and ' sciences was sampled. school $B$ is an independent, uitban and suburban university with four máin branches on three campuses serving.approximately 14,000 graduate and undergraduate students. School $\dot{B}$ does not award..." $\boldsymbol{F}^{\text {. }}$ * doctoral degrees. It is in a state other than that of School A.

Three measures of faculty productivity were researched. They were the total number of publications, pulfication eyaluations, and citation counts. These measures were obtained for the five years preceding faculty promotions in the science departments of the two different institutions. The time range of the study encompaśsed l'4 years from 1964-1978.

The faculty sample consisted of 157 promotions to the ranks of assistant, associate or professor in the pasy ten years and included both males and and females.. Promotions were determined fróm old catalogues ánd school newsletters, and were copfírmed by the indiyiduais in the sample or by corroborating information in other public files.

- PUBLICATIONS

Publications provided trie data base for the pubiication count, publication evaluátion and citation count: This'study uses the publication count to determine if it correlates with publication evaluations and citation counts. An evaluation of the publication was made according to a weighted scale in the mąner of Voeks (1962), and Siefried and white (1973').

However, evaluation was based primarily on the "impact ratio" of the joupnal, is listed in the "Journal Citation Reports" volume of Science Citation Index. The impact rating is "a measure of the frequency with which the 'average cited article' in a journal has been cited in a particular year." (Journal Citaдion Reports; 1974). Those journals with an impact rating of one or greater were weighted with three points. There are approximately 750 jưurnals' with an impact rating greater than one. Those journals with an impäct rating less than one but listed in SGi were weighted two points. There are approximately 1,700 journals with an impact ratio $\therefore$ less than one. Those journals which were not one of the approximately 2,500 journals listed by. SCI were given a one point weighting. The weighting scale is as follows:
/
 ( $5 / \mathrm{n}$ if n . authors)

Monographs (Inciludes all published books except textbooks and.edited


- $\quad \therefore \quad . \quad$. ( $4 /$ hif.$n$ authors $)$

Articles in jounals having an impact rating less than ome, speciality and regional journals, contributions to encyclopedia, proceedings 2 points
( $2 / \mathrm{n}$ if n authors)
All, othef publications*.................................... 1 point


## -

( $1 / n$ if $n$ authors)

* textbooks, edited books, signed research reports, chapters
in books, discussion papers, book reviews, abstracts, bibllographies, guide books, published memos and bulletíns and government documents, letters, ṃanuals, audio-visual authors. Not considered.-id - to be published,' ERIC articles, 'ghost writing.

Citation Count -- The Citation Index volumes of Science Citation Index.
Provide an author listing followed by a list of the published articles and years in which he/she has been acknowledged by a citation. Cítations were counted for the five years prior to promotion.

The study of publications-will be commented upon as appilied to the total sample and at specific schools. The publication count in the science departments in the schools studied was the single most important promotional category of several categories studied. The results of an analysis of: variance for the total sample are seen in Table 1.
-The data, presented below, are in agreement with Aleamoni and Yimer (1973), who report the academic rank of an instructor seems to be. more highly related to publications than to other criteria.

Publications were examined in relation to the citation counts and $\uparrow$ the publication evaluations; as well as a simple publication count. Significant positive correlaţions were obtained, as seen in Table 2.

TABLE 1
ANALYSIS OF'VARIANCE FOR DATA CATEGORIES BETWEEN RANKS


| $\cdots$ | Publication <br> Count. | Citation <br> Count | Publication <br> Evaluation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Publication

| Count | x | 0.51* | 0.89* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - |  | * |  |
| Citation Count |  | $\chi$ | 0.58* |

Pubilication
Evaluation
$x \quad 5$

$$
f p \leq 0.05
$$

Publicaţion Count Versus Publication Evaluation

- The strong correlation between the publication count and evaluation (0.89) woủld be expected. A prolific writer stands a better chance of having his work appear in journals than does a sporadic writer. A person who writes very little hend be expected to have a low publication evaluation. While diametrically opposed, both groups would provide the high correlation between publication counts and evaluations that was obtained In the present study. The strengeth of the present correlation corroborates the finds of Cole and Cole ( $1 \dot{0}$ gas ) in. physics.

Publication Count Versus Citation Count
The moderate correlation between the citation and publication count (0.51) is interesting. Thercorrelation implies that the sample includes. both many writers who have high publication counts and citation,counts and writers with low publičation and low citation counts. In addition, there must be writers whe have high publication counts but low citatian. I counts, and vice-versa. Jwenty-six percent of the total sample, in fact, had no citations at all.

Table 3 lists examples of publication citation counts to illustrate this point.

TABLĖ 3
PUBLICATIDN AND CITATION COUNTS, FOR SELECTED SUBJECTS


Subject 1 has a low publication count with high citation counts while subject .5 has a, high publication count with no citations. 'The practice of counting publications is apparently widespread in the consideration of unfversity promotions. However, the preceding examples defonstrate that counting publications does not.guaraftee that quality work is being.rewarded. Citation Courit Versus Publication Evaluation

A moderate correlation between the citation count and the publication evaluatign was found (0.58). The publication evaluationš for each article ranged from alow of 1 to a high of 5 points. A perfect correlation was not found since a high evaluation could, have been obtained by subjects with many articles rated at one point with few citations, as well as by subjeats with a few/articles rated higher. 'Table 4 illustrates this point.

Subject 3 has many lesser weighted articles than subject 4, but both have the same total evaluation. Publication evaluations, as demonstrated, have finherent sourtes of error and cannot be relied upon to demonstrate quality of work.
"Publication Usage Index"
Perhaps one measure of the impression an instructor makes upon his discline could be obtained by dividing the number of citations by the publication count. This "PUI" would provide the average number of times an instructor's articles were cited by other authors. Table 5 gives examples of how this would work.
-
TABLE 4
COMPARISON OF PUBLICATION RATING
SUBSCORES FOR SELECTED SUBJECTS

| Subject | . | $\begin{aligned} & \text { One } \\ & \text { Potnt } \end{aligned}$ | Two |  | Three Points. |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Evaluation } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\cdots$ | 6 . | 3 | ${ }^{1}$ | 2 |  | 18 |
| 2 | - | 0 | 0 |  | 6 | - | 18 |
| 3 |  | 12 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 14 |
| 4 |  | 0 | 4 |  | 2. | * | 14 |
| 5 |  | 18 | - 8 |  | 絁 |  | 34 |
| 6 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 12 |  | 37 |

TABLE 5 SEEECTED,SUBJECTS

| Subject |  | publication Count |  | Citation Count |  | PUI Count |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | * | 12 |  | $0^{*}$ |  | 0 |  |
| 2 |  | 11 | - | 13 |  | 1.18 |  |
| 3 |  | $\stackrel{17}{ }$ |  | $55^{\circ}$ | - | 3.24 |  |
| A |  | 1. 17 |  | 10 |  | 0.59 |  |
| 5 |  | 19 |  | 240 |  | -12.63 |  |
|  | - | $\checkmark$ | 0 | 7 |  | , |  |

A person, such as Subject 1 , with publications but no citations would have a zero PUI•factor. A person, such as Sibject 4 , with many publications but few citations would have a "PUl factor less than one. A.person, subject 2, who averages one citation per article would have a PUI factor of approximately one. A person with a few publications and many citations, slich as Subjects 3 or 5 , would have a PUI factor much greater than one.

Hagstrom (1971) had used ä similar measure in his study, "The Prestige of Universíty Departments." .However, he used mean citations in 1966 and divided by mean articles published from 1961-1966* Since Science Citation Index began in 1961 by indexing only 700 volumes (now 2,800 volumes are indexed), the Index may not have been farge enough to provide the sensitivity needed.

PUBLICATION USAGE INDEX
If the PUI factor is examined for both schools, an interesting event is seen (Table 6).

TABLE 6

- MeAN Publication usage


The progression through ranks at School $B$ reveals a tendency to select for instructors who have more citations per article than those instructors in the lower ranks. How this selection occurs in practice was not revealed by the present study.

The PUI at School A reveals that the mean article written by instructors in the senior ranks is cited 6.5 times.' Each article written senior faculty at School $A$ is cited by approximately six other papers. This gives some indication of the impression the senior faculty at School $A_{, ~}$ is. making on its respective disciplines.

The PUI at School B discloses an increased (but not significant)
citation rate in senior ranks, with professors' articles being cited by twa other articles.

AnalySis of variance revealed significant differences in PUI between the schools $(f=9.68, d f=155, p=0.002$ ), but pot between ranks. There was no interaction between schools and ranks. Hagstrom did find that average research articles, and citation counts combined accounted for half the variance in rated quality of dëpartmental prestige'.
'TYPES OF PUBLICATIONS BY OEPARTMENTS
The highest percentage of faculty members published articles in journals listed in. Science Citation Index. -A smaller percentage published in jourfnals not listed in the Index. Table 7 lists the departments and the percentage of faculty, publishing in journals with different values as determined in the weighting scale used in the present study.

The departments of Botany,'Geology, and Zoology at School A have
 These journals should not be thought of as, "lesser" journals: Rathen - what is being seen is a reflection of the current activity in the discipline. If a discipline is in a less actiye stage, 'the journal ärticles will be cited less frequently and will be accorded fesser weights.

The discrepancy between departments underscores the need to establ ish promotional criteria within subdisciplines of science, and illustrates the dangers of establishing generalized promotional criteria.

To summarize, the data indicate that white"publications are a factor In faculty promotions, only quantity is measured. There appear to "be'no attempts to quantify publications in any way. The Publication Usage Index is suggested as one way in which publications could be quantified if certain caveats were included. One dapger would lie in trying to quantify that exceptional work that does not find immediats acreptance in the field. Another would lie in trying to quantify publications between departments. However, when hard administrative decisions must be made, perhaps the Publication Usage Index could aid in judicious and thoughtful evaluations.

TABLE 7
PERCENTAGE OF FACULTY PUBLISHING IN VARIOUSLY WEIGHTED JOURNALS BY DEPARTMENT

| Oepartment | Journals Valued 1 Point | Journals <br> - Valued <br> 2 Points | Journals Valued <br> 3 Points | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Journals Journals } \\ & \text { Valued } \\ & 4 \text { Points } \\ & 5 \text { Valued } \end{aligned}$ | Sample Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School A |  |  | " | , $\quad$. |  |
| Microbiology | 43. | -57 | - 86 | - 14 | $\because 7$ |
| Botany | 72 | . 43 | 100 |  | 7 |
| - Chemistry | 43 | 28 | 87 | $\therefore \cdot \frac{4}{}$ | $23:$ |
| Geology | 78 | $\lambda_{1}$ | - 89 |  | 7 |
| mPhysics | 24 | 35 | \$97 | 10 | 6 |
| Physiology | 67 | 50 | - 100 |  | 6 |
| Zoology | 75 | $\therefore 33$ | 83 | - | 12 |
| 1 B |  | - | - | - |  |
| hool B |  |  |  | ', , ! | $\checkmark$ |
| Biology | 17 | 36 | $\therefore 54$ |  | 28 |
| Cinemistry | 21 | 32 | 53 | .' $\because$ | 19 |
| Physics | \$35 | 41 | 41 | - | $\because 17$ |
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 IN LABOR CONTRACT ADMINLSTRATIONS}

Since the ; 解eme of this conference is "Doing Institutional Research: A Focus on Professional Development", I thought it may be appropriate to speak about an application* of institutional research which may be ney to many of you, My topic is the role of institutional research offices in labor contract administration.

The organization of various employee groups at higher education institutions into bargaining agencies has been a•growing inovement over the past 10 to 15 years. Today, in many. institutions most employees, .. including maintenance, security, clerical, and professional staff, as well as faculty members are represented by bargaining agents recognized by the NLRB. In state systems or tultiple campus institutions, the legal iateraction between the administration and the unions takes place at the central administration level father than separately at each çampus. In single cgipus institutions; this interation takes place right on campus and is often far more visible to all employees, students and parents.

- While the involvement of $I$. R. offices in labor contract related activity may be more frequent in single fampus institutions, even in multi-campus institutions, much of the dafa needed to fuel the process must be supplied - by the I.R. offices pocated at the individual campuses. Why you may ask, invoíve Institutional Research offices at all?
'Dealing with jebor organizations effectively requires, among othef things, accurate, up-to-date and quickly retrievable statistical and
financial information about the "bargaining unit. During contract. negotiations, decisions are made and remade in-a relatively short and stressful time period. These decisions often involve policies and major - financial commitments for several years into the future. During the life of the contract, exact adminiatration of contract provisions, timely reporting and monitoring of implemented changes. and the ability to access, 'analyze and present historical data for grievance casés aréall essential. Errors at any of theae stages can be both financially and politically costly. The combination of skills* and data required to respond to these needs, typically exists in the institutional research office of the institution. Just exactly how can I.R. offices help in this process?

```
TYPES OF SUPPORT NEEDED IN LABOR CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION
```

During Gontract Negotiations
Contract negotiations typically involve the two parties presenting their Pespective proposals, which become the starting point for the negotiations. During the course of the bargaining, different parts of a contract are hammered out one at a time. Usually each aide has á handful of key issues which they consider important enough to fight for and other issues which are. "straw' men" propoged to be "yielded" during the bargaining. The key to the administration's stance on many of these issues, primarily concerning wages (or salaries) and fringe benefits, iso cost. Theręfore, at eaeh stage of the, negotiations, from original proposals to final contract, the cost of each alternative must be quickly and accurately calculated. This is where the inatitutional reasarch office comes in. With zccesa to the heceasary data and. data procesaing
ability, that office can model benefit costs in a yay that will allow the cost of proposed wage increases or benefit changes to be calculated reliably and quickly. Our experience has been that this type of model. needs to use individual data about bargaining unit members, rather than aggregate data, and combine these with changeable contract parameters. Using individual records rather than aggregate data is not onfy likely to yield more accurate results but also allows far more flexibility in the analysis and costing of alternative contract scenarios.

## Development of Comparative Statistics.

In the course of normal labor ${ }^{\text { }}$ relations, one party of both will ${ }^{\circ}$ inevítably resort to presenting comparative data to support* their, own position or to discredit the opposing position. This is another area where administrators will turn to the institutional research office for help. It is the responsibility of good institutional researchers to have at itheir fingertips the most current statistics about their own institution as well as about other comparable institutions. In addition, current and historical regional and national statistics must be accessible , ranging from the Consumer Price Index to various labor and teènsus statistics. Even moze important than having the ayailable data is the need to have institutional researchers who can critically evaluate the relevance of the, data and correctly interpret them. ${ }^{\circ}$ This is one point where the skill and the intellectual integrity of a good researcher can often be put to the test.
-*". . .
During the Administration of Contract.
The final contracts negotiated, especially; those involving faculty,

- specific sresults affecting. different groups in the bargaining unit. cypical example for faculty may tinvolve different benefits as a function * of longevity, academic rank, and acàdemic discipline. The inaticutien's.
* data procesising facility may not. 'be set up to handle such' unusual calculations or be able to incorporate them in their regular reports on short notice. This is another area where an'institutional research offic̀e can be called upon, to play a key part. The calculation and implementation $\rightarrow$ complex formulas for salary increases requires analytical skilts, a, complete and accurate data báse, and the data processing ability to $0^{\circ}$ combine the two, I, will return to che issue of the data base, since it. has proven in our experience to be one of the more difficult aspects of
 - Analysis and Reporting of the Results of tho Contract:
- One of the inevitable, and probably more useful, results of having negotiated labor concricts for any segment of employees' is che increased demand frdm both unions'and adinigtration for descriptive data about the 'status of the bargaining unit. The contrgat cypícally. provides for periodic (e.g. monthly) réporting of curdent membershipl their wagés or sadaries (possibly for current as well as' several. historifal periods), and numerous demographic deferiptors which identify employees as belonging to. one or another subgroup of the bargaining init. Both the administration and the union will be incerested in how closely and exactly' the provisions of the contract wére carried out and at what cost. In addition both. groüps will attempt to identify individual andor group inequities which, may occúr. Agaín che keys to providing this ínformation are analyțical capability, good data, and the necessar', dsta proçessing capability. In the course of providing, this type of information; ant interesting
' choice may arise. Unions will, on occassion' burden the employer with. blanket requests for large amqunts of information. They are often . entitled to have access to this type of information." The choice which arises is whether to inse institutional resodrces to perform a large number of analyses requested or to provide raw data instead. While the initial impulse may be "give theuf the data and let them do the work", it is $\therefore$ usually"better to provide the results of the requested analysis instead. The reason is that when raw data are provided, arguments will inevitably arise about the correctness of the analysisi performed by the union or of the conclusions drawn from the data. The institution will want its own 'analysis done to check the analysis made by the union. since the work will have to be done anyway it is easier to provide the resuits to start with, so everyoffe Works with the same information, avoiding when possible the debate about the analysis. The analysis needed is ideally performed by institutional reseârchers.


## Providing Datafor Grievance Settlements.

One additional aspect of an organized labor envíronment which may require the resources of an institutional research office is the processing of grievances. Grievances, by théir nature, involve claims about past events, act, or procedures. As such, they require complete \{and. accurate historical data and their meaningful interpretatioy. This type of data aren not nomally kept on-line by data processing centers but must be retrieved and compiled fretistorical records usually spanning several years. Depending on the method by which such data are stored aŋ the data processingi, capability of the institutionar research office, this retrieval 'task pay well fall upon institutional. researchers.

The decision concerning the role that, in e institutional research office plays in labor contract administration is influenced not, only by the technical ability of ouch ann office to provide support, but also. by considerations of the costs and benefits involved. The costs are not negligible. A campus which may have sex éveral bargaining units representing different employee groups, all negotiating. their $\quad$ own contracts on independent schedules is likely to be involved in negotiations almost on a constant basis. New contracts with 'new provisionís begin, annually. . In such a situation, the support needed could well require the fuil-time Homatment of an analyst, as well as occasional researchyand programing support. . In addition to the staff time, this involvement "will require constant and extensive use of data processing facilities.

In exchange for these commitments, the institution receives several benefit's. The first "of these is better information prepared professionally and consistently by institutional researchers who become ${ }^{3}$ intimately knowledgeable about, data relevant to the various bargaining tints. $A$ second benefit is'.that the variety of analyses and different ways of examining trends in staffing and benefits will provide insights into che institution's health which the administration may not have gotten without the close scruting of data required in this process. Questions personnel policies and procedures and the analysestwint often reveal areas
where changes or: improvements can: be made to the benefit of the
institution.

Should institutional : researchers get, involved in labor contract, related work, ${ }_{f}^{\prime}$ several difficultiegwill be confronting them. As we deal
with, statistics about larde populations, we are used th working with impeŕrect or incomplete data. In fact we often sandwich our reports between caveats and"disclaimers. When dealing, with labor unions, the "ustual tolerance for incomplete or "inaccurate, data is no longer acceptable. When we deal with the administration of a contract. or reporting data about the bargaining unit to the union, each and every record must be complete, accurate, andzcurrent. Inaccur nnesult in costly grievance proceedings and awards against the institution. Obtaining accurate data to work with can often become the most diffiçult part of our task.

Another difficulty possibly confronting those involved in labor contract work is the result of the inherently adversary relationship which exists.betwẹen labor unions and management. I am referring to the choice involved in the selection and reporting of data. Gare must be taken that data developed, or evaluatęd bý institutional researchérs in support** of arguments not be distortions or misrepresentations of the truth.

In closing I would have a few, words of advice to those institutional $\sigma$ researchers whomay get involved in labor contract related work.

- Avoid being responsible for data. maintenance. This is a never-ending task which. belongs not in the .institutional research office but should rest with other. academic or administrative offices such as the personnel, payroll, dean's or. academic vice president's office.
- Be on the alert fort comparative statistics. Establish and: aaintain contact with other institútioná, keep cli'ppings óf data publishedrin. the "Chronicle of Higher Education" and by many governmental and non-governmental agencies.
- Perform analyses for bòth management and unioni. By providing consistently complete and well analyzed data, you.can buildoa repulation for your office and yourself as sources of reliable infoomation and retain the respect of all. parties.: You will - also avoid being embroiled in debates about technical details. which cher often cloud more basif arguments of substance.
- Be able to stand behind your work with pride. Do not compromise your objectivity.

For those institutional researchers who are called upon to support. labor contract administration, this work. will be both, challenging and at times frustrating. In the end, however you will find that the area is full of opportunities for doing creative original research and for gaining new insights into the health and welfare of your institution.
'A MODEL OF UNIVERSITY TENURE DECISION-MAKING:
SOME ADDITIONAL RESULTS
Winship C. Fuller, President, FAGHAMMER, INC.
Susan A. Goodwin, Vice President, University of Lowell.
$t$
Tihis paper should be viewed as part of an ongoing project by the two authors to use econometric modeling techniques to evaluate the impact of selected factors on the process of promotion and tenure decision-making within the context of a large university. To date only the tenure decision has been modeled, with this paper extending the previous work of Fulier and Goodwin (1981) through the addition of variables measuring regional labor market pressure: Further refinement is anticipated to include addition of several more'academic years. of decision data as well as similar modeling of the fomotion process.

University faculties fave traditionally been highly concerned with . the methods used in granting tenure, and naturally so, since the goal of . this process to validate faculty accomplishments and to award caree security. Historically, universities have used various criteria in this e/aluation process; for example; excellence in teaching, publication, and pesearch, às well as service both to the academic communty and to the . /profession: Such factors are of course considered in the context of labor. market conditions within egach academic discipline, the level of tenure in each'department and college within the university, and the anticipate enrollment growth or decline.

In the R980's and 1990's when enrollments are expected to decline overall but not necessárily in an even fashion across disciplines, it may bërcome mere and more crucial to forecast requests for tenure by academic discipline. . This becomes all the more critical ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{if}^{\prime}$ ansinstitution seeks to impose broad guidelines for levels of tenure, or even goees so far as to spetify goals and timetables for tenure percentages. It is: within this contex't then that a model predicting more than the numbers that will be applying for tenure over the coming years would be helpful; more specificbly, what is the ifkelinood that those who apply for tenure will pass through the qualification protedure and achieve that status?

An examinationd of the literature has yet to unearth a. formal. -econometric"model of the tenure and promotion decision-making process. Much of the liteqature '(see the ERIC dàta base) focuses on the descriptions of the processes and their appjication, with and without. collec-- tive bargaining, to planning and institutional. resource management. The University of Sguthern California Faculty Pląnning Model makes.

- avai i̛able to its users the probable impacts of changes in uniquersity policies along several of these dilmensions. However, it has little to say concerning whether stated policies actually influence the individual decisions made on promotion and tenure (see Linnell, 1986, and Linnell: and Gray, 1977).

Presented herein are some preliminary results of econometric anàlysis of the actual tenure decision-making process at a large eastern
, university based on information on applitation for and the subsequent granting or denying of tenure over arecent three-year period. This process occurred within the contex. of a formal contract between the University administration and a facu,lty union. Both the tenure process and the criteria used were unchanged over the time period in question.

Maximum likelihood techniques are used to estimate the parameters of a model which accounts for the probadile correlation between "unmeasured factors" which affect bothr."faculty tenure appicications" and the "unjversity tenure decision-making "process:" This model has been used to assist in predicting success achieving a mandated trustee. goal of $10 w e r$ 角g tenure by $10 \%$ 省er 20 years.

Preliminary resuits point to the following: (1) In spife of the fact that the number of publications since date of - hire is generally thought to be a predominant factor in a university's tenure criteria, the variable which measures a faculty member's publication history since coming to this institution is not a donsnant factor. A variabre potentially mêesuring "level." of professional achievement prior to joining this institution's faculty " Thowéver, does appear to have a pelatively stronger impact on the unifersity"s decision.
(2) As one would expect, the university appears to attach more importance to years at this institution than the individual faculty member does when deciding whether or not to apply for tenure.
(3) As expecteđ, the two variables measuring labor market pressure point

## \%

،quite strongly toward individuals in lowaressure areas`being more likely to apply/ for tenure. However, contrary to expectations, these same faculty appear to have been more likely to have been, granted tenure; some possible rbasons for this phenomenon are discussed in the text.
(4) While the possession of a terminal degree is, by, far, the most signifi. cant determinant of whether or not an individual will apply for tenure, it is. somewhat less important inf the unimersity's decision to grant or deny it. (5) The uniwersity's commitment to Affirmative Action appears to be somewhat substantiated by positive coefficient on a variable measuring minority ṣtatus in the tenure function. Fon the other hand, for whatever reasons, females appear to. have a tendency not theven apply for tenure; there are several possible explanations for this phenomenon.

- (6) A variety of other variables.measuring an individual's status within the university appear to affect neither the applieation for nor the university's decision to grant tenure. $\dot{e}$

Finally, a comparison of the simple probit estimates of each function with those obtained from maximum likelihood analysis of the entire process (taking into account the probable correlations between unmeasured influences on each decjsion) indicates that proper specification of the decision-making process is of vital importance in determining how the variables influence each set of decisions.

A description of the actual tenure process is specified in summary form In fuller and Goodwin' (1981). The advantage and usefulness of this type of model is severalfold:
(1) the data. ís easily collected as part of an annual faculty activity or progress report, symmarized by the appropriate reporting administrator (dean, division head) for the annual report of the vice president;
(2) annual predictions can be made of those who are most likely to make it through the tenure screening process, ceterius paribus;
(3) the marginal levels of accomplishment which are necesslary to bring a junior faculty member up to tenure caliber can be roughly joentified; this becomes particularly interesting when applied to treaunseling of women . and $\mathfrak{m}$ inority junior facurty as they undertake to qualify themselves for tenure.
I. A Modél of Tenure

The granting of tenure can easily be classified into a two-stage process (Ny an individuàl. faculty member must decide whether or not to apply for

$$
93
$$

tenure, and then (2) the university must decide whether arnot tenure is ' ${ }^{\prime}$ be granted. If an individual chooses, not to apply for tenure, the in this model, no further consideration is given to that individual.

Within this two-stage decision-making process, several considerations are important. First, it is unlikely that the model is able to speçify alt factors influencing both the decision to apply for and the granting of the tenure. At best, variables measuring the more important individual faculty member characteristics, labor market conditions, and existing academic departmental composi ions can be collected. It is well-known that, should unmeasured factors influencing the application decision be correlated with the unneasured influerices in the tenure decision, consistent estimation of the parameters in this model cannot be achieved without, taking account of this phenomenon. Details of this sample-selection bias have been presented elsewhere (see, for-gxamlle, Venti \& Wise-(1982) and Venti (1982); Meyer and Wisse/(1979), Griliches, Hall, and Hausman (1978), and Hausman and Spence (1977). Clearly, any unobserved personality conflict which is likely to influence the tenure process would also be a factar of some importance in the aplications decision. As a result, some correlation tisuly to exist between unmeasured influences in each of the two stages of this decisionmoking process, and some measure of this correlation must therefore be considered as part of the overall model. Presented in fuller and Goodwin (1981) is a mode which descripes two separate decisions being made by. different decision-makers with these processes connected by the likely correlation between the unméasctaed characteristics in each equation.

Fuller and Goodwin (198i) describes ${ }^{\text {ºn }}$ the tenure model used in analyzing those factors which wost significantly influence both. the faculty member's décision to apply for tenure and the university's decion to grant tenure. In this section, a general description of the data used here is followed by presentation ảnd analysis of parameter estimates.
(a) Summary of Wata. Historical data on faculty accomplishments.was collected in 1977 as part of a presidentially mandated salary equity study." Subsequent updates in the Spring of 1.978 and 1979. have yielded the overall data base which is described, in Table l. In brief this information can be classified as follows: The race of each individual faculty hember was classified as either Caucasian, Black, Spanish-American, or Asian-American while
the usual characterization of male/female was used for the sex variable. The highest degree received by each faculty member was described as either. B.A., M.A., or PhD. Information on the number of years to have elapsed since. receipt of that degree was also obtained. A dichotomous variable was used to indicate whether that degree was Considered to be the terminal qualm ficatin for that particular profession; for example, in industrial technology and in musical performance, an M. A. is considered by this institution to be the terminal degree.

Years of teaching experience for each individual was divided into the number of years at the institution in question the number of years elsewhere. The 'rank of each faculty member, was classified as instructor, assistant professor, associate professor, or full professor; the number of years that an individual had held that rank was also identified." In addition, the annual salary paid each faculty member each. year was included.
The variable measuring a faculty member's publishing productivity since coming to the institution was deemed to be of primary importance. The f initial classification scheme which assigned a zero (0) for no publications, a one (1) for between one and three journal publications, a two (2) for foul to seven journal articles, and $g$ four (4) for eight or more articles or, for one, or more books was converted to actual numbers of publications. The percentage of faculty members tenured and the percent in each academic rank were calculated for each academic department and for each college within the univarsity. A dichotomous variable was also used in an effort to captừre unmeasure departmental and loge characteristics.

Mean salary levels were obtained for most. academic disciplines in an effort to measure the -labor market pressures and opportunities in each of these areas. It was also possible to specify those colleges within the univarsity where the most external pressure presented problems in obtaining qualified personnel. Since there appeared to be three distinct levels of labor market pressure within the colleges $(\dot{10}$, medium, and high), two dicho tomous variables were used to identify each individual faculty member's status; thus; Separate "low pressure" and "high pressure" variables, were io. created with the "medium pressure" status being the default category.
(b) Dependent Variables. Records of applicants for tenure, the subsequent progress through internal decision "channels", and the final evaluation. were available Precise identification was possible of those who appliẹd for
and those who were either granted or denied tenure ${ }_{2}$. and how for each made it through the five stage university decision process. All. of this information was obtained for each of the academic yers 1976-77, 1977-78, and 1978-79.. If a" faculty member was not at the university during any one of the'three years in question, hif or her data was classified as missing for that year: ónly.

The pooling of data for the three years in question is legjtimate only if internal university conditions were e.ssentially unchanged over the entire period. Two facts point toward this being the casse. First, the collective bargaining contract delineatìng both the tenure process and the tenure cri. teria was in force and unchanged during the entire time period. Second, the president, most of the dearis, and most of the membership of the relevant committees were unchanged•during this period.
(c) A Tenure-erocess Model. The results presented here are preliminary in the s.ense that certin variables discussed previoursty have yet to be'integrfted into the data base. For example, the effects of such factors as Wepartmental characteristics on either the applications decision or the tenure decision are as yet unknôn. Fortunately, none of these types of influences were deemed a priori to be of sufficient importance to have their exclusion substantially biás the results presented.here.

The result's are described in Table 2: Separate probit estimates were obtained for both the'applications, function and the tenure'function (second $\lambda$ and fourth columns). In contrasting these to the estimate of the two functions obtained with the joint maximum likedihood proçedure (described in Section (ll) which accounts for, "and also estimates, the probable correlation between unmeasured wiaracteristics ( $p$ ), little difference is found bet-
ween the two set's of estimates of. the applications funetion. This substanti-f. ates the well-known fact that sample-selection-bias only yields inconsistent estimates of the parameters pf theiprinciple (tenure) equation, not the sample-s)election (applications) equation.)
a comparison of the two sets of tenure estimates. (third and fourth". columws) illustrates this further. The estimated correlation between unimesured charactéristics $(\dot{p}=.82)$ is sufficiently large to cause. substantial bias in some of the simple probit estimates (e.g.; the terminal degree; the labor market, and the number of publicantions). Thus, had the joint maximym likelinood procedure not been employed erere, the results to be discussed next
' $\mathcal{L}$ would have been quite different, and inappropriạte.

With respect to these results \{as presented in the first and third columns of Table 2), it: is clear that the university tenure process considers the acquisition of the terminal degree to be relatively more important than is perceived by the individual applicant. However, both the individual applicant and the university appear to agree that the possession of a terminal degree is an extremely important factor determining whether tenure will J be granted.' (The marginal effects of each variable on the probabilities involved are presented in Table 3). This effect is sufficiently strong that the likelihood of being granted tenure without a terminal degree is extremely small.

It is also apparent that, contrary to expectation, the number of pubications since coming to this institution is not a verybither factor in either the applications decision or in the granting of tenure. On the othèr hand, however, both the individual applicant and the university seem to attach the same relative level of importance to each publication. It may be that some combination of the years of prior experience variable and the number of publications variable would be a more appropriate measure of the research "quality" of an individuad facuity member. Unfortunately, the years of prior experfence variable has yet to be incorporated into the data set for non-appliçants.

Another relatively important variable in both functions appears to be, the number of years at this institution, with the probability of both applying for and being granted tenure increasing as the years go by. A part of this effect is obviously measuring the requirement that an individual faculty member must either be granted tenure or given a terminal contract during his or her sixth year at this institution. It is alṣo important to note.that this variable takes on considerably greater importance in the university's tenure granting process than it does in the individual's application decision. The primary effect being felt hére probably applies to those individuals who apply for "early" consideration; the individual generally makes an "early decision" after personal comparison to colleagues within the college or department in queştion, yet the university must consider the faculty member in the context of the entire pool of applicants and those who have previously received tenure. Thus, the university is likely to be fay more selective in,granting "early tenure" than the individual applicant would expect.

Some measures of the success of the university's Affirmative Action efforts may be captured in the tenùre equation's racial minority variable.

The stated"policy of the university has been to concertedly searth for and hire highly qualified minority faculty members. The positive coefficient on this variable may reflect the inability of the publication and years of experience variables to totally capture all of their qualifications:- The negative coefficient on the sex variable in the applications equation is judged at least in part to reflect a redefinition of the terminal degree from M.A. to Ph.O. in one department consisting primarily of female faculty members (Nursing). Should a nega tive coefficient remain once this particular phenomenon has been controlled for, it could indicate a tendency for females_to move elsewhere, for whatever reason, prior to appiying for tenure.

- With regard to the two variables purporting to"measure Jabor market' pressure, the expected result of individuals in low "pressure areas being more likely to apply for tenure (possibly because they have'few employment alternaṭives) was obtained, Also, given that the University 'had recently gone' through a significant reorganization process many. of these individuals may have perceived' this as their last chance to take advantage 'of a "grandfather". clause in the collective bargaining agreement which:allowed individuals to become tenured at the Assistant Professor rank.." "Medium" and "high" pressure 'individuals appear to be little different from one another in the jikelihood of application. Of mere concern here, is the result that faculty members from "low pressure" colleges appear to be far more likely to bé granted tenure once they. apply" lagain "medium" and "high" pressure status do not differ significantly from one another). "The previously mentioned "grandfather" clause and other possible effects of transition to University status during the early years of this analysis may, well explain such a phenomenon. Continyed collection of data (now being undertaken) will reveal whether these findings did 'in": fact result from such transitory effects.

Other variables were explored for possible inclusion.in this analysis: Indicator variables for the college from which an individual was applying added nothing to the functions. Variables indicating an individual's, rank proved to be unuseable.in the tenure equation because the sample sizes were much too small (only two associate professors and one instructor applied).

In summary, some continued modeling of the tenure decision process has been described here. It's usefulness is seen in personnel planning and eval- " uation of tenure decision making processes over time. While the results described above are of considerable interest in their own right, additional refinement of the model would be helpful. First'a more careful specification of
wriables meacin ing dopartmental characteristics needs to be inciuded. The criteria of teaching excellence and service to the university and the comminity also should be measured and tested in these functions. It would also be helpful to have the same modeling effort applied in the sequence of faculty promotion decisions, from instructor through to full professor. $\because$ And, in conclusion, the model should be tested througk application to an existing set of potential applicants, yielding results indicative of the ability of this model to track indidual faculty, members as they may or may not apply for and be granted tenure.

TABLE_2
TENURE PROCESS


## MARGINAL EFFECTS OF TENURE PRCCESS VARIARLES

## VARIABLES

MAGNITUDE ${ }^{6}$ OF CHANGE*
RESULTING CHIANGE IN F PROBABILITY

## APPLICATIONS EQUATION

possession of a
Terminal Dégree: $\quad . \quad=\quad-1.9 \quad-\quad . \quad . \quad \div .222$

Number of publications: $\quad+\quad+3.57 \quad \cdots+.068$
Number of Year's Teaching
at this Institution:" . " $+1.58_{p}: \quad$ : +.080

Faculty Member's sex
( $2=$. feriale): . . . . +1.0
Low Pressure Labor Market. , +1:0
High Pressure Labor Market . +1.0
$+.065$

TENURE FQUATION*
Possession of a
Fextinnl Degree:
-6

Nuthber of Publications:
$-1.0$

Number of Years Teaching.
at this Institution:
$+4.39$
$-173$
$+1.38$
$+: 132{ }^{\circ}$
Number of Years Teaching

El'sewheye:
$+2.96$
Faculty Member's Race
( $1 .=$ non-white) :
Iow pressure Labor Market +1.0
High Pressure Labor Market . +1.0
$+.073$
$+.066$
$+.259$
$-.029$
*The magn'itude of chante in each variable was set equal to the standard deviation of that variable for all, those included in the sample used tio. estimate the parameters of each equation, except in the case of dichotomous variables where a change of either $-1 \Omega{ }^{\prime}+1$ was used where appropriate.
**The predicted probability of application, evaluated at the means of the -cöntinuous variable was: . 317 .
***The preặictéd probability of befing grantea tenure, evaluated at the means of the "̈ontinuous variables, was '. 191.
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The Role of Institutional Reseatch-Initiatory or Responsive?
-Loren Gould
Director, Institutional Research
Worcester State College
486 Chandler Street
worcester, MA 01602-2597

## INTRODUCTION

, There are nearly 2,000 individuals int higher education in this conntry who are working in institutional research either full-time or as a second or chard responsibility with sufficient ties to their institutional research role to be members of either the national or a regional institutonal research association. Nearly all'institutions of higher education have someone performing the institutional research function although many of these individuals are unknown to their counterparts at other instituLions.

Steckienn in 1966 pointed out the dichotomy of thought in the parpose of institutional research---institutional research, should be free to study any facet of higher. education free from demands to provide report ts relevant. to immediate problems or, alternatively, institutional research should serve as an extension of the president's or executive vice-presi-- 1 dent's office in developing reports to help. solve current operational.
 Stecklem thus "Ye produced into the literature the basic dichotomy of initiatory versus responsive (active versus passive) institutional research.

There if. a moventient for 'an initial atony role ty institutalonal' re-

be pursued. The institutional researchers, working with the institution's data may observe various trends whach should be brought to the attention of the decision makers of the collegerbut at the same time the institutional researcher should not attempt to make the policy decisions by the selection of data from one viewpoint only or by the nonsubmission of data contrary to the decision appearing most desirable to the inetitutional researcher; As shown by Ross's 1979 dissertation that surveyed ali two-year colleges in the country, institutional researchers tended, at least in this particular category of colleges; to significantly disagree with twoyear college presidents in regard to the problems facing such iñtitutions. Institutional research is a staff, position and as such should not attempt: to make lıne decisions. No indrvjdual can be completely impartial but to the maximum degree possible, a good institutional researcher should şopply all, the pertınent information available to make an unbiased presentation to those who are charged with the responsibility of making decistions.

This past year I isurveyed a limited number of colleges in the North-. eastern United States using two separate but related instruments upon the different occasions.. The first survey was done solely by mail and involved thirty-one institutions selected from those represented at a recent meetIng of the North East pssociation for Institutional Research. This preliminiary survey attempted to discover if there were any obvious character-. istics that set inıtiatory"instıtutional researchers apart from more re-sponsively-oriented ones. For the purpose of both of the surveys, an in:itiatory institutional research of fice was arbitrarily defined as one in which. ten percent, or more, of the studies produced during a specified time frame originated from within the institutional research office.itfelf, not as a result of external requests for data made to the office 10
whether such requests were totally external to the college or on-campus but external to the institutional research office. This classification was the result of 'the perceptionss of the respondent to the survey since. they alone decided what percentage of their studies originated from within the instatutional research office. Some of the characteristics investigated fncluded respondent ones such as sex, age, educational beackground, 'asşocıation memberśhips, unvorvemęt with institutional research organizatıons and actıvities on college governance committees, while others were characteristics of the college such as size of enrollment, sources of funding, level of degrees of fered, and similar related items. None of these ") showed statıstically sıgnıficant relationships to institutions where the. unstitutional research function was ${ }^{\text {cla }}$ lassified as initiatory. This preliminary study was used to refine and narrow down areas to investigaterifor possible significance .so that the more detailed study that followed could focus more acturately upon potentially significanț factors.

The results of the preliminary survey indicated the need for more subjectivé information than could be cuiled from a mailed suryey alone. Thus the final questionnaire was much more fully developed into twenty eight question instrument encompassing six pages sent to a randomly chosen sample of higher education institutions from New York and New England. After the return of the completed questionnaire, each participating institution was telephoned in order to clarify elements of the questionnaire that were not evident to the respondent and also to. allow for clarification of answers that were not clear to the reçipient of the completed questionnaife. The followup telephone calls also allowed antopportunity to . ask' an additional set of questions that involved perceptions relating inistitutional research at each institution to the rest of the administrative

- structure, to the faculty, and to the students.


## FINDINGS

1 Institutional research offices that tended to be initiatory in thear work by producing studzes and reports, within their time constraints, that were not requested from outside the office of institutional research itself, shared two areas of commonality. First, the greater the number of years of doing institutional research, the stronger the ties, to being an initiatory institutional researcher and second, the more the institutional researcher believed that institutional research should pe more initiatofy, the more initatory that office tended to be. This was no more than would be expected since the longer one works in a given field, the more instiatory one would be expected to be presuming that the incumbent was interested in the work.
. . The more detailed second study showed that the major criterion separatihg private from public institutions was the locus of control for declsion making and that all two-year, all four-year, and adl universities could be grouped mpether for analysis regardless of private or public control dnce this locus was recognized. This second study analyzed the colleges in three different groupings:. phivate two-year, public two-year, private four-year, public four-year, private universities, public universities; all'two-year colleges, all four-year colleges, and arl universities; and all private and public institutions, The only factor that was statis-. tically fignificant in aìl thíee analyses was the amount of time 'spent by the respondent doing institutional research work; This finding was reirforced by the statistically significant finding among all six college ${ }^{1} W_{i}$
types and among the two-year, four-year, and university analyses that the presence of one or more, full-tıme workers in institutional research was,", related to the effectiveness of the function as a recognizable feature' of higher education. This statistical significance was not present in the private/public analysis indicating that the presence or absépce of a fulltame employee in institutional research was not of value in separating public institutions from private ones.

The, amount of institutional resparch done by the use of a computer was. statistically significant when angering the six different types of institutions and also when analyzing all two-year, all four-year, and all universities, but was not significant when comparing all private institutrons with all publid_ones. This suggested the relationship of college size and complexity to the presence or absence of institutional research, not to the source of funds. Related to this was the statistical signifycane of the availability of computers to the person charged with the unstatutional research responsibility. This was significant only in the analyst of the two-year, four-year, and university types of institutions clearly suggesting that the availability of computers for use by selected administrators is related to the size and complexity of the institution. Also interesting in this analysis'was the statistical significance of the use of advanced management tools and techniques to this grouping. When ally six categories were analyzed this statistical significance disappeared suggesting again the $3 n f l u e n c e ~ o f ~ s i z e ~ a n d ~ c o m p l e x i t y ~ u p o n ~ t h e ~ u s e ~ o f ~ s u c h ~$ tools and techniques.

A series of vignettes were developed describing' the varying types of institutional research characteristics at the six different types of $\therefore$ colleges: such vignettes suggested the great variety to be found among
the practitioners of institutional research but there were lalso threads of commonality such as the increasing importance of institutional research with increasing size and complexity of institutions. The following table summarizizes the statistically significant chl squares found in the second study:

Statistically Signifficant Chi Squares



This study serves only as a prototype to analyze the fieldyof insti-, tutional research but it is a beginning point very needed at this time of - decreasing membership in institutional research associations reflecting fiscal constraints nationwide. Institutional research has the potential . to be of major service. to higher education but it requires practitioners who have the abisity to see areas where studies should be made and 'develop studies from existing data with which they have more familiarity" than any ther functional segment of higher education.

SECOND SURVEY INSTRUMENT

1. ..Your name $\qquad$
2. Sex: Male $\qquad$ Female $\qquad$
3. Age:' Under 30 $\qquad$ * $30-39$ $\qquad$ 40-49 $\qquad$ $50 \sim 59$ $\qquad$ Over 59 $\qquad$
4. Degrees beyond baccalaurate:
$\qquad$
5. a, Other training or experience you hąve found most relevant to your work in instítutional research:
b. 'Job related experiences you have found relevant to your work. in institutional research:
$\qquad$
6. Do you currently hold faculty status? Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$ If yes, what rank? Tenured? Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$ and in what department? $\qquad$
7. Your current title as related to your institutional research function?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
'8. What is the title of the person to whom you report in your ipstitutional research function?
$\qquad$
-9. To. what titla does the institutional research function report if different from the answer to question eight?
$\qquad$ 0.
8. What is the number of years you have been doing-ninstitutional research.at your present institution?
$\qquad$ years
'In your higher education career?
$\qquad$ years
9. a. of which, if any, of the following professional associations are you a member?

AIR $\qquad$ REPAIR $\qquad$ ARA $\qquad$ AERO $\qquad$ AAH $\qquad$ ARA $\qquad$ AIDS $\qquad$ :
b. What other professional associations related to institutional research do you belong, to? $\qquad$
12. What style of management most closely resembles that found at present on your campus when it comes to decision making in the areas listed below? Please place a check in the appropriate space for each decision.

Local - local control of the decision from origin to implementation.
Local+off - local development of the decision but requiring off-dampus approval before implementation.
Off+Local - decision developed off-campus but with consid-- erable input from the campus.
off - decision made off-campus and handed down to be implemented on campus.
Col/Bar - decision process controlled. by collective bargaining'agreements:

13. What percentage ${ }^{\text {ff }}$ your work of answering questionnaires and doing institutional research studies do you do:
by hand? $\qquad$ or by computer? $\qquad$ \%
14. Do you have, a computer available at your college on which institutional research work is done?
a. If yest what kind of a computer do you have access to?
$\qquad$ -
b... Do you make use of the computer yourself? Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$ c. $A^{\text {If }}$ yes, in what ways do you use it?
15. Do you use any of the following in your institutional research work? Linear programming $\qquad$ queueing theory $\qquad$ Monte Carlo simula"ion $\qquad$ PERT $\qquad$ CAMPUS $\qquad$ EDUCOM $\qquad$ NCHEMS $\qquad$
Please specify any similar tools or concepts that you use:
$\qquad$
16. What percentage of the institutional research studies generated by your office receive direct feedback to your office from your'superiors in the chain of command?
$\qquad$ $\%$
17. Have you seen examples of changes in college policies, that resulted from your institutional research reports?

Yes $\qquad$ : No $\qquad$
18. During the past two years, was an outside consultant hired to do one or more institutional research studies at your institution other than doing statistical analysis for you?
Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$ Unknown $\qquad$

If no, has the use of an outside consultant: for doing institutional research studies been considered by your "institution in the past two years?

Yes $\qquad$ - No $\qquad$ Unknown $\qquad$
19. Do you share the results of your institutional research with fellow institutional researchers at other colleges?

Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
20. Do you publish institutional research studies in campus publicalions $\qquad$ , in non-refereed journals such as NEAIR publishes $\qquad$ _, or in refereed journals such as-AERA publishes $\qquad$ ?

None of the above $\qquad$
21. In the institutional research staff categories listed below, please indicate the number of full-time(35 hours or more per week) and parttime staff:

Institutional Research
Staff Categories Full-time Part-time
Professional

22. Do you have the general coordinating responsibility for questionnaires and institutional research at your instatution?

Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
If no, please list the titles of other offices that share this responsibility، with ybu:
23. Is the institutional research office supported by an advisory group? Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
If yes, please indicate below the number of administrators, faculty, and students that make up the group:

Administrators $\qquad$ Faculyy $\qquad$ students $\qquad$ Others $\qquad$
Please specify any others $\qquad$
7
24. What'is your estimate of the percentage of time you spent doing institutional research work this past college year(1980-81)?
$\qquad$
$\%$
25. Is there an established procedure to request reports from your office for other segment's of the college to follow?

Yes' $\qquad$ No $\qquad$ If yes, please describe: th.
26. Please estimate the percentage of time during a-typical work year that your institutional research work falls into the following categories:
(A) Off-campus - questionnaires and data supplied to agencies external to the college such as HEGIS reports for the federal government, data for acerediting agencies, college profiles for admission yearbooks, requests from professional associations such as the American Council' on Education, local comunity groups or doctoral requests sych as this one.
(B) On-campus - studies supplied to other segments of the college but' where the request originated outside the institutional research ôffice. This might involve data for grant applications; , faculty and student data for management purposes, and similar requests that come from on-campus but external to the institutional research office.
(C) Initiated - studies that originated from within the institutional research office at the suggestion of those working in that office without (any outside incentive. Thses may be the result of analysis of data collected for other purposes but which the institutional researcher saw could be reinterpreted for management information purposes or it might just be data collecwed and analyzed purely at the initiative of the institutional researcher.
(D) Other - other time-consuming activities that fall within the purview of institutional research but not within the preceding〈 three categories? Please list below, in general terms, what these activities consist of:
(A) Offf-campus $\qquad$ - (B) On-campus $\qquad$ \% (C). Initiated $\qquad$ \%
(D) Other $\qquad$ \%. Total should equal $100 \%$.
-27. Have any of your studies, originally initiated by the institutional research office, become routinized as standard reports?

Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
If yes, please specify any such stùdies which have been"so routinized during the past two year's: .
28. Assuming that all conditions were favorable, what percentage of time do you believe should be available for the, institutional research staff to initiate studies of the college based on the staff's knowledge of the needs of the college?


As a followup to this questionnaire and.to ask certann other questions pertaining to your relationship to other offices on your campus, I will call your office shortiy affer receipt of this questionnaire. Please list any blocks of time when you will not usually be available for such a call such as regularly scheduled meetings, lunch hours, vacation time or known of f-campus engagements in. the near future:

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Your phone number: $\qquad$
*Thank you for participating in this study. If you are interested in the results of this study please check below.

I am___ amnot__interested in receiving a copy of the summary results of this study.
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## ACCESS: The System That Never Had A Chance To Fail

Dr. Steven H. Gratch, Office of:Admissions Mr. Louis M. Spiror Office of Analytic Studies

State University of New York
\&. College at Brockport
This is a case study of how a system that has administrative support but lacks politicàl control can run into difficulties.for those the system is intended to serve. This particular case involves the development of an informational resource sysfem intended for use by the Admissions Office of a medium sized public highèr educational institution. Direçtors of Admissions in many colle'ges have increased their dependence upon Institutional Research and Data Processing offices significantly because of greater competition for students and the recognition of the need for more sophisticated enrollment management techniques, Larger universities have demonstrated that strategic market planning depends heavily upon close working relationships between Admissions Office and Institutional Research offices to produce the analysis and information necessary to direct resources for more effective recruitment strategies. Some universities and colleges have even gone as far as incorporat $4 n g$ research staff into the Admissions office. However, at many colleges, the important'informational resource link between Admissions and Institutional Research is at best, underùtilized ang in some cases almoşt non-existent. This paper explores a case study of the development of an informational link between Admissions and Institutional Research which helped initiate and fina,liy "accomplished" this particular project. "Fhe goal was to design and implement a new computerized prospective student tracklng system, but a vast array of political, organizational and operational factors at various times .have helped and hindered the development of the system.

## SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENTAL HISTORY

The very beginning of the idea for such a system stemmed from a request from, the Admissions office to the Institutional Research of ice to "fill. in numbers" on a survey of the Scholastic Apptitude Testing Company. As this was deemed to be the "function" of Institutional Research, to supply "numbers", nothing unusual would have been noted from such a request. However, a point of frustration had been reactied by the institution at the beginning of the

1980's due to the deçline of enrollments and it had resulted in an administrative reorganization at this college. The reorganization included the creation of specialized research offices in other major divisions of the College (i.e. Student Affairs and Academic administration) in order to provide greater assistance to the Institutional Research Office. In the case of the Admissions office at Brockport, this reorganization allowed a new Institutional Research link through the newly created Office of Research and Evaluation for Student Affairs.

The Director of the Institutional Research office, which is in the Administrative Services division, contacted the new Student Affairs Office of Research to discuss the request. It was during these discussions that the need for a student prospect file became evident and would be possible with current available resources. With further research into the request made by . the Admissions office, it was "discovered" that the infomation on prospective students who have tăken or will take the standardized national tests. were supplied monthly on tapes and cards for disk storage. Receipt of this information triggered little, if any, response from our Admissions office. From this inftial inquiry into lack of responsiveness to our prospective students the idea of building*a Prospective Ştudent File, which would then be combined with a compu'ter generated and coded mailing system for appropriate informational pieces to be sent to these students, was developed.

During this same period of Mid-1980 the reorganization of the College included the search for a new director $8 f$ the Admissions office. The idea for this new student prospect system, therefore, had to be presented'without the endorsement or direction of the office for which it was intended. The directors of Institutional Research and Research for Student Affairs approached the Vice President for Student Affairs, the office responsible for the college's admission process. When presented with the idea to develop the system, the Vice President's response was immediate and positive. With his approvat and support, work began immediately to proceed with the development of this Prospective Student File:

The first draft presentation of the computerized tracking system for Admissions was made by the Office of Research and Evaluation for Student Affaijrs in early October of 1980. The presentation was.made to the College's executive management and was positively received and approved by the President and his staff. The" detailed "walk-through" presentation outlined the benefits of the new system to the College, as well as forseeable obstacles to its .
development, but received no apparent opposition. from any area, ". In. fact; shortly thereafter, the project was given "Top Priority", for development and implementation so that the impacț would be evident by falit of 1981 . "This accelerated acceptance was due to the concern of the entire organization over the 'recent hispory of declining enrollments and the belief that a system such as, the one proposed, could help-th stabilizing or perhaps increasing student yields:

As work on the development of the system proceeded, the two research offices working together incorporated the assistance of the 0ffice of Administrative Data Processing to develop a program on the Burroughs B-6800, only recentily installed in the summer. of 1980. As"well as Administrative Data Processing, the office of the University Systems Analyst was brought in to oversee the impact of the development of this admissions system and insure integration with the Colleges existing file structures.".It was anticipated that the idea for Admissions could grow to a College-wide Data Base communica, tions network for appropriat'e offices within the college (e:g'. Registrar ard Records, Financial Aids, etc.).
$\therefore$ Although efforts were made to provjde leadership in the Admissions area during this period of reorganization the search for $r_{\text {s }}$ a new director of Admissions was begun but not concluded until November of 1980. By December the new director assumed her responsibilities. In discuss.ion with the new营 ${ }^{3}$ rector it was agreed.that the development of the system should continue subject to her approval on modifications and new additions to the Admissions process.

It was "assumed" in.the beginníng of. 1981 that the admissions area would be responsible for implementation once the specialized research offices completed the initial stages of systems design. Normal appointment was made, however, by the President. In the Spring of 1981 two additional changes in the organization occurred which affected the implementation phasevof the project. The first occurence was the temporary-change in reporting within the organization for the Admissions office. This change made it necessary for the Admissions director to reorient the new leadership to the importance of the project and its position among a new set of priorities that this temporary leadership was faced with. The second factor was that during the $\rightarrow$ period the President of the College chose to resign and the search for a new Chief Executive Officer for the College proceeded.

With these events chronicled let us now'proceed to a brief description of the actual system.

ACCESS: $\frac{\text { PROSPECTIVE STUDENT } F \text { ILE }}{-6}$


The student tracking system, known as. ACCES\& (Admissions Commulications - Components for Enrollment Student Searc̣h), had four basic goals. These were to:

1. . Segment student populations, prospective and applicant students, geographically. so that Admissions would have a better idea of areas . in which it was doing well in attracting new students, and areas that ` would need more attention in.the future.
2.- Provide information to the prospective and applicant student in a timely, and sequentíal manner. ©This would result in the coordination of student coatacts and would increase efficiency byel iminating dupfication of information.
2. Analyze and evaluate recruitment mechanisms and strategies and suggest improvements for the future.
3. Develop, the new system within the existing limits of current uresources of the Admissionş, office.
The mafn purpose of the ACCESS system has continued to be the provision of a systematic delivery of necessary materials to both, prospective (no application on file) and ảplicatat (with application on file) populations of students. As indicated earlier; during 1981 until the present the Offices of Administrative Data Processinğ, Institution 1 Research, University Systems Analyst, and Research and.Evaluation for Student Affairs along with Admissions has worked on, the necessary details of such a file (e.g. format, codes, materials, schedulés ) and its final .implementation for the Fall of 1982 (originally Faill 1981).

The concepts of coordinated data-base communication system betweenrelevant student service offices thatt would uassist the student applicant has not, as yet peen fully addressed. However, the objectiyes for the Admissions. office have been clearly defined. They are:

1. An on-i ine computer system: for prospective and applicant students to Brockport eollege.
2. The use of the ACCESS file to provide systematic records and continuous contact with both the prospects and the applicants.
3. Analysis of Brockport's market share and development of potential markets':
4. Evaluations of Admissions procedures to increase efficiency and effectiveness of these processes
5. To provide timely and clear profiles of incoming classes that are the outcome of Admisstions efforts for recruitment.

THE PROCESS
The Office of Admissions ha's prepared an "input card" to. be used when contact is made with prospective students by çounselors, staff and faculty. This card will provide enough informatien to create a record on the ACCESS. File, which will be created by.the Admissions dffice using the on-line system. The number of records in this file wixh be controlled by the Director of Admissions in order to predict the size of applicant pools and finally matriculant students to meet enrollment quotas. By creating a record from Carious sources who widetry the input card to the Admissions office, a process wtil be triggered which will start a seriés of materials to be mailed to the prospective students. These matorials will attempt-to convince the student to submit an application. This file consists of prospects in the Categories of freshmen, transfers, and high school seniors.
"Before sending each mailing, a check of the prospect's file will be made to see if an application has been'received. If an application has been received, then the materials sent to the student prospect will cease. A different series of materials wilt begin which are necessary for applicants. If the student is fof fered admission to the college, then, this prescribed series of mailings will contjnue until the student arrives on campus to begin hiss/her studies' or does not accept' the offer. Thís "paptof the file consisto of applicants in the categories of frestimen and tranis fers.

## - PROPOŞED OUTCOMES

$\therefore$ :Fron the information supplied by thé ACCESS system a number of uses for the Admíssions, office have been planned in its development:
A. "By generating a list of "hgh school seniors from the file and matching them with applications.received by the Coklege, a list of "match-senfors" (with, applications on file) and "no-match seniors" (no ápplications on file) cán be generated. At this point, the nomatch sênior can be crossed with the SAT tapes and ACT cards to
determine whether or not Brackport has received their scores. "At that time a letter from the Admissions office could be sent to the no-mánch student stating that Brockport has received their SAT or ACT scores and is awaiting their application,
$t$
B. A list of high school juniors that have been contacted will be generated so that earlier and continuous communiçation with the student and his/her family can be made. Information from the Admissions office will be sent to the student's parents, since, more often than not, the parents have a greąt deal. of influence in the decision process of choice of callege. A letter from the .. Financial Aids office could be sent offering assistance -- giving answers to where appTications ccan be-obtáined, ạnd any, deadlines to be met-
C. A list of high school applicants will be generated and sent to the appropriate officials at the high, schools they are attending. In this. manner, the Admissions office can communiçate with guidance counselors as to who is applying from their school and indicate whether the quality is sufficient or' not. The same sort of process can be done for the transfer population from two-year colleges.
D. This file will be used to evaluate Admissions travel. By crossing the applications on the ACCESS file with high school or two-year college codes to get a better idea of where vișitátions are effective ort where they should be reduced. Geographic distribution of matriculated students will help in planning more effective admissions strategies, as well.
E. Additional uses of the file will provide the basic information to. thelp market the college. For example, it will give location and educational history"on prospective. applicants and allow better planning for these incoming students. It should give offices such as those involved in. transcript evaluation and advisement. a better indicator of total numbers of students they will be processing in advance of completed applications so they can be prepared. It will also be possible to examine whether or not certain segments of the applicant pool are being reached (e.g., non-traditional; etc.). The preceeding re just some examples of the scheduled uses of the , system. This system, however, has not reached the stage of full implementation, although originally scheduled for Fall of 1981. At present, it is estimated
tḥat implementation will occur"by Fall of 1983. Why? The authors will now attempt to analyze how a system, wanted, approved and supported by a collège administration can be, hindered in its development by the same organization.

PROBLEMS THAT HIND'ER
The reader may ask, "how can this system with solid organizational
 many reasons for delay in implementation of the system and most have been organizational rather than technical in nature: The politicis of higher education is always a variable in implementation that should be taken into consideration. Certaiñy, the example of Brockport is one which clearly has shown that organizational and political reorganization has played a role in slowing the process of implementation, even in its climate of accep-? tance to initiation of this particular system.

As indicated in the brief history of development of this system, it was indicated that the initiation of the project occurred at time when the leadership within the Admissions office was in transition. The previous Director of Admissions had been moved to a different position within the college's organization during this period and no interim director was chosen to replace him during the search period: From June 1980 untilDécember 1980, the Admissions office was "leaderless". . Thereffore, the office for which the system of ACCESS was being designed had little; i,f any direct input: Such a lack of user input can and has led to somewhat of a "benign neglect" attitude, since the staff knew little of the operational techniques of the system. For the system designers this meant that they had. to guess. what the new director might want from the system and this also led to a "fear" that a new director might not even want to use the .system once completed. This, of course, gave the designers a feeling of isolation which is always detremental to the completion, of such a project's development. After this six-month period, a new Director of Admissions was chosen; and after an "adjustment"" period of approximately three months. the director finally had a chance (due to the fact she was hired in the middle and peak of the admissions cycle) to review what had been initiated. She endorsed the completion of the ACCESS file and inquired if she should direct its completion. No direct answer was given to her, so each office went about finishing its part without direct supervision or coordination.

To exacerbate the situation, the President-of the, College; although having indicated he was going to retire, decided to reorganize parts of the College, due to political conflist among some of the administrative* sutấff. The Admistions qffice had been moved physically during this period to a new location or campus; and, then after the new director had been in her, job only four months, it was decided to change the reporting structuretemporarily to a lean instead of the Vice President to whom she had been reporting. The dean was in a different division of the College ast wall. Since resources for the project had all been in the Student Affairs division it took another three months to initiate and explain what had been done in this particular project to the new dean. So, an additional three month erapsed before being able to continnue the project.

By July of 1981, the new P'resident had been choserf, and he direttes the Admissions office to report to the Vice-Presidential level, as before. The Director of Admissions had to reorient the entire project back again to its original area of Student Affairs.

The changes affected the project even though it was given the institution's "number-one" priority designation. Ewen with this designation, however, the continuou's shifting of the reporting strufture created a situation wherein indịiduals with no previous knowledge of the system hạd to be indoctrinated and convinced that resources should continue to be diverted towards the project's development. Often, this created confusion, since, not ali of the resources could be made available_under the new organi.." zational structure. 'It became particularly confusing for those working on creating data input screens for ÁCĆESS and the Data Processing manáger . because no notification of who might be in charge of the project, or which divisionit was necessary to contact for clearances, or whose budget the cost of computer equipmént and supplies should be billed.

Another point of interest is how the "number-one" priority désignation came about. Before the spring of 1981, there were a number of requests given to Administrative Services Division's Administrative Data Processing and the University Systems Analyst. With limited staff, the number: of persore hours to accomplish' the proposed projects' in these areas would have taken \# decade: The development of priorities became imperative. This issue was brought to the attention of executive management, resuitting in a full morther - toprioritize the list of requests for programming. Due to the obvious problems in declining enrollment, the freess system was again given the institution's top priority for these antas. . $12 \%$

Within the Admissions office itself, there have been areas which have lagged behind in development. Manual systems for back-up when the computer is "down" were necessary. How to train staff to use the system has.only recently been given some attention. The reason for the delay has not been lack of interest but more to do with the nature of the admissions process, cycles and external factors, such as the changes in reporting.structure for Admissions indicated earlier. The authors believe that since the project was developed in isolation, rather than in association with staff of the user office, that it has been difficult for the user to understand and often appreciate certain parts of the system as it wás built. Of course, due, to the pressing concern of-declining enrollment, short-term and more immediate projects (e.g'• increàsed travel by staff) have lessened the avàilable timee necessary for implementation. These shorter term strategies have, for the most part, taken precedence since they emanate from the executive management. This continual "crisis management" mode of operation detracts from the time necessary to be spent upon the longer range systems implementation.

Another factor in slowing the implementation of the mailing process was due to the hiring of an outside marketing consultant initiated by the College in Spring of 1982. Even. if the system were operational in Spring of 1982, the consultant indicated that new materials would be developed and the Admissions office had to wait until the company hat finished producing these new materials. Since these materials have not as get arrived, the decisjons as to when, how and to whom they will be directed have not been made. Existing máterials have not been re-ordered to insure against the use of "out-of-date." materials: The entire marketing effort is only now bejng designed so that even with the delivery system in place, the materials are not as yet available.

Interestingly enough, the marketing consultant indicated his lack of knowledge in writing his recommendations regarding the importance of such a system as ACCESS for timely delivery of marketing materials. Certainiy, - this omission may set the project back, since it could be seen by the executive management as less of a priority in the overall marketing plan for the institution.

## LESSONS LEARNED

One of the major outcomes of this continuing process was that even with all. the political and organizational obstacles some truly significant. changes were instituted. What has been accomplished has been due to the persistence ò a link developed between the Institutional Research office and the Admissions office. An example of utilizing this link occurred when the Admissions office was asked to shorten its turn-around time from receipt of application to mailing of acceptance offer. Staff in Institutional Research made suggestion's Concerning the current process to the Admissions director, which reduced the time for turn-around. The link can be used effectively once confidence in the fact that institutional researchers can do more than "provide numbers"' is established in the eyes of the Admissions professional.

- Less positive are those lessons which have weakened these same links. These lessons are:

1. Initiation'and implementation are "equally important and should never be isolated but rather coordinated within the organization.
2. Good ideas and systems are subject to the hazards of the political environment of'the organization and simply cannot be avoided.
3. Project management problems arise quickly; The lack of specific' project responsibilities, time lines, progress reports and evaluation of outcomes makes it very easy for greát lengths "of" time to pass with relatively little accomplished.
4. There must be a "cónspicious "consumer" actively involved throughout the length of the project.

STUDENT CHOICES: WHY ARE ELECTIVE' COURSES ELECTED?
Robert F. Grose, Office of Institutional Research, Anherst College
F. Introduction

My own interest in finding out more about elective courses and how they get to be that way stems from several sources. There has been a major trend toward higher proportions of electives in our curricula. We have also been trying to understand more about the learning histories of individual students and how much value has been added in the ir learning development during the college years. Elective courses provide us with both clues and questions.

The current highly sensitive reaction to course enrollments by individual facuity, departments; programs, administrators, trustees, and students invites us to understand better how to project course enrollments. Staffing, advising, funding, scheduling, all provide occasions for wanting to know why students take certain courses.

Although precursors can be discovered, the general movement to an elective curriculum is ordinarily attributed to Charles W. Elliott who became President of Harvard in 1869. He had spoken out before his inauguration and continued to champion an increasingly elective.curriculum.
Frederick Rudolph's Curriculum, A History of the American Undergraduate Course of Study (Rudolph, 1977) as well as several recent books by Arthur Levine on past and current curricula in higher education supply very helpful background. .

Rudoliph demonstrated that the change from a college to a university was facilitated by the elective system. He further noted:
> "President John E. Bradley of Illinois College was probably unaware of both Galton and Cattell when, early in the 1890 's he observed: 'To m mind the object of elective studies is not so' much to permit' a student to choose those branches which bear upon his future work as to enable him to select such as will interest him and thus lead his mind to act with greatest vigor.'. Neither the old school nor the new school had the final answers on how the human mind worked; the new ${ }^{*}$ school may have helped to kill off some of the silly pretensions that had been advanced in the name of Greek, but it did not eliminate the idea of mental disc.ipline and training, of habit and routine and work as sources of intellectual vigor."
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Rudolph goes on:-
"Edwin E. Slosson's verdict on the elective system was also a
$\therefore$ pronouncement on the new and old schools of psychology: !That many students abuse the elective system is obvious, but the prescribed system abuses many students, and this is worse."
II. Concepts

Space does not allow further exploration of the intriguing history of the role and nature of electives in our undergraduate colleges and universities. On one extreme we have a concentration or major almost 'always being required for students. In a⿱defition to the concentration We have some sorts, of general education courses sometimes referred to as core. The same courses are sometimes prescribed for all students or groups of students (common courses), but more often a distribution is introduced within which there are partial electives under prescribed groupings. Finally, there are free electives that go to make up the remainder of the students ${ }^{\text {i }}$ required number of courses whether by semester, year, or degree program.

But we must keep in mind another distinction. A course taken by one student for his or her major may constitute a free elective for another student. Certain concentrators must take prescribed courses, whereas other students may elect to take those identical courses (or on the other hand may be prevented by regulations from taking those courses.) From instijtution to institution the amounts of the student's program consisting of general education distribution and of concentration will vary and hence will the proportion of free electives. Moreover, even within the major or concentration there are often some"elections" from groups of courses within the major field.

At Amherst College; the proportion of courses required for the bachelor of arts degree that can be devoted to free electives has changed considerably over the years. Professor Hugh Hawkins, a member of the Select Committee on the Curriculum, recently wrote some of this history for us. In one of his tables here attached (Appendix I) the entry for 1875-76 shows only $15 \%$ of the courses were free elections. By 1905, Amherst had followed Harvard and moved to some $75 \%$ electives in its curriculum. 'Things changed and in 1946-47, Amherst introduced a curriculum in which the first two years were predominately courses common to all students. The proportion of electives dropped to $29 \%$,
but then by the early Seventies, the faculty had moyed back to allow some 75\% of courses to be free electives for students:

It is with this background that-I encountered a continuing problem. for me as an institutional reseárch investigator. With such a wide opportunity for students to elect courses, and without any distribution specified, it became more and more difficuilt to describe to the College community just what students did take. We evolved a simple count as a crude way of showing what mäny of the students did and produced summaries of the courses elected by graduating classes throughout their time at the College. Table A, for example, shows the way in which the Humanities majors distributed their 3301 courses in their four years. Amherst is part of a Five-College Consortium so some courses were taken off campus at the other institutions: Hampshire College, Mount Holyoke College, Smith College and the University of Massachusetts. Here are some ' 264 courses or $8.9 \%$ of their total courses. (Appendix II).

This and similar tables are developed somewhat as a course load matrix, but rather than limiting ourselves 'to a single semester or year, the packets of courses taken by each student (no matter in which year) are the vehicles for analysis. Another example is found in Table F (Appendix III) which shows for several 'ypars whether students tried either one course or more or none in a particular department. (The full report on these election patterns is available from the Office of Institutional Research at Amherst College.)

- It was my examining some of these data that led me more and more to question what really were the reasons for students electing courses out*ide their major. We have also noticed a phenomenon in which some $17 \%$ or $18 \%$ of the most recent classes have mosen to elect two separate májors and completed both.
III. Factors and Reasons

What can we say, about the kinds of possible factors judged to be of importance in influencing students to make a-particular selection among the courses available?

A. First we must recognize a variety of requírements or partial requirements. Some disitributional requirements will have strict alternatives of specific courses. Curricula will differ in the looseness or degree of flexibility as well as the number of altematives
they offer for satisfying requirements. Wh have requirements for graduation alone; the possibility of a course being a pre-requisite or requisite for yet another désired course, whether a course is thought to be helpful in terms of the local lore to prepare for another course. The general education distribution requirement will supply added directives. ' Some students evaluate courses as possibly ustul for admission to graduate professional schools or other post-baccalaureate study." All of these factors are in. addition to the courses required for the major.
B. We know something from our experience as to the sources of information available to students about courses. We do not know, how ever, much about how to sort out the differential effects of the Catalog itself, registration materials, word-of-mouth, course syllabi, graduate school"catalogs, an interview with the instructor and so forth, as sources of information. The sources of information also overlap 'the particular sources of influence or recommendations. These are found not only in printed materials but among students and other effective individuals. That is, influence may come from an academic advisor; especially for freshmen; a secondary school guidance counselor; individuals at "the department level; the registrar; another faculty member; the instrustor of the course; Deans; parents; family; siblings; general higher education directories and so forth.

One study carried out at Williams College showed both sources and agents within the same list for the group of freshmen entering in 1977. College materials were judged by the students involved to have been the major influence ( $86 \%$ major or some influence). Parents and relatives were also important. (46\%). Even though these were students making their first course elections by mail prior to coming to Williams College, Williams students were mentioned by $29 \%$ indicating that the summer grapevine may extend quite a distance.

Our studies of some of these matters, however, are not very completè as yet and offer some interesting cautions. Borgida and Nisbett (1977) demonstrated that students paid greater attention to course information given by two or three students on a T.V. monitor than they did to a quantitative summary of course ratings from over a hundred students! As the authors point out, "subjects in the present studies may have discounted.the population that generated the base rates [for course eval.uation] as being of dubious comparability to themselves:' 'who are these
people anyway? I don't know them.' In the face-to-face condition they saw the people who generated the evaluation they could tell for themselves that they were reasonable people whose views "could not be discounted." (Page 270.)
C. The real reasons for choice by students are both complex and numerous. So far 1 have only begun my taxonomy of such reasons as 1 have discovered that such a classification is somewhat idiosyncratic so far and not very well-understood or standardized. . Here are some of the reasons have developed; however:

1. Some note that the chosen course may involve a familiar subject one that the student likes or alternatively, one that the student does .well in. Ken Wilson at Educational Testing Service in analyzing the power of achievement test "fires" to predict freshman grade point performance notes that with an elective high school curriculum and an elective college curriculum in freshman year, one discovers many continuations and even overlaps with high school courses. This results in higher correlations than might be the case for new courses and it may well explain the high correlation between certain achievement test averages and frèshman'GPA. It does raise the possibility that the student may choose to continue and be comfortable in a familiar subject even at the cost of $\cdot \mathrm{a}$ high proportion of repetition; some want to play it safe.
2. Many areas of choice sem to be simply an expression of interest, whatever that means. In some ways, this could be circular, in that by definition: if.someone engages in something there must be some interest and we tell whether an individual has interest by seeing what he engages in. Some would note the, exploration for possible interest and it is not unusual in some institutions' for students' to explore broadly for a possible major concentration. In a recent study of career development in sample's of students at seven selective liberal arts colleges, Hagen discovered that the alternative endorsed by far the greatest plurality of students was "a subject in which 1 have a great deal of interest" even when compared to the poll of requirements (Hagen, 1982).
3. Another cluster of reasons seems to be the general desire of --students for a diverse education "to be balanced"'"to spread out" "to have a liberal arts experience."
4. Related to this but attached to the current semester is the desire of the student to provide for himself or herself a variety or
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balance to the other courses in his or her current schedule. Preference for "diversity" in the modes of instruction, the subject matter, the pace, the workloag, and all the other course features seem to be involved. Variety seems, to be more prized in" course-taking than homogeniety.
5. A whole cluster of factors are found relating to the quality and reputation of the professor, that is, the charisma, and the reputation of the instructor. It is not unusual to find students saying "you certainly pant to take a course with Professor $X$ before you graduate." This is . found in the selection of sections in psychologs by Leventhal, et al, (1975)
6. However, it is not solely the professor; there is also the evaluation of the course and its reputation. "The course is an excellent course."
7. There are reasons offered by at least some students that are less complimentary to the strength of the educational enterprise. It is not . unusual to have students say "I thought I could get a goo grade." or "it appeared to impose a-somewhat lighter work load." Students are motivated to maintain high averages, to seek lighter courses to go along with particularly difficult required courses or thesis project work loads. There is a somewhat apochryphal story about Rober't Benchley and his course choices. When he was asked why he happened to choose such a peculiar. major at. Harvard, he replied that the reason he chose the combined major of industrial archeology and Turkish tapestry studies was that he had simply insisted that each of his courses would have to be after 11:00 a.m., prior tof 3:00 p.m., and not be above the first floor.
8. Certainly these days the relation of the course to a career or job will have a high valence for many. Who has not heard "insurance" mentioned or "market utility."
9. There are some other more social reasons - one's'beyfriend or girlfriend may be taking the course, one's group of friends or roomate.
10. Supposedly one could dig deeper and find that sone students are electing certain courses not as a matter of approach, but rather. of avoidance. That is, they may be avoiding other courses and take the least uncomfortable alternative.
13. What we do not see too of teh are those individuals who take courses because such courses are chalifenging or that would extend the individual beyond what he or she is now able to do.
$\because 13 v$
IV. Added Considerations
A. It is likely that there are different reasons or factors at different levels of advancement through the curriculum. That is, freshmen may select several courses to explore possible majors. Seniors, for example, may be looking for areas that they have omitted and now wish to have some sense of completion, whether it be a foreign language or a course in accounting. Where there is a special prosram in senior year such as senior honors or a thesis', then such fractors'as a course's limited reading load may influence course elections simply in terms of intelligent time management.
B. Information about student choice could also be contributed by studies of why students. drop courses or withdrif from them. That is, if we could find the reasons. why students left courses we would have some. indirect information on the effectiveness of certain motivations for selecting those courses. (e.g. See Reed, 1981.)
C. "Temporal sequence goes not only from the high school but also continues on to activity after college. It is even. suggested, that continuing education or aduit education will often consist of "postponed electíves."" (Levine, 1979.)

Certainly the shifting views of prescription and freedom influence what the student has to choose among and the proportion of his work that may be so chosen. The trinity of concentrations/distrịbutions/ elective classification of courses says a good'deal of the institution's attitude toward its students. 'In the Sixties and Seventies there were some institutions which.forthrightly proclaimed that the student, will have to select his/her learning experience after college and that it seems wise to'start the student during college to take over control of his or her own education. Hence, a good number of electives.
D. Data on student course election could be used to evaluate advising, to estimate the value of freshmen orientation, to evaluate curricular programs, and to perhaps even"monitor over time the preparation of secondary schools. Depending oñ the circumstances and'point of view, a student's choice of electives may be the input (or independent variable), part of 1 the process or treatment, or finally a part of the outcome (dependent variable): These call for a whole program of study with hardly satisfying
answers yet to my original question.
E. 'Colleges and universities dó not generally annotate the nature of the elective choices in their recording of studerit learning on the transcript. Chronological transcripts usually give no indication whatsoever of whether the student is competing a required activity or one that he or she selects freely.

We have only a few of the pieces of this complex picture puzzle. Not even all the edges are visible yet. The shape and colors of some of the different pieces remain to be seen. The process, by which a student. elects courses and the reasons motivating those choices will require considerable lengthy and sophisticated investigation. The factors are complex and so far it appears that any one factor seems to relate only to a small portion of the variance across courses and across institutions. The growing emphasis on enrollment data, however, and the, considerable interest both by curricula architects and funding agencies in knowing more about.students electing certain courses leads to the probability that we in institutionaj research will be coping with such problems.

Note: Reports and added tables are available from the author:. lie.also wishes to acknowledge the generous sharing of Cataby Ir. David Booth and De. Hess Haagen as well as the bibliographic searches by Philip Nelson and the essential tabulations and clear preseltations by Lồs : Hill.
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FOUR-YEAR CUMULATIYE COURSE ELECTIGK OF STUDEN:S 8 Y GRADUATING"SENIORS AT AHMERST COLLEGE


# IMPROVING THE QUALITYY OF <br> data obtained <br> THROUGH SURVEY RESEARCH 

Jane Grosset<br>Gretchen Boris<br>Community College of Philadelphia

## Introduction

Several years ago, the Institutional Research Office at Community College of Philadelphia (CCP) decided to systematically gather data on an ongoing basis from a variety of subgroups associated with the Institution ${ }^{1}$. As a result of this decision, data collection efforts at CCP became heavily reliant on the use of the mail-out questionnaire. Much of the appeal of this data collection method stemmed from its ability to provide an efficient mean to gather large amounts of data' at a relatively low cost in a short period of time.

The standard data collection procedures included ane mail-out of the survey instrument, cover letter and postage-paidenenvelope to all survey participants, followed in three weeks by a post card reminder to all' nonrespondents to the initial mailing.

Despite the relative economy of this survey approach, the associated response rate ( $20 \%$ ) was of ten unacceptably $10 w^{2}$. Since the |respondents's to these surveys did not represent a. randomly selected group from the survey population, a question concerntng the qualiţy of the dáta was Prequently raised.

In an effort to marimize the quantity and quality of information gathered through institutional surveys, a series of experimental data collection procedures were put into motion. Built into each ongoing sarvey that was designed to gatber information pertinent to: the functiouing of
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the Instytution, was a research component that was designed to provide answers to methodological issues that would eventually reduce errors at the data collection stage, largely through improving responses rates. By*using 'this approach' quer the last 'several years, a reservoir of int
formation has developed which has been successfully applied to subsequent survey research conducted at CCP. Mosty of the experimental. information can be broadly categorized as either data collection procedures or questionnaire redesign.

When a particular procedure has been found to positively affect the . survey response rate, a crude cost-benefit ${ }^{\circ}$ palysis is made in order to determine if the procedure should be incorporated in futufe institutional 'surveys. (A cost chart'used for this analysis appears in appendix A).

## REDESIGN OF DATA COLLECTION PROCEDURES

Consideration, of data collection procedures included analyzing. the effects of introductory preletters, postage-paid envelopes, rewards and personalization on response rate; studying effective methods for following * up on nonrespondents; examining the quality of data collected. face-toface versus through the mail and surveying random samples rather than, entire populations.

## Nonrespondents Follow-up Procedures

As stated earlier, the standard data collèction procedure at CCP included an initial mail-out of a cover letter, questionnaire and postagepaid envolope, followed three weeks late by a postcard reminder to nonrespondents, netting approximately a 20 per cent return on the questionnaire.

Based on the suspicion that many of the nonrespondents to the initial mailing had discarded the original copy of the questionnaire and return envelope by the time a follow-up reminder was sent, a decision was made . to include these two items with the reminder to nonrespondents in a subsequent survey. This additional procedure resulted in enlarging the overall response rate by approximately 10 to 15 per cent.

The cost of each additional questionnaire gathered by this approach was approximately $\$ 1.28$ (based on an initial return of 20 per cent and follow-up return of 15 per cent).

Before evaluating the aden cost of the procedure against the increased response, it was decided to add one more step. to the process that would enable an assessment of the quality of the extra data that was collected. In ợder to do this, a 10 per cent sample of randomly selected nonrespondents Rf the mail-out questionnaires, selected from both surveys, was interviewed over the' telephone. The questionnaire used for the mail survey served as the telephone interview instrument.

- Since the participants in the telephone survey were ${ }^{\circ}$ based on a random selection, an assumption was made that the information gathered by this means was more representative of the overall survey population than was the information gathered through the mail survey of self-selected respondents.* Comparisons of participant demographics and survey responses between the mail and telephone groups indicated that a small amount of bias was present e. in the mail data, however, inclusion of the data obtained* from the mail nonrespondent folfow-up helped to normalize the mail survey .
(1) information.

Based on these findings, a decision was made to routinely include a questionnaire and postage-paid envelope in future survey follow-ups to nonrespondents and to include a telephone follow-up of a randomly selected group of nonrespondents in order to check for the presence of response bias.

## Introductory Preletter

A fairly common survey procedure includes the use of an introductory prelet'ter sent to potential respondents a short time before the arrival of the questionnaire. It is anticipated that a preletter has a positive effect on response rate.

In order to test this possibility, half of the potential respondents. in a survey received a preletter approximately one week prior to the arrival of the questionnaire. For the remaining survey particpants, the arrival of the cover letter and questionnaire served as they introduction. to the survey.

Analysis of 'response rates associated with the different groups indicated that individuals who received an introductory prelettęr were three permcent more: likely to respond, however the differences between group response rates were nonsignificant $\underline{\chi}^{2}(1)=.58, n . s$. for graduates, and $\underline{x}^{2}(1)=. .56$ nos. for non-returners.

These results, taken into consideration with the cost per additional questionnaire ( $\$ 3.46$ ), Ied to a decision that a preletter would not be incorporated into the data collection methods for these two surveys.

## Personallzation

One of the more interesting fipdings occurred serendipitously, as a result of time constraints rather than intentional design.

Great care has always been taken to ensure that professional im- , pression is conveyed to survey participants. ${ }^{\text {. Identifying information has }}$ been typed on all correspondence.

In.the middle of preparing survey materials one year, the Institutional Research Office found itself pressed for time and in the interest of meeting mailing deadlines, only half of the surveys had typed identifying information and mailing envelopes, while the other half were handwritten. The response rate to the survey that year increased by seven per cent.

In the next go around of the survey, identifying information and envelopes were handwritten for all survey participants. This approach produced an increase of thirteen per cent in response from the original all typed format.

Based on these results, this information will. continue to be handwritten at no extra cost.

Postage-Paid Envelopes:
Inclusion of a postage-paid envelope for the return of the completed 1 questionnaire has been regularly 'included in CCP survey procedures. The reason for doing so had, been based on the assumption that the envelope will increase the likelihood of response. In order to determine if it * would be cost effective to continue this practice a College survey was administered eliminating the postage-paid envelope from the process.

The returns form this survey were compared to the returns from a similar survey conducted the previous year'. The survey with the envelope had a

- response rate that was seven per cent greater than the survey without the envelope, $\underline{x}^{2}(1)=53.2, \underline{p}: 000$. The cost was approximately $\$ 0.30$ per additional questionnaire. A decision was made to continue the use of postage maid envelopes as a standard survey procedure.

Face-to-Face Data Collection

In' the interest of cutting survey costs through the elimination of mailing expenses, the Course Withdrawal questionnaire was distributed by / college personnel who were responsible for expediting the course drop process. This data collection approach appeared feasible since students had to report to a centralized location in order to complete the necessary

- paper work to drop a course. In addition to a drop slip, college personnel were' instructed to request that the student complete the Course Withdrawal questionnaire.

By semester's end, 1,383 questionnaires were completed. This number represented only 24 per cent of all course withdrawals in the semester, far shy of the $100 \%$ hoped for through this method of collection.


In order to check on the quality of the data, a 10 per cent randomly selected saffple of students who withdrew from a course during the semester and had not already completed a questionnaire were sent a survey form. Sixty four per cent (64\%) of the sample returned a'questionnaire.

An assumption was made that the response from the mailed out method was morre representative of the suivey population since it was based on a randomly selected sample, while the face-to-face fesponse were in all likelihood subject to samplịng bias by personnel responsible for quéstionnaire distribution. With this in mind, questionnaire responses, student demographics and course information were compared for the two distribution types. These analysis indicated that a great deal of bias was present in the face-to-face data and that statistical corrections for the bias would be needed before the survey information could be analyzed meaningfully.

Since the quality of the data collected face-to-face was so poor, it was decided to forego thifinethod of data collection and mail out future Course Withdrawal surveys.

Population Vs. Sample
Approximately 6,000 courses are dropped by CCP students in a semester. This large number makes the administration of a mall out course withdrawal questionraire an expensive process, approximately $\$ 1,500$. In order to cut costs, the questionnaire recipients were 1 imited to a 20 per cent randomly selected sample (cost of $\$ 300$ ) that was stratified in order to ensure proper course representation.*

Useable questionaires were obtained from 20 per cent of the sample. A telephone follow-up of nonrespondents was conducted and comparisons of these surveys results were made with the màil sample survey results. These comparisons indicated there were yery few difference in survey results between the telephone and mail responses. In addifion, returns by dropped courses represented institution wide figures.

As a result, this questionnaire will continue to be sent to a stratified random sample of students rather than the entire population.

## REDESIGN OF SURVEY QUESTIONNAIRES

Experimental faction discussed up to this point can be generally categorized as data collection' procedures. In addition to this group of variables, several items related to the design of survey questionnaires have been studied.

The time for replacing the dwinding supply'of questionnaires appeared to offer a perfect opportunity to consider strategies related to questionnaire format that might improve the quality of the information gathered through the forms. Professional layout. of the questionnaire, color, length and question phrasing were considered.

## Professional Layout

Questionnaires originating in the Institutional Research office have always been professionally designed, conveying an air of importance to the survey participant through the questionnaire's neat and orderly appearance. It has been assumed that the more important the questionnaire appears to be, the * nobre likely it will be completed and returned.

The quest ionnaires have generally been one of two types, a technical $\omega$ opscan-readable form or a machine-typed duplicated form. Although both forms are identical in content, neat and orderly, they differ in some important respects. The technical form is one sheet, printed back-to-back, while the typed form is a multi-paged, stapled document. The technical form
also looks more expensive (cost approximately $\$ 0.02$ more per questionngire to produce) than the machine-typed form. For these two reasons, the technical form might produce a greater response than the typed form.

In order to determifte if questionnaire format has an effect on response rate, half of the potenteral respondents to survey were sent the techical form while the other half were sent the machine-typed form. Significantly more of the technical forms were returned, $\chi(1)=4.42, \mathrm{p} \leq 05$.

Weighing the added cost of the technical form against the increased response of eight. per cent, a decision was made to use technically designed forms, wheré posisible, in future surveys.

## Questionnaire Length and Question Format

As noted in the previous section, technically designed forms produced greater response rates than did machine-typed forms. Two factors were hypothesized as explaining this result, the importance of the information conveyed py the technical form and the brevity possible from this type of form. In order to determine if questionnaire length alone would affect respońse rate, a questionnaire was redesigned to include fivelquestions, printed on a single side, postcard sized form. The survey form used prior to this year contained 25 items printed back-to-back on $8^{\prime \prime} \times 11^{\prime \prime}$ page.

Responses from this short form were compared to the most recently collected standard length form. This comparison seemed reasonable since the surveys werk conducted only one year apart and they had the same size populations and the same population definitions.
$\because$ Three per cent (3\%) fewer of the short surveys were returned, $\underline{x}^{2}(1)=82$, nos. Part of an explanation for this result, is that the longer form, which is the standard questionnaire length for most institutional surveys, probably has not reached an suacceptable limit and is therefore as likely to be returned as a short er form.

An additional feature was built into the experimental short form that was 6. designed for" the Nonreturning. Student Survey. It fat in into the/ area of question format: the issue of open versus close ended question types.

A major objective of the survey is to. learn the reasons why a student . did not re-enroll at- the College in subsequent. semesters. The questionnaire item designed for this purpose has appeared in past surveys as a multiple choice question, which provided the respondent with 14 . options, including an "other" category. In recent years; the number of respondents reporting other reasons has increased ( 30 per cent), along with a suspicion that the forced choice alternativegassociated. with the question no longer adequately covered the full range of reasons for nonreturn. This seemed to be reasonable since the questionnaire had been designed in 1976.

In order to develop choices that would more realistically represent the current "survey, population', the question on the redesigned short form left the
 te answers to the respondent. forced choice rest ion int indicated they did not re-emoll because of academic reasons, while 19 per cent of the open ended respondents indicated they had academic problems if: 140
.-Even tough the open ended responses produced more work since they had to bertrand tabulated, it was decided to employ this format in future surveys. The greater degree of specificity and greater likelihood of unanticipated reasons provided by this type of question, will help in the development 'of institutional retention strategies.

## Questionnaire Color

A number of individuals responsible for conducting survey research are, proponents of the use of colored forms in order to increase rates of response. The reasoning is that the colored form will attract the potential respondent's attention and after having done so, will increase the likelihood of that person completing the questionnaire.

In order to determine if this procedure might be employed effectively at CCP, 500 participants in a survey of currently enrolled students received a questionnaire and cover letter printed on blue paper while, the remaining 500 received white forms. Question wording and all other survey procedures were identical for theot'wo groups. Thirty five per cent (35\%) , of the blue forms were returned and 27 per cent of the white forms. The eight per cent difference in response rate was significant, $\underline{X}^{2}(1)+5.7, \underline{p} .05$, however, duplication of this procedure in subsequent surveys; while producing results in the same direction, failed to reach significant levels.

The difference in cost of the colored forms is minimal, therefore a devision, was made to use colored forms in subsequent surveys.

## Conclusion

Various survey methods were tested in conjunction with ongoing. Institutional surveys. The purpose of this research was to develop survey methods that gould maximize' response rates while remaining relatively inexpensive. The results of this research has led to survey procedures that have doubled response rates to some Institutional surveys. In turn, the larger response rates have produced a greater degree of confidence in the data collected by survey methods.

## Notes

1. The questionnaires imployed in survey research at CCP were adapted from those developed by Project Followzup of the Tex-Sis system.
2. Response rate is defined as the total sample minus all iscompleted surveys divided by the total sample.
3. Since the nominal variables throughout this paper are dichotomous, the chi-square analyses included Yates Correction for Continuity: Yates' Correction consists of subtracting . 5 from the absolute difference of the observed frequency minus the expected frequency before squaring. This correction provides a better approximationto the theoretical $\chi^{2}$ curve.
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## APPENDIX

Cost of Mailed Survey Materials and Personnel

## Description

Cost per potential Respondent

Typeset and printed technical form; of 3 or 4 typed pages, reproduced

Typed and printed on letter- . . . 0.027
head paper

Business reply postage paid. envelope: returned
0.20
nonreturned
0.027

Letterhead
0.027

Mailing envelopes
Postage
$j$
$\Delta$,

Personnel
7.5 hours to collate, fold, 0.08 and stuff 300 s̈urveys at $\$ 3.35 /$ howr minimum wage (cost of work-study students)

## DEgREE PATTERNS AND ENROLLMENT TRENDS

Donald G. Hester
State University of New York at Albany
Research may serve a variety of purboses. The purposes could be placed on a continuum of utility from práctičal, to theoretrical. I have been studying degree data from New York State for the dast three years and suggested in my last paper (Hester, 1981) that degree natterns reffect responsiveness, a more practical concern for many might well be forecasting enrollment trends. The oresent paper presents tabulated degree data for three separate years and aisodevelops occupational data into comparable units. The basic question which this paper addreases is whether changes in depree production correspond 'with changes_in size of' occupational groups and if. so, how will future enrollment be affected? The degree is an end product of the higher educational sys-
 as an output measure As such, it says something aboutertivities earlier in the cycle within the ${ }^{\prime}$ s.stem. For the institutional planner the interest may simply be in projecting the future direction of programs, departnentsior schools with in a system. If degree production is related in some way to : occupational changes; then, forecasts of occupational change, if realized in 'reality, will at sometime impact the campus'with a change in dethand for progranhs. $\therefore$ Obvious $7 y$, program resource allocators would like to know whät future. " trends are likeiy. There are a variety $\&^{f}$ sources for forecastgof future. 'occupational trends. The basic' question however, is whethet program demand is in anyway related. There are a number of more theoretical concerns which this research may illuminate ande they will also be wiscussed.

New York State has an extensive public and private system of higher: education. It is therefore, an ideal state to study at the macro level. Within the public sector there are two major systems. SUNY, the State University of New Yörk and CUNY, the City University of New York; , respéc-' tively the first and third largest universities in the world. ${ }^{\text {T}}$ New.York also has a highiy developed economy; if it were a nation, it would have the ninth largest economy in the world. The private syştem of higher educątion is equally well developed, some of the most regarded tnstitutions in the, .world are among them. In the oeriod 1960 to 1980 , nearly 2.4 million degrees wère awarded. In 1960, the private sector awarded $70.7 \%$ of the degrees; by 1980, the public sector had increased its share to $5 \mathrm{~J} .2 \%$. Neither sector however, in reality, exchanged pieces of the pie. Thi's is because overall growth in degree production was 270 percent during the period. The private sector presently dominates production qf (advanced degrees $(72,8 \%)$. While the public sector has the major task of awarding associate degrees in the fratio of 6 to 7 .

Presently, in the State of New York degrees are awarded at five levels and in thirty main program areas (that is using the two digí HFgIS classification to define program area). Degree data is collected annually from institutions by the State Education Department as the Higher Education General Information Service (HEGIS) agent. The researcher was ass.isted by the an Information Center on Education of the New York State Education Department. They provided basic annual data, from which extensive tabulations have been made.

## Purpose of the Present Study

The degree data covers twenty years, the period 1960 to 1980. It is state-wide "and has been broken out in a wide variety of ways. Extensive graphing revealed a variety of patterns throughout the period. Some programs grew, others contracted, some peaked and then declined, while some waved. Some of the differences might be culturally determined, but the major growth areas corresponded with the economic changes in society. It was hypothesized that while individual studuents choose orograms for a variety"of idiosyncratic reasons; there is an overall awareness of economic or external reality and that therefore program demand is basically economically driven. Students invest in their college education and expect a return. If this supposition is correct, then it is reasonable to expect that degree production patterns will be similar to proportion changes in the occupations of members of the work force;

Method

It wàs hoped to match the data for degrees with data on occupations drawn from New York State. Such data, is apparently not accessible. The ideal would be to have annual occupation data to match the degree data and look at corresponding trends over the twenty years that the degree data covers. A proxy measure was found to be available in the form of the $U$. S Bureau of Labor Statistics National Industry - Occupation Employment Matrix -dern. 1970 and 1978. For a given industry, the matrix presents the preportion of total employment that is accounted for by each detailed occupation. The BLS industry - occupation matrices divide total U.'S. employment into

- 425 occuoations and 260 industries. The occupational classifications used in the matrices are based upon classifications ubainn the 1970 census of the
podulation, supplemented by employment data from other sources. The Bureau of L. Labor Statistics has updated the 1970 matrix to 1978 , using corrent population survey data, analysis of historical census trends, and assumptions regarding factors such as changes in product mix or production methods that affect the structure of industries.

It was though.t that if the 425 occupations could be compressed to the 30 HEGIS categories, then differences in the two survey periods could be compared with degree data for the same years. The crosswalk between the HEGIS Taxonomy and the new classification was used to allocate the occupations to - HEGIS 2 - digit areas;: The ratios for each vear 1970 and 1978 were distributed and then eventually summated.

Degree data was aggregated, bachelors through doctoral fdr 1970-71, 1977-78 and 1979-80. Associate degrees were aggregated for the same veriods. All were then trans formed into proportions 'of their' aggregate group'. Thi's. ' Was done to control variation from other variables. such as changing population.

This study is clearly limited by combaring New York State degree data with national occupational data, to the extent that New, York departs from $\kappa$ the national picture. I have supplied percentage changes or the tables that follow, the main point however, was to oroduce a matrix of program occupational change. An analysis of differences in rate of change would require other variables for which I do not, have data.

Results
. Tables. I through
Four HEGIS areas do not have corresponding occupation data, they are; Area Studies, Foretgn Lanquages, Letters. and Interdisciplinary Studies, programs

Table 1
Degrees Awarded Bachelors and Above
New York State 1970-71
1977-78 and 1979-80

| HEGIS + Code | Program Area | 1970-71 | 1977-7.8 | 1979-80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 01 | Agriculture .and Natural Resources ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 629 | 850 . | $758{ }^{\prime}$ |
| 02 | Architecture and Environmental Design | 804 | $1267^{\circ}$ | 1274. |
| . 03 | Area Studies | 344 | , 504 | 405 |
| 04 | Biological Science | 4197 | 6256 | 5861 |
| 05. | .Busipess Managément | 10872- | 18425 | . 21705 |
| 06 | ¢Communications ** | 713 | 2641 | 3132 |
| 07 | Computer and Info. Science | 408 | . 1248 | 1823 |
| 08 | Education ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | 22012 | 20663 | 17921 |
| 09 | Engineering | 6485 | 6883 . | 7570 |
| : 10 | Fine and Applied Arts | 4498 | 6282 | 6293 |
| 11 | Foreign Languages | 3326 | 2122. | - 1880 |
| 12 | Health Professions | 2887.. | 8331. | 9109 |
| 13 | *Home Economics | 663 | 1563 | 1371 |
| 14 | Law | 409 | 573 | 540 |
| 15 | Letters | 10381 | 6389 | 6151. |
| 16 | Library Science | $1167^{\circ}$ | 850 | 700 |
| 17 | Mathematics | 3858 | , 2007 | 1622 |
| 19 | Physical Sciences | 3418 | 3058. | 2769 |
| 20 | Psychology | 5574 | 6838 | 6439 |
| 21 | Public Affairs ** | 1821 | 5779 | -6068 |
| $22 \cdot$ | Social Sciences : $i_{i}$ | - 18204 | 16743 | 15219 |
| 23. | Theology . | 485 | 283 | 335 |
| 49 | . Interdişciptinary Studies : . | 156 | 5593 | 5324. |

$\begin{array}{lll}\text { * Degrees awarded from 1964-65 } \\ \text { ** Degrees awarded from } & 1969-70\end{array}$
Source NYSED: Postsecondary Information Systems/HEDS and Information Center on Education

+ HEGIS: Higher Education General Information Service.

Table. 2
Associate Dearees Awärded New York State, 1970-71 1977-78 and 1979-80

| HEGIS | Program Area | 1970-71 | 1977-78 | 1979-80 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code | ) | : | - |  |
| $4\}$ | Arts and Science | 12521 | - 16274 | 11299 |
| 50. | Business and Com. Tech. | 8080 | 16274 | 18059 |
| 51 | Data Processing Technologies | 721 | 960 | 1341 |
| 52 | Health Services \& Para.' Tech. | 3694 | 6818 | 6279 |
| 53 | Meghanical Science Tech. | 3010 | 4629. | 466 \% |
| 54 | Natural Science Tech. | 1006 | 2857 | 2814 |
| 55 | Public Service Related Tech. | 1883 | - 4223 . | 3458 |

Source NYSED: • Postsecondary Information Systems/ HEDS and Informátion Center on Edúcation

Table 4

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\because \quad \because \quad \text { National Occupational Data } \\
\because \quad & \because \quad \text { Grouped By } 2 \text { Oigit HEGIS } \\
\text { Categories 1970\&1978 } \\
\text { Technologist Level }
\end{array}
$$



Source: Thè U. S. Bureaù of Labor Statistics
National Industry - Ọccupation'Matrix for 1970 and 1978
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Table 3
National Occupational Data Grouped By 2 Digit HEGIS

Categories 1970\&1978


Source: The U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics
National Industry - Occupational Matrix for 1970 and 1978

Table 5
Degrees Awarded Bachelors and Above. New York State 1970-71, 1977-78 and 1978-79

As Percentages, Percentage Change and occupation Change


* Degrees awarded from 1964-65
** Deģrees awarded from 1969-70

Table 6
Trends in Degree Production and Occupational Change Between

1970 and 1978
Programs.
$\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { expanding programs } \\ \text { expanding occupations }\end{array}\right]$
Biological Science Law
Business Management -mublic
Communications Affairs
Computer \& Inf. Sc., Psych.
Fine \& Applied Arts (1977-78)
Health Professions

Home
Economics

Agriculture and Nat, Resources

Library Science
Psychology (1979-80)
Social Science
that have a generic function.
There are degrees awarded at the higher levels (bachelors and above) in nineteen areas.for which there is corresponding occupation data. It will be seen that there are eight areas which are expanding in degree production and also in occupation. These are all areas which correspond with the known reality that. the economy is moving in a service orientation.

The Areas are:

| Biological Science | Fine and Applied Arts |
| :--- | :--- |
| Business Management | Health Professions |
| Communications | Law . |
| Computer and Information Science Public Affairs |  |

There are five areas where there is contraction of programs and of occupations. Demographic, cultural and economic factors are, likely to be involved in accounting for the declines.

They are:
Engineering
Physical Science
Education
Theology
Mathematics
The remaining programs have contradictory. findings and may be affected by particular factors including ones specific to New York State.

They are:
Agricultural and Natural Resources Library Science
Architecture and Environmental Design
Psychology

- Home Economics

Social Science

## Discussion

The parameters of this paper preclude a full discussion of the data oresented here. However, sọme interesting features are worthy of comment. Two sets of occupational data were used, and it should be noted only eight years apart and even in that relatively short time-frame considerable percentage change is in evidence. This is particularly the case with the growing orograms/occupations, where there is considerable gain on both variables. The contracting programs/occupations show a smalier decitine generally in occupations, with the exception of mathematics (which may be due to a flight to Computer Science), never the less; degrees production contracts accordingly.

I had reasonably assumed a lag effect might be present between occupation change and deqree production. I therefore included the latest year of degree data available in making the tabulations. The broad trend is matched however, year forf year and the "lagged" year of degree data serves more to clarify the contradictory relationships. Agriculture occupationally, is shown in decline but the degree data shows growth to 1977-78 but moves into decline in the lag period. It may further be suggested that agriculture , has contracted its: fabor force, while increasing production throligh the use of superior technology. It 'is possible that the demand for education was increased. Similarly, with Architecture and Environmental Design, the lan period has stability, in degree production, it is possible that there was a hump in occupation growth between the two labor surveys.

Library Science may have in it a credential effect (the State raised its standards) thus creating demand for education at the turn of the seventies in New York State. In the case of degree production within the
osychology category there was growth in both degrees and occupations, but a decline in degrees on the lag year of 1979-80. Moreover, the higher degrees continued'to grow. There has been a marked decline in bachelor's degrees .e Through the period as also the case with Social Sciences, though in the latter higher degrees. declined as well. Both occupations have made a rapid advance,

- in minimum qualifications. It is also probably true that students have moved away from both these areas at the bachelor's level because of changes in attitudes culturally towards social science study.

Associate degrees were introduced in New York State and awarded from 1970-71 onwards, the same year from which is drawn the occupation data of this study. Some caution in interpretation is therefore warranted. Natural Science Technologies is the only one though, that does not correspond with the occupation trend. It is also worthy of comment that the large numbers of associate degrees produced in the short time frame of a decade would seem to be related to the size of the occupation ratio.

The data suggests that there is a close relationship between changes in degree production and changes in occupation sizè. It should, of course, ' ${ }^{\prime}$ 'be realized that developing a forecasting model for a particular' situation would require the inclusion of a number of variables not considered here.
.At the more theoretical level the data suggests that higher education is operating very much with a sense of the wider environment. Recent research on economic forecasting (Lahiri, 1982) s., suggests that the non-expert can) do a good job when compared with the expert. Students may be similarly sensitive. It seems at the aggregate level students anticipate dine reality of the world of work and choose accordingly.

## Conclusions

This study has answered the question in the affirmative of whether there is" a relationshio between degree production and occupational change. Planners would do well to maintain awareness of occupational trends. Further research on the strength of the relationshio between educational programs ant changes in occuotions is indicated. Gluts and shortages could also profitably be considered. Clearly the educational enterprise adjusts to its environment. A better knowledge of how it works would at the least orovide the means for fmproving information dissemination and anticipation on the part of planners.
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INTRODUCTION
In the fall of 1981 , the Reagan administration proposed a series of major reductions in federal financial aid programs. Prior to that time, short-range planning studies at Ithaca College had focused on estimating the effects on enrollment of demographic changes, shifts in students,' interests, and changing requirements for entry into the professions; it had been assumed that the effects of the financial-need variable would remain constant in the short term. Ability:to pay has never been a factor in admissions decisions at Ithaca. Al though. the College has not been able to meet all financial need, opportunities for middle-income and lower-income students to attend Ithaca College increased between 1976 and 1981 as a result of three factors. A deliberate decision.to keep increases in tuition low made the College more affordable in comparison to other independent colleges. Successful develqpment efforts increased the College's scholarship resources; in partnership with New York State and the Federal government, Ithaca:College was able to provide better financial aid packages to its students. Most important, the Guaränteed Student Loan progräm allowed students to borrow money at a reasonable cost.

Since the Reagan administration's proposals implied a radical change in the federal government's role in financing higher education, it was apparent that an assessment of the probable effects of these proposals was essential before further decisions could be made regarding enrollment goals, marketing and recruitment initiatives, tuition and fee policies, and fundraising priorities. This paper describes the first stage of a series of
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studies gigned to assess the effects of reductions in federal financial aid programs.

THE, STUBIES
The first sṭudy to be described was presented to the Planning Group at Ithaca Colvege (President, Executive Officers, Director of Institutional* Research and-Pranning, Affirmative Action Officer, Execuțive Assistant to the President) in December of 1981. Its ofjective was to provide information on financial need which would be helpful in evaluating a marketing-recruitment proposal submitted by the Director-of Admissions.n (This analysis will be referred, to as "The Marketiong Study."). The second study, presented to the Planning Group in March of 1982, described a general, model for estimating the effects of proposed cuts in federal financial-aid programs and illustrated the use-of this model by commering the actual distribution of financial aid packages to a freshman class (first-timé, full-time,'fall 1980) with an estimated distribution of financiaf aid packages to the ofirst-time; fulltime freshman classworojected to enroll in fall: 1983. (Th.is study will be referred to as "The Aid Model".)

As the title of this paper suggests, these analyses are being reported at the NEAIR Conference in hopes that they will be helpful illustrations applied research-studies done under the following conditions: (a) an unatticipated event has occurred which is likely to alter the assumptions used - in short-range planning at the institution; (b) assessing the full effects. of this event.requires extensive, detailed data which are not readily available; (c) senior officers must make major policy decisions within specified time periods, and the information developed by the Institutional Research and Planning 0ffice must be presented, before these deadines; (d) some o individuals involved in'the decision-making process are unfamiliar with the accepted journal format for reporting institutuonal research studies; a presentation style must be developed which will aid them in assimilating complex informátion rapidly.

Exaliples of the conventional research reporting format are numerous; while illustrations of presentations designed for a wojder audience are rare. The studies reported in this-paper, therefore, will be presented in the same format which. was developed for the thaca College Planning. Group. For reasons of confidentiality and brè much of the original.
$-1 "$
information has been omitted. It is not anticipated that. an institutional research and planning officer at another institution will formulate the same questions, collect the same data, or use the same presentation format; this description of the work of the Ithaca College institutional research and" planning staff is being reported as an example of one kind of response which can be made to an unanticipated event which affects short-term planning assumptions.

1
THE MARKETING STUDY
Date of Presentation to the Planning Group: December 16, 1981
Objective: Provide information for evaluating the proposed admissions; publications package for entering classes, 1983 and 1984.
Decision deadline: Christmas
Questions to be addressed:
A. How many student f. request financial aid when they apply to Ithaca College and how many do not?
B. Considering applicants (1) who do not request financial aid, and (2) who are rejected by Ithaca College; how is this group distributed across SAT categories?
C. What percent of our freshmen class apply to and are accepted at other colleges?
D. By specific academic program, who are our major competitors?
E. .Many of the students we admit are also: admitted by another college. When we compare "matriculants" (those who choose to enroll at Ithaca College) with "cancels" (those who choose to enroll" at a competing college), what percent of each group rank costs and financial aid as major reasons for their choice of college? ' Do these percentages vary among specific academic programs at Ithaca College?
Conclusions:
A. For the College as a whole, about half of our freshman applicants in 1 1980 requested financial aid and half did not. 'These percentages varied Considerably by program: $64 \%$ of the applicants to Program Group \#1 asked for ald, while only $25 \%$ of applicants to Program Group \#2A asked for aid. For the College as a whole, there is no difference in the percent of "Cancels"
${ }^{1}$ These conclusions were reviewed and discussed by the Director of Institutional Research and Planning as each table was presented to the Planning Group. $\because$
(students we admitted who did not enroll) and."Matrics" '(students who did enroll at Ithaca College), insofar as requests for financial aid are concerned. It does appear, though, that in some programs financial need may ajready be a factor in the decision to enroll at Ithaca College. SEE TABLE 1.
B. If cuts in federal financial aid programs keep needy students from. attending lthaca College in the future, we may have to choose between a smaller freshman class or a less academically capable freshman class. Of the students we rejected who did not ask for aid in 1980; 88\% had SAT scores Which were lower than the Ithaca College median score. 'SEE TABLE 2. C. Of the students who enrolled as frestmen in 1980 , about $4^{\circ}$ out of 5 , applied to and were accepted at another college. Since our programs are diverse, we tend to have a different group of major competitors for each progfam. In the case of some of our smaller programs, specific major competitors do not even appear on our all-college list of major compettitors. Only one of the major competitors identified in this study is an independent institutiontin New York State which will be affected comparably by changes 'in both Federal and New York State financial aid programs. For most' programs, we are competing with public and independent institutians: " SEE TABLE 3.
D. We were able to find some groups of students who were accepted at Ithaca College and another college and match the responses of those who came to Ithaca College ("Matrics" who responded to our Student Orientation Questionnaire) with those who chose the other college ("Cancels who completed our Cancel Questionaire). These are small groups and may not be fully representative of all the students who were accepted by us and by a specific competitor. It appears, though, that in some cases we have marketed Ithaca College successfully but have lost students to competitors because we were too expensive or because our.financial aid packages were insufficient. In other cases, costs" and financial aid did not appear to be the significant factor in the decision not to enroll at Ithaca College. Given the prospect of major cuts in financial aid, we need to ask whether the same marketing approach is appropriate for all programs. SEE TABLE 4.

## THE AID MODEL

Objective: Estimate the impact of proposed federal financial aid cuts on the freshman class at Ithaca College in 1983-84, based on an analysis of financial aid patterns for freshmen in 1980-81. (EOP-HEOP freshmen and

TABLE 1. Admissions Cycle, Freshmen, Fall 1980: Requests for Financial Aid.

| Group | Alli-College | Program Group \#1 | Program Group \#2A | Program Group \#3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Applicants: | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | ! 100\% |
| Financial Aid: | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \% \\ & 47 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64 \% \\ & 36 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 25 \% \\ +75 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \% \\ & 41 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| - Mean Need | \$N,NNN | \$N,NNN | \$N,NNN | \$N, NiNiN, |
| Rejects: | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Finangial Ald: | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \% \\ & 47 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \% \\ & 31 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \% \\ & 74 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \% \\ & 3.7 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean Need | \$N,NNN | \$N,NNN | \$N,NNN | \$N,NNN. |
| Accepts: | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| 'Financial Aid: | 54\% | 62\% | 24\% | 57\% |
|  | 46\% | 38\% | 76\% | 43\% V |
| ean Need | \$N,NNN | '\$N,NNN | \$N,NNN- | \$N, NNN |
| Cancels (inmatric | 200\% | 100\% | - 100\% | 100\% |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 53 \% \\ .47 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62 \% \\ -\quad 38 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .26 \% \\ & .74 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \% \\ & -\quad 46 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean Needo | NN,NNN - | \$N, NNN | \$N, NNN | - \$N, NNN |
| Matrics:- | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Financial-Ajd: | - $54 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \% \\ & 38 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \% \\ & 78 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \% \\ & 38 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Meañ Need t. | \$N,NNN. | N, NM ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | \$N,NNN | \$ $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{NNN}$ |

TABLE 2:-Ratings of Combined SAT Scores for Applicants Who Were Rejected by Ithaca Colfege and Who Oid Not Request Financial Aid.

| Program Group | . | . Total | Above Average | .Average | Below Average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 100\% | , 3\% | $-3^{9 \%}$ | 88\% |  |
| \#1 |  | 100\% ${ }^{\prime}$ | 2\% | 16\% | 92\% |  |
| \#2A |  | 100\% | 9\% | 26\% | 65\% |  |
| \#2B |  | 100\%. | 0\% | 0\%. | 100\%. |  |
| \#3 | " | 100\% | 0\% | 8\% | 92\% |  |
| \#4 |  | 100\% | 8\% | 14\% | 78\% |  |
| \#5 ${ }^{\text { }}$ |  | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% | '* |
| \#6 |  | 100\% | 10\% | 15\% | 75\% |  |

TABLE 3. Competitive Státus: Full-time Freshmen, Fall;,1980

| * | Program Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Total | \#1A | \#18. | \#2A | \#2B | \# 3 | \#4 | \#5 | \#6" |
| All matriculants | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | . $100 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | '100\% | 100\% | 1.00\% | 100\% |


| No competition | $-17 \%$ | $-13 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Applied only at | $(11 \%)$ | $(5 \%)$ | $(19 \%)$ | $(16 \%)$ | $(15 \%)$ | $(8 \%)$ | $(15 \%)$. | $(17 \%)$ |
| Ithaca College | $(14 \%)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Ithaca College
$(6 \%)(8 \%)(4 \%)(0 \%)(0 \%)(10 \%) .(3 \%)(7 \%)(.7 \%)$ at Ithaca Coll

| Competition | . | $83 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $.77 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $.85 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

TABLE 4. Competitive Status: selected competitors by specific Program ${ }^{1}$ .$\quad \frac{\text { Program Group.\#2A }}{\text { Select Competitors }} \quad \frac{\text { Program Group \#3 }}{\text { Select Competitors }}$
Item School 1 School 3"School 1 School 2 School 3 School 4
Number
Matrics at IC.
.. Cancels at IC

n

Comb. SAT Score ( $\overline{\bar{X}}$ )

$\%$ Req. Fin. Aid at 10

- Matrics $\quad 75 \%$ 86\%
. Cancels at. IC
Mean Need at IC
- Matrics at IC Cancels at IC
'Costs Major Consideration

Cancel Group Oniy ${ }^{2} 50-74 \% \quad 100 \% \quad<25 \% \quad{ }^{\circ}<25 \% \quad 25-49 \% \quad 25-49 \%$
$\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{\text {Gompetitors: }}$ School l-out of state private $\dot{U}, \dot{?}$ School 2 -instate private $U$; School 3-instate publ ic U.; School 4-out of state public U.; School 5-:..
recipients_of Veterans Benefits, Social Security. Benefits and Employee Dependent Tuition Remissions have been excluded from this analysis.)"
; Model: This model will be used for future studies; specific assumptions about cuts in federal prográms will be changed to reflect actual legislation. .
A: "Cost of education" is the cost of education to the student (tuition, room, board, books, travei); the estimate used is the typical package figure which our Financial Aid.Office develops in order, to assess financial need.
B. It is assumed that the cost of education is met by: (L) parental contributions; (2) student contributions (earnings during the summer and the . school year); (3) New York State financiál aid programs; (4) Federal finañcial aid programs; (5) Ithaca College scholg̀rships.
C. In comparing.resources available in 1980-81 with resources likely to be available in 1983-84, it is assumed that:
(1) Parents are able to pay the same percentage of the cost of education. In this model, the Guaranteed Student Loan Program is considered a resource for the parent. In $80-81$, the parent could borrow up to $\$ 2 ; 500$ per year, regardless of whether the student could demonstrate "unmet need.". This. model assumes that in i983-84, the parent will be able to borrow onily against "umet need." If an income cap is imposed for the GSL program (e.g., exclusion of parents making more than $\$ 30 ; 000$, regardless of the "unmet need" factor), then this model will not be useful:.
(2) Students are able to pay the same percentage of the cost of education. This model assumes that both the College Work-Study Program and the Ithaca College student payroll are resources for the student. It is assumed that the College Work-Study Program will not be cut substantially, and that the Ithaca College. Student Payroll will meet the same percentage of the student's

- contríbution in 1983-84 as in 1980-81.
(3) New York fate financial aid programs will, meet the same percentage of the cost of education.
(4) Pell Grant (BEOG) will be cat $40 \%$.
(5) Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants (SEOG) will beg cut $100 \%$.
(6) National Direct Student Loans will be cut $100 \%$ insofar as freshmen in 1983-84 are concerned. This àssumption will change for other classes. The underlying assumption is that we will be hard-pressed to maintain NDSL
commitments to upperclassmen in 1983-84; it is unlikely. that money will be available for freshmen.
(7) Ithaca College scholarships will meet the same percentage of the cost:. of-education in 1983-84.

11 Justration of the Model: Freshman Class, 1980-.81, Compared wi,th Freshman C 1 ass, 1.983-84

- (Note: The "Totá cost:of Education" equals number of matriculants "X Typical Package. In 1980-8f", cost of education equal.ed 1297 matriculants , times- $\$ 7,680$ package. For illustration only, we are assuming the same number of matriculants and a typical package cost of $\$ 10,000$ in 1983-84.)

|  |  | 80-81 |  | 83-84 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total cost of education |  | 100\% | 1 | 100\% |
| parental and student contributions | , | - 87\% |  | 87\% |
| (includes GSL, CWS, IC wages) | * |  |  |  |
| All other aid sources | - | 13\% |  | 8\% |
| $\therefore$ (NYS) |  | (. $.3 \%$ ) |  | ( 3\%) |
| ( IC schólarships) |  | ( 4\%) |  | ( 4\%) |
| (BE0G, SE0G, NDSL) |  | ( $6 \%$ ) |  | ( $1 \%$ ) |

Shortfall resulting from federal cuts
Oistribution of Aid to Freshmen in $1980-81$
$\frac{\text { All freshmen }}{\text { Not receiving NY S aid, IC scholarship, BEOG, SEOG or NOSL } \frac{100 \%}{65 \%}}$
: Receiving one or more of these aid packages: NYS aid, IC
$\because \quad$ Scholarship, SEEG, BEOG, or NDSL.
ABOUT 1 IN Z FRESHMEN IN 1980-81 ${ }^{\circ}$ RECEIVED AID FROM NEW YORK STATE; IC
SCHOLARSHIIPS, BEOG, SEOG OR NDSL,
Estimated Effects of Federal Cuts in 1983-84, Based on Patterns. of Aid in 1980-81 and Assumptions Previously Outlined

Al1 freshmen.
Not receiving NYS aid, IC scholarship, BEOG, SEOG, or NDSL

- Receiving NYS aid only . . . $4 \%$

Receiving only" IC scholarship, or receiving only IC scholarship and NY.S aid
. $5 \%$

Affected by cuts in BEOG, SESG, or NDSL $26 \%$
(Range in'percent affected: prooram $A-46 \%$; program $Z-18 \%$ ),

ABOUT 1 IN 4 FRESHMEN COLLEGE-WIOE ARE LIKELY TO BE AFFECTEO BY CUTS IN BEOG, SEOG OR NOSL. THE PERCENTAGE AFFECTED VARIES WIOELY BY SCHOOL. FOR THESE FRESHMEN, BEDG, SEOG, AND NDSL MONEYS ACCOUNTED FOR ABOUT $23 \%$ OF THE COST OF EOUCATION IN .1980-81.

AFTER THE ASSUMED CUTS, THESE FRESHMEN WILL BE SHORT, ON THE AVERAGE, A8OUT 19\% OF THE COST OF EOUCATION IN 1983-84.

Offsetting Federal Cuts: Estimated Cost to Ithaca College
If we attempt to offset thesestimated feleral cuts. with Ithaca College scholarship dollars, scholarship money for freshmen wobuld have to incregse from $4 \%$ of the "cost of education" for all 1 freshmen (number of matriculants $X$ 'package cost) to g\% of the "cost of education" for all freshmen..

- Another way to look at this problem is to consider Ithaca College scholarship moneys and Federal funds as "di-scounts" against the cost of education. Because financial need varies widely by specific academic program at Ithaca.College, the "discounts" account for a much higher. percentage of the cost of education in some programs than they da in others. The following table details' the estimated effects of cuts in *BEDG, SEOG, and NOSL using the "discount" model. Note that while the all-college "discount" for freshmen in 1980-81 was 10\%, the range by . program was 7\% to 19\%.

İABLE 5: Financial Aid as'."Oiscount": All-Coll.ege and Specific Programs
"iniscounts" on ithe Cost of Education for All Freshmen

|  |  | - Actual 80-81 |  | 1 | Estimated | 83-84 | - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit | $\begin{gathered} \text { IC } \\ \text { Schol. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { BEOG, SSEOG } \\ \text { NOSL. } \end{gathered}$ | Total | IC | . BEOG | - | Total |  |
| All-College | 4\% ${ }^{\circ}$ | ? $6 \%$ | 10\% | 4\% | - $1 \%$ |  | 5\% |  |
| \#6 | - 9\% | 10\% | 19\% | 9\% | 2\% | * | 11\% |  |
| \#5 | 7\% | - $10 \%$ | 17\% | - $7 \%$ | 2\% |  | . $9 \%$ |  |
| \#2 | 6\% | - $9 \%$ | 15\% | -6\% | -1\% |  | 7\% |  |
| \#4. | $5 \%$ * | - $8 \%$ | 13\% | . $5 \%$ | 1\% |  | 6\% |  |
| \#3 | 4\% | - $5 \%$ | 9\% | : 4\% | 1\% | $\bigcirc$ | 5\% |  |
| \#1 | 3\% | - $4 \%$ | . $7 \%$ | - $3 \%$. | 1\% |  | - $4 \%$ |  |

## SUMMARY

These analyses were part of the planning'process at Ithaca-College. They were not the definitive factor in the formulation of any specific decision, nor should they have been. When college administrators discuss factors which contribute to good decision-making, the typical researcher, argues the merits of quantitative data. But even the most forceful oroponents of the value of institutional research studies assume that the topic under consideration is "researchable," that' relevant data exiṣt, and that adequate time is available to do a comprehensive study. At the typical.college, however, the institutional researcher is frequently a participant'in a decision-making process where the traditional assumptions cannot be met. It is on these occasions that the institutional researchers's professional judgment is tested as he or she addresses the following questions:
A. When does one informi the President that the'careful planning studies which have been completed by the Office of Institutional Research \& Planning are now irrelevant -- or, perhaps; actually detrimental to good decisionmaking -- because an unanticipated change. in a major variable must be con.sidered?
'B. If one cannot do "the perfect study," when should one do nothing, and admit that the techniques of institutional research are not useful in addressing the particular problem facing the institution?
C. When should one do a limited or partial study of a complex issue?
D. If one does a limitedgrtudy, how does he or she communicate judgmerf's about "scope," "varidity;" and "reliability" to those who are unfamiliar with the researcher's definitions of those terms?

As this paper demonstrates, the institutional research staff at Ithaca College chose to do certain analyses related to the topic of federal financial aid cuts. The major factor in the decision to do. these studies was the centext in which the information would be disseminated. The Planning Group is small, the members know each other well and have worked together for a long period of time and they share an extensive khowledge of the College. The Director of Institutional Research and Planining explained the anglyses to the group; each major point was discussed as it was presented. Since the group included people with current knowledge of legislative initiatives at the Federa. level, the researcher's assumptions.
. concerning federal aid cuts were carefully examined. As the group had worked together closely on many other occasions, the tone of the working session was frank and informal. Most important, the Director of Institutional. Research \& Planning was confident that a mutual understanding had been established concerning the proper weight to be given to various kinds of information. Under these conditions, limited studies of complex issues help senior officers of a callege. to make short-range policy decisions under time pressures. In other situations, the likelihood that 1 会jited studies will be used inappropriately may be quite high. It i's, therefore, the responsibility of the researcher to evaluate carefully the kind of audience to which such studies are addressed and the methods by which they will be disseminated.

## MICROCOMPUTERS IN INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH



Word processors are lacking from many Institutional Research (IR) offices and computer terminals are conspicuously missing from others, yet it's nearly guaranteed that the If office of the future will have its own mi rocomputer. These désk-top computers, some smaller than a Selectric typewriter, have taken the businesses world by storm, and have become an Indispensable tool far accomplishing decision-making in increasing numbers of organizations. This paper introduces the novice to the world of microcomputers, and provides useful, up-tdate information for the initiated. Three issues ate addressed in the paper:

- Uses of microcomputers in IR
- Considerations in purchasing a miordcomputer
- Recent microcomputer developments and future trends

A BYTE,(BIT) OF. COMPUTER BACKGROUND
In 450 B.C. the Chinese invented the abacus, humankind's first calchating machine still in. use today in many parts of the world. Two thousand years later, 1823, the Frenchman Babbage, conceived of the first automatic calculating machine, the analytic engine. More than a century later, in 1946, the twentieth century witnessed, the first electronic computer. The ENIAC required over 5,000 square feet of floor' space, was designed with 18,000 vacuum tubes, and had $1>000$ words of memory. Technological advancement has proceeded at a rapid pace since then. With the advent of the transistor (1948) and integrated circuitry (1961), the road was paved for development of the microprocessor. Originally considered a hobbyist toy, the microcomputer industry is now a* multi-billion dollar industry: ". In. contrast to the ENIAC, the * microprocessor, developed with large scale integration (LSI): technology, is contained within a $1^{11} \times 2^{\prime \prime} \times 1 / 4 \mathrm{n}$ silicon ohip,and typically has 64,000 ( 64 K ) words (bytes) of memory. The creation of Visicalc spurred the industry and over 150 companies worldwide manufacture microcomputers.

## USES OF THE MICROCOMPUTER IN IR

The author has defined six primary areas where the microcomputer can greatly aid the institutional researcher:

- modeling
- graphics
- word processing
communications
databases
statistical analysis


## Modeling

The information gathering process in IR provides data for the. planning and decision-making functions of the university. Modeling is an important tool for the planner. A model is a hypothetical set of relationships used to forecast some future state. In generally employs. financial forecasting models which supplement the institutional budget proceṣs. Some readers may be familiar with the EFPM ${ }^{1}$ and IFPS ${ }^{2}$ medeling systems which reside on mainframe computers. Both systems can be costly te use and IFPS requires considerable computer expertise. The microcemputer has added a new dimension to modeling. The software is, simple to use. even by the novice, and powerful sutcomes can be obtained at the touch of a key. VisiCalc. SuperCalc, DSS/F, and MBA are some of the more well known microoomputer modeling systems. The first two systems provide electronic spreadsheets with 63 columns and 252 , rows. ' Labels, constants, and formulas fill this matrix and any cell in the matrix can be made conditional upen cells above and to the left. A pewerful repeat command eases formula generation. Defining columns. as time periods, and rows as budget line items, the modeler can easily modify inflation. growth rates. and other assumptions to forecast future budgets. Victorcalcis a three-dimensional modeling system, similar to Visícalc, but provides the capacity te add university department or some other grouping varjable as a third yimension. Any two dimensions can be displayed simultaneously. These prograns ide net require programming knowledge and typically can be mastered in 3 hours. The primary limitations of Visicalc types of

[^0]modeling software are matrix size, minimal report formatting, lack of transferability of data to other software packages (egg. graphics), and lack of facility for feedback loops in models,

DSS/F and MBA combine modeling; graphics, and report generation Into one package: While more flexible and powerful thant Visicalc or Supercali, these systems require considerable computer expertise and also have restricted model sizes. Many planning and IR' offices spend up to $\$ 50,000$, annually on modeling activities, so a.mióroomputer at $\$ 4000$ and Visicalc at $\$ 250^{\circ}$ can provide enormous cost savings to an institution. Graphics

Graphs and charts for various presentations and reports, and an annual fact book filled with bar charts and pie charts are often produced by the IR office. If you have experienced the production of slides and transparencies by hand (which often have to be redone when data are updated) then you can appreciate the time saved by having a computer assume this task. The microcomputer is outstanding in ts graphics capability. An interactive graphics package with graphics terminals and a plotter cost approximately $\$ 50,0$ Q capability is available on a microcomputer with the addition of a software package at $\$ 250$ and aldol matrix printer at $\$ 500$, or a plotter at $\$ 2,000$. $1 / 2$

Exceptional and finely detailed graphs can be generated with the microcomputer. In addition to a graphics equipped machine, one needs graphics software, a plotter (or a printer), a high quality monitor, and a shot of imagination. Two types of microcomputer software packages are available: screen graphics and plottygraphics, (sometimes combined into one package).

The most common graphics packages, ore screen graphics which provide for screen transfer to a dot matrix printer. These systems tend to be menu driven and easy to use for creating bar charts, line graphs, and pile, charts. The screen can be photogmaphed to make slides, or can be electronically transferred by recently developed processes to transparencies or other hard-copy media.

Microçomputirs tend to differ greatly in screen resolution from 200 by 200 pixels (dots) to 1,000 by 1,000 pixels. Some micrpomputers are equipped with efficient bit-mapped graphics, while others are only capable of character graphics. A good quality black and. white or color
monitor ts required for graphics display. Since a TV cannot produce a high resolution display, an RBG color monitor (cost $\$ 1.000$ ) is Šuggested. for graphics, For the screen graphic to be transferred to the printer. the printer must also have asraphics chip (usually installed by the dealer).

A second .type of graphics package drives a flatbed color pen plotter. Since the lines drawn are continutous (rather than composed of dots). a very high quality product is obtained. fhe microcomputer can be directly linked to the flatbed plotter: or data can be transferred over phone lines to the plotter controlled by a central computer. Many very promising developments in miorocomputer graphics are underway at this trime:
Word Processing
Word processing (WP) has revolutionized the print industry and has made the typewriter obsolete. Since screen editors with ward processing. capabilities tend to consume substantial system resources on a large computer. many colleges have restricted word processing on the central computer and the indopendept WP system has become rather common. WP systems with lettér quality printers cost over $\$ 10.000$. Though microcomputer WP systems. which became available a few years ago. lack some of the power and features of the independent WP system. microomputers have the advantage of computational capability.

An excellent CP/M based WP system is WordStar. WordStar combined with a sorting package, a merging facility, and a mail label and listing program is a sophist (cated system. It supports most of the well known brands of dot matrix or letter quality printers. A dot matrix printers. fast. but can not be used for final copy. The Diablo and. Spinwriter printers cost around $\$ 2.000$ and print 60 characters per second while the . new $\$ 500$ letter quality printers print only 10 characters per second.

The advantages of using the microcomputer for word processing are cost *and computational. copability. A filewereated in one program. for example. SuperCalc. can be processed by a word processing program. for example. HordStar. Mjoro-based WP does not offer "all of the power and features of independent WP systems since microoomputers ark rather slow' and their keyboards lack the special editing and 'funtion theys found on independent Wं?, systems.

## Communioations

More new products and systems have been developed for Communjcations from microcomputers than any other application. The microcomputer's real power will be realized when it is linked with other users both: locally and on other campuses:. Communications can be used for: -

- simple germinal emulation'- linking toge mainframe
- file transfer to another computer
- database access
- distributed data processing
- local area networks
- electronič mail
- access to nationwide networks. of information
- typesetting
$\dot{\infty}$ - computer conferencing
Without a doubt, one of the mast exgiting applications of communications is electronic mail networks. Bitnet and Edumajl are two such' networks available to universities which can be 'aceessed frem a terminal or microcomputer. Electronic mail provides editiorsy file handing. and mañagenent software for the transfer of information high speed lines across the nation. Network menbers must install spectel softare on their. central computer and rent a dedicated phone line.*

The two forms of communieations are direct and indirect. A difect
 form of network either lanked to a larger computer efsewhene. or to mićrocomputers using a Winchester hárg disk and network software such as. Omninet. There are few proven network test sites, in the country, and ' miofocomputer network systems. purporited. to. support $4_{4}$ to $16^{6}$ microcompiters, have been tested even less..

Indiret communications are established using the, telephone* system. The microcomputer must be equipped with cominuications hardware and software and a modem or"an. accoustic coupler is "required. . The imicrocomputer can call any computér or aan link inta wofldwide networks - through Tymenet or Telenet. There ame four levels of software that oan be - purchased for communcations: teletype or "ndubn terminal emulationi?" "simat" terminal emulation (e.g. 3270. vT 00 ); terminal emulation with file transfer and error cheeking capabflity; and packages that can
communicate with a mainframe operating system. In addition to using the. microcomputer as a computer terminal for receiving information, more sophisticated communication .software allows information to be "downloaded" to the the inicrocomputer disk' system and "uploaded" (sent) to. another computer.

Communication over telephone lines is slow and unreliable unless the 1 tithes are dedicated to data communications or designed with optical fiber. The greatest drawback to microcomputer communications at this time is that most operating systems are single task systems; while communicating, the microcomputer needs to be dedicated to this purpose. Communication from ámicrecomputer iss more practical when a multitask operating systems, such as MP/M, is installed.

Databases
Researchers need access to large amounts of information. The microcomputer can play a significant role in data access: retrieval of large databases from another computer; preparation of databases to be sent to another computer: management of small databases residing on the microcomputer disks. For accessing information, the microcomputer can be, used like a terminal; in addition, data can be retrieved on disk, ard analyzed or manipulated at a. later time. For example, mailyists can be downloaded to the microcomputer for printing personalized letters and mail labels.

When the microcomputer is used for data preparation, a great deal of "online" time can be saved on the remote computer. Data can be prepared, edited and scored on the microcomputer and then sent in a single transmission to a mainframe. In an environment. where' data. is entered interactively at a significant t cost, the microcomputer offers a practical alternative.

Small databases can be created and manipulated directly on the microcomputer: database languages have been developed for this purpose; for example, DBMaster and DBaseII. Many, small colleges have put the is entire fund raising, alumni., and other datasets on a microcomputer. With the addition, $A$, winchester hard disk (capacity 5 to 50 million bytes) fairly large databases can be stored. The primary disadvantage of microcomputer database systems is speed especially for searching sorting operations. The newly announced Motorola $\mathbf{~} 68000^{\circ}$ mforoprocessor. (a $16 / 32^{\circ}$
bit ohip) is moré efficient for database manipulation beoause the data word is larger'and the CpU (Central Processing Unit) can directly address millions of words of memory.

A very useful application of the microcomputer is the preparation of annual reports, such às a fact book. Data can. be stored in a database. new figures can be computed yearly. text can be edited with the word processor, graphs can be updated. and the final text can be transferred to typesetting equipment over communication fines:
Statistical Analysis
Statistical software for microcomputers is limited for two reasons: , general statistical products do not have siginificant appeal in the business world; and the capacity of memory on the microoomputer is insufficient for the programming of sophisticated statistical routines. Beacuse fleating'point numbers require 5 bytes of storage on an 8-bit microcomputer, a regression problem with 50 variables requires 37.5 K words of menory. Thé 16-bit microcomputer can' directly address more memory, but is also restricted to an 8-bit data word. Microcomputers offer statistical software for simple descriptive statistics. frequency tables. oress tabulation. chi "square tests. t-tests. and simple anova, correlation, and regiession. The 68000 microoomputer has distinct advàntages, for statistical analysis. but at* the present time little software has been. developed for this system. It is anticipated that statistical products will be developed by researchers in academic settings. though most likely these will not be available through commercfal markets". There are some statistical packages, available for CP/M microcomputers. VisiPlot/Trend. a combined regression and graphics package is available on the Apple for trend and time-series analysis and there is also a mier dicomputer version of SPS running under CP/M.
.. CONSIDERATIONS IN PURCHASING A MICROCOMPUTER;
Microprocessors, can be olassified into four basic chips:

- $6 \dot{5} 02$ home computers with proprietary operating systems
- 280 8 Dit desktop computers with CP/M
- 8086 16-bit desktop computers with CP/M-86 or MS-류
- 68000 i6/32-bit computers with UNIX .

The 280 inicrocomputer, running the. $C P / M$ operating system, has become a. standard. : More software runs under CP/M than any other microcomputer operating system. $C P / M-86$ and MS-DOS (the operating system on the IBM $P C$ ) are competing to become the standard for 16 -bit microcomputers. Some of the newer microcomputer systems, such as, DEC's Rainbow 100, have the capability of switching between 8poit and 16-bit programs. The Motorola 68000 micröprocessor with 16 -bit architecture and a 32 bit register can directly address millions of bytes of memory and is, a very powerful system. The UNIX operating system which operates on larger computers, such as the VAX, has been redesigned for the 68000 .

Within any class of microcomputers, the primary differences are found in the packaging and in the proprietary products offered. "Microcomputers are similar in that memory is divided into RAM (random access memory) and ROM (read only memory). The operating system, BASIC interpreter, and system utilities reside in ROM. RAM is best compared to core memory on a mainframe. In terms of design, some microcomputers are totally self-contained, others have detached components, and some are handheld or portable. . Screen displays and keyboards tend to be radically different from one system to another. Less expensive microcomputers can display only 40 characters on the screen and have few extra keys on the keyboard; better microcomputers display 80 to 150 characters, and are equipped with numeric keypads, function. keys, cursor control keys and other special keys. (egg. a HELP key). ,Most desk top microcomputers come equipped with two disk drives. Double sided double density disks have more tracks and greater storage capacity. The monitor. is usually. purchased as an extra, as are plotters, printers, and other peripherals. Software designed to operate under a specific operating system could $\leqslant$ 'execute on any other computer. with the same ${ }^{B} P \cdot \cup$ if the disk formats between systems were compatabile. Unfortunately, at present, there is little exchangeability of software between systems. Home computers with proprietary operating systems have no software interchange.
there are three methods for purchasing microcomputers: mall order, retail outlets, and directly from, the manufacturer. Universities are granted a . $15 \%$ discount from retailers even on a single purchase. . Manufacturers have, been rumored oo provide 30 to 50 percent discounts on large orders. To obtain these large discounts, purchasing agreement is
$\uparrow$
supplied by the dealer. Cadtion should be exeroised in signing such afreements so that there is not. a commitment to a single retailer. or brand of wiereoomputer:

Miorecomputers carry a $90 \rightarrow$ day warranty. The. purchase of an extended annual service oontract, whioh oosts approximately $\$ 250$ (an item to be included in a department's operafing budget) 13 strongly recommended. The extended contract can oever mail,.drop off service, or on-site service; and the price increases respectively.

Most microcomputer sales and marketing.persons** are new to oomputing, tend to know omputer terminelogy, but have little prior experience. 'Sales' people ofnnot be expected to be experts and'your' ' knowl edge about computers may be proader than theirs. Bargain shopping for computers has many drawbacks. Aíthough maili order purchasing provìdes substantial savings, there is no simple method for obtaining service when equipment malfunctiòns.

A miorocomputer system *with two disk drives aid a monitor costs - from $\$ \$, 000$ to $\$ 5,000$ and another $\$ 1,000$ needs to be budgeted for. software. Hardware cost's continue to drop, but -software prices are - rising. Following an initial microcomputer purchase, from $\$ 300$ to $\$ 800$ needs ${ }^{-}$to be budgeted annually for maintenance, supplies, software and hardwate expansion '(for ample, more memory).". The good news about microcomputers is that an incredible amount of computational power can be . pur申hased for $\$ 5,000$. The bad news is that the system you purchase today Will be useable, but totally obselete in five years. ?

With the exc̣eption of Visicalc, there are few, futstanding programs on microcomputers. (herocomputer software is designed more for profit value than for quallity. Software companies struggle to keep pace with. continuously changing hardware; consequently products have been poorly designed, minimally tested and lack quality control. "Doombentation'is. often limited and trafining is never included'in purchase oosis. Educom, Nercomp, and other groups offer support, to eduoational microcomputer users, but with 150 differgit products on the market, your computing. centér personnel may not be able to assist you' with a specific brand, Singe an ${ }^{*}$ IR office often has téchnjical persopnel, it máy assume the responsibility for training other adminisitrators and assuring oompatability of microcemputer systems on the oampus..

RECENT MCIROCOMPUTER DEVELOPMENTS AND FUTURE TRENDS
Some of the new det ppments in miorocomputing in the past year. are shown is Table 1. During this period the number of microomputer manufacturers grew from 75 to approximately 150; three mainframe companies, Digital, Wang, and Burroughs entered-athe market; Japanese companies became formidable competitors, With such a market, forecasting future developments is difficult, Certainly there will be miany enhapoements in networks and communications ant olearly a large increase in competitors in the 32 -bit market. During the next year we can also. envision: pajor intorodements in highicapaoity storage devioes, significant ' development in flat 'screen's and batery packs, more research in nen-volatile (bubble) memory, the opening of training centers throughout the country, and the vanishing of many, small hardware and software companies. Within a few years the primary competitors in the microcomputer industry will surface and many small oompanies will be consumed. In the longer range standards will be established and the quality of both hardware andं software should improve. The rapid. advancement in technology, seèn to date, will probably not slof its pace before the end of the decade.

While the foregoing may, suggest that it would be wise to wait to. purchase a microcomputer, these systems offer major increases in produotivity, and higher 'quality. 'results which means producing' work faster and better. (With increasing competition between institutions of higher education, afvanced technology can. help your university stay at the forefront.

A LAST BYTE
At Tufts University we, have done considerable research into microoomputer hardware and have developed minimum specifications for" our. environment known. in Table 2. A comparison of $26^{\circ}$ mierocomputer systems can be obtained from the áchthor.

- Color dot tiattrix printer

Table New Developments in Marecomputing

- Low cost formed character printer ( $\$ 500$ down from $\$ 2000$ )
-     - 68000 microprocessor
- Winchester hard
- Hard disk' with removable cartridges
- $34 / 2^{4 l}$ diskette (capacity 870 K )
- $128 \mathrm{~K}-4 \mathrm{M}$ bytes of ram (up from 64K)
$\therefore$ Bubble memory chip ( 256 K - non volt file)
- Flat screen
- 4-line, 80-character LCD display, (liquid crystal')
- Battery operated portable microcomputer,
- HASCI keyboard (H for Human)
- i50 character display $1000 \times 1000$ screen graphic resolution Micro-based local area network
-     - Transportable code (egg. b-oode for Pascal)

Robot arm controllers
3.-dimensional graphics tablet



Minimum MICROCOMPUTER Specifications Computer Services - Tufts University
$\frac{\text { Feature }}{:-} \frac{\text { Requirement }}{\text { HARDWARE }}$

CPU: $\quad 8$ bit -280 A or $280 \mathrm{~B}, \quad$ Needed to run operating

$$
\text { Memory: } \quad 8 \text { bit }-64 \mathrm{~K}
$$

16 bit $\rightarrow 8086,8088$, MC 68000 systems fob below

Neede cor Pascal, FORTRAN, and auer software.

Char. Set.: 128 ASCII
comment Needed for communications.

Display: $80 \times 24$
Upper and lower case Bit mapped graphics

$$
\text { Comunic.: } \quad \text { RS -232C port (serial) }
$$

Printer
RS -232 (serial) or Centronics ('parallel) port

- Disk Drives: Support for. 2 drives - with one 5 !' floppy $\geq 320 \mathrm{~KB}$ captcity and second $5^{\prime \prime}$ or $8^{\prime \prime}$. floppy
Keyboard: * ANSI standard including ESCAPE, BREAK, CONTROL, BKS, TAB, CAPS LOCK, SHIFT, cursor' keys and numeric keypad':


## OTHER FEATURES

Ex'pandable/upgradeable, on-stte service available, good company reputation

## SOFTWARE

Error correcting communications (CX/DX or IE' Modem), CP /M,. CP/M-86 or MS-D.OS operating systems; word processor support or editor, Visicalc or similar modeling/-simulatipntisystem. graphics; database support, and languages; BASIC, Pascal, C . and Fortran

- Saint Joseph College

West Hartford, Connecticut

## introduction

The present crisis in higher education, the "panorama of misery.", "f you will, raisgs serious questions about the future of higher education in the country. More specifically, can collegestand universities maintain flexibility and viability, preserve quality, be accountable, and respond effectively to the charging needs of society with in the context of budget-
 retrenchnent of faculty proceed without injury to academic vitality, without erosion of faculty morale, and without a loss of curricular

Although the key issues of the eighties in higher education are certainly economic. in nature, I would argue that the "micro" consequences, of the policies adopted to deal with economic contingencies may well determine whether or not colleges, and, universities can continue to be places in which creativity and excitement about learnilig can be promoted.

There is no question that institutional vitality is commonly regarded in economic terms as is țhe vitality of a city, a region, or an entire nation. Any number of indicators can "provide a relatively simple and inexpensive way of monitoring fiscal health in a small-private college: performance against inflation, cash flow, return on endowment, 'money set
aside for màintenance, number of student ápplizations relative to enroll-- . ment, retention of students, expenditures per student, and so on. It is *. essential that small colleges understand these and other economic indicators Fus the vital, signs that they really are.

However: there is another dimension of the vitajity question: the Social and psychological components of an alive and vibrant institution. Even if economic indicators remain relatively stable during the eighties, many of those in the academic profession, and especially those in small colleges, are likeily to face, a great deal of both' professional and personal uncertainty: Added to this uncertainty will be a number of constraints that appear now to be almost inevitabie, consequences of many of the trends we can currently detect. It seems $r$ ther certain that job mobility will be low. The average age of college faculty will increase dramatically. With less: money for travel and professional development, there will no doubt be fewer opportunities for profeşsionai interchange.
/-Together, all of these factors, and other's now only dimly perceived, . can bring about a rapid deterioration of morale, a sharp drop in the "volunteerism" which small, private colleges ten'd to live on, an increase in the phenomenon of burnout, an inability to stay abreast of one's fief, and extraordinary levels of interpersonal conflicts. And yet, the primary problem in the contemporáry, small, private college' is that. to key administrators life often seems, or is, a daily struggle for economic survival. Short-term

 of environment, planning is difficult and attention to the micro-coneerns of the quality of 1 ife in the institution becomes almost completely, obscured. Yet, in the 1980s, institutional research must find ways to become more person-oriented and more interested in ways of collecting and organizing the
qualitative information which can support and inform the strategies we evolve in order to cope with the inssues confronting us.

## 8

PURPOSE


The rapidly increasing cömplexities. involved in the various aspects of omerating institutions, both large and small, within the envirgnment I have just described, have resulted over past years in increased delegation. of respoñsibility from deans and othérs to department chairs Academic depart- " ments fre the organizạtional units within an institution which are most severely affected by this state of affairs. There are alternatives $\downarrow 0$ the acadefíic department, such as divisions of related disciplines or schools and colleges without formalized sub-divisions, but the fact remains that the a cademic department constitutes the prevailing pattern of organization for the "planning and mắnagement of the learning process arid or resêarch, creative activity, ånd public service. Department chairs are middle-managers in the decision-making process. They are the chief planners. Their day-today decisions'do impact the future of 'the ir departments. and institutions. : How can institutional researchers äsist academic derpartmert chairs in strategic plannime and in confronting the issues during this "shallow valley." - period of higher education?

What evglved at Saint Joseph Colleger, was a series of three planning workshops over the academic yedr $1982-1983$; each four hours long followed by cocktails. The purpose det this papef. is to describe the fitst plannîng workshop.

## ASSUMPTIONS AND DAJECTIVES

-This \{particular' workshop strategy.att saint Joseph College was based upon the following assumptions:
1.. That the department chairperson is a primary filter affecting acaderic change and climate with in an institution of higher learn-
ing. A fair amount of research indicates that climate or quality of life existing, within acollege may be the single, . mose powerful element affecting teaching and stludent performance;
2. That more sharing of information and strategies would reduce the sense of isolation and encourage interaction among academic leaders at the departmental lequel;
3.. That the department chairperson plays a critical role as mentor, as connector between departmental faculty and their respective divisions; 1.
4. That a more thorough understanding of the college as an in-
: stitution anderganization will result in a commitment to mission and shared percentions of the mjesion which will be both a source of pride añd uniqueness for the college;
5. That sound academic planning requires both a theoretical framework and reliable data analys is.
Flowing from these assumptions, the following objectives emerged:

1. Owning the theoretical framework for planning, that is those - overarching principles of the College guiding the planning process
(e.g.; mission statement, college-wide goals and objectives, plannịng and budgeting guidelines approved by the Board of Trustees);
2. Assisting chairs in articulating the goals and objectives of their departments within the broader framework of the College and in appreciating the interlocking nature of each;
3. Assisting chairs in ;analyzing data, in taking basic institutionar data and combining it with departmental da.ta to provide, solutions to imbalances;
4. Assisting chairs in developing strategies for implementing Board of Trusteest planning and budgeting guidelines;
5. Challenging chairs to see the positive elements of retrenchment rather than the negative features of movement away from what has been;
6. Challenging chairs to move beyond an either/or approach to change and exploit the creative tension between retrenchment and revitalization;
7. Creating a climate which empowers individuals tg participants in the fulfilment of the mission anderate the sense of being involved in a creative, productive, and energizing work life.

PLANNING AT SA1wT JOSEPH COLLEGE
During 1980-1981, planning activities at Saint Joseph College' focused on the revision, reaffimation', and updating of the mission statement of the College. This mission statement, along with supporting goals and otjectides, was hammered fut by coll constituencies of the College and adopted in the Fall of 1981. On April 28, 1982 the Board of Trustees approved planning guidel ines intended to provide a policy framework within which faculty and administrators may plan for the next three fiscal years, FY 1983; FY1984; FY 1985.

Saint Joseph College's planning and budgeting efforts have been refined over the past two years, Attempts have been made to integrate-planning adtivities and budgeting activities into a comprehensive, unified process; that integrated process was implemented during 1981-1982, when a new Plan- 4 - ning and Budgeting Committee advisory to the President was formed in NovemDer, 1981. The Planning-and Budgeting. Comittee, chaired by the President, is a College-wide committee which advises the President on all matters pertaining to plaxning and budgeting. The committee consists of nine voting members; the undergraduate and graduate Deans, The Treasurer, the Dean of Students, the Director of Development and. Public Relations, three faculty elected by the faculty, 'and the President of the Student Government Association.

Functions of the Planning and Budgeting Committee include the following:

1. To assist the President in the development and updating of a long-range RJan consistent with the College's'mission and objectives and guidel.ines set by the Board of Trustees;
2. To recommend to the President a balanced budget fop review by the-Eoard of Trustees; .
3. To provide advice to the President on:
a) Strategy for integrating planning and budgeting efforts;
b) Strategy for the involvement of various constituencies of the College;
c) Forms used for annual reports and budget requests;
d) Coordination of regular programatic evaluation with the planning and budgeting process; .
e) Optimum utilization of haman, physical, 'and fi'scal. , .resources.

In addition to the establishment of the Planning and Budgeting Committee, other steps were taken during the past academic year to integrate planning and budgeting, Forms used by adademic and administrative unit, heads for planning proposals and budget requests were drawn up so that they were complementary, and so that the unit heads could project both plans and budgets for a three-year period. This Fall, a comprehenṣive list of key dates for both plańning. and. budgeting and a planning/budgeting calendar were issued by the, President, in order to illustrate and facilitate an.integrated approach to the combined processes along the time-line of the academic year.

## CONTEXT OF SAINT JOSEPH COLLEGE

Saint Joph College occupies 84 acres of residential area on Asylum Avenue in West Fartford, Connecticut. A women's undergraduate institution, combiniting liberal education with career preparation, it enrolls 855 students, and has had a $68 \%$ increase in enrollment during the decade of the 1970 s when women's colleges experienced a net gain of $15 \%$. The graduate program, open to men and women, enroll's about 400 students each semester. It has a modest endowment, has always operated in the black, has no deferred maintenance and * predicts a stabilization of enroflment over the next three years. It has 62. FTE faculty and an overall institution faculty/student ryatio of $\mathrm{N}: 11$.


## Exhibit 1

## PLANHING REPORT

Unit $\qquad$ Oivision $\qquad$
Date Submitted

## SECTION 1

Statement of Purpose for the Plaming and Budgeting Unit
In this section state concisely and clearly the purpose or mission of your department or office. Focus on your strengths. Indicate the specific and unique contributions of your particular unit to accomplishing the College's mission. In your statement a,d purpose. identify the emphasis. scope and character of your programs and how you best serve the College. A review of your strengths and unique contributions to the College's mission will assist all of us in determining which services. programs. and activities are essential to Saint Joseph College's success. and which ones might be less necessary in the decade ahead.
SECTION II (Forms)
Planning and Budgeting Objectives. in Priority Order, for 1982-1983. 1983-1984, 1984-1985
For each objective. ;isted in priority order for implementation over the next three years:

1. List the all-College goal and objective which your proposal supports. and explain briefly how it is supportive. (See coilege-wide goals and objectives.)
2. In justifying your proposal:
... if the proposal is to add, explain why the item is necessary and the benefits you and the College will derive from its addition;
... if the proposal is to delete. explain why the component is identified for deletion and the potential savings you and the College will derive from its deletion:
...ff the proposal is to change, explain how the item will complement or strengthen a current program, or how you and the College will benefit from the change;
.... if the proposal is to substitute one program for another. indicate a deletion and an addition as two goals, with the appropriate explanation(s).
3. Discuss the anticipated costs and/or savings and/or income genersted by your proposals to accomplish your goals and/or objectives:
$\ldots$.. using 1981-1982 as the base budget year. describe. for each goal or objective, any additional costs, anticipated savings. or substituted expenditures; ...for each goal or objective. indicate its effect. If any. on your total departmental/office budget;
...keep in mind the ali-Collge budgeting and planning guidelines of the Trustees with regard to increasing numbers of faculty and staff. etc.

## SECTION III

Lang-Range Ideas to Explore

1. Department/Ofiice/Program

In this part. propose ideas which your specific area of the College plans to investigate. or which your staff pro. oses to look into in future plaming.
2. All-College

In this part. propose ideas sutside your department or office or prigram for sonsideration in future piant... sessions or by others at the College. Be as specific as possible. Iden' 'her groups or persons who might share an interest or concern in these i.....

```
        P = Personnel
    S&E = Supplies & Expenses
    R&R = Renewa', and Replacement
        S - Space
```

SAINT JOSÁPH COLLEGE
PLANNIMG PRIÓORITIES 1982-83, through 1984-85

Divisions of the CoIlege: Academic - (Undergraduate)
Academic - (Graduate)
Student Services
Development \& Public Relations Business 6 Administrative Services

Division $\qquad$ Date Submitted: $\qquad$
Unit $\qquad$

| Prioricy | Proposal for addition, deletion, ar change in departmental programs, tajors, concentrations, activities, services |  | costs |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1982-1983 | 1983-1984 | 1984-1985 |
| High | Goal !: | $p$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | S6E |  |  | $\because$ |
|  |  | R6R |  |  | F |
|  |  | : s |  |  |  |

College Goal and Objective Supported, and How:

## Justification of the Objective;

Discussion of Costs and/or Savings or Income Generated;

## SPECIFIC RESULTS OF WORKSHOP

Some tentative claims of success can be made:

1. Departmental chairs have a broader conception of departmental atmosphere as a key to student and faculty morale and productivity.
2. Curriculum development, program costing methriniogies, institutional research/HEGIS language--FTE, SC'H--are poorly understood by many academic leaders.
3. Many academic leaders are unaware of the substantial econonic commitment made by an institution in the act of hiring a facuity member.
4. Department chairs see the College as a more "complex" organization.
5. In the words of one participant, there was a sense that "someone was really at the helm, guiding the institution."
6. There was an "upbeat" atmosphere after the meeting: "We have the guidelines, we have the daia. Now we know what to do. We just have to go ahead and do it!"
7. The workshop increased both the cenfidence and competence of department chairpersons and created an atmesphere wherein these academic leaders feel appreciated and more eager to cooperate with central administration as partners in confronting the challenges of the 80s.

## ON-GOING QUESTIONS AND SOME CINTANKEROUS CONTENTIONS

During the course of planning and implementing this academic planning workshop, several questions and some zantankerous contentions about the academy emerge:

1. How long do the effects of such a planning workshop last?
2. There exists little clarity about the expectations which academic chairs must meet not. only in planning but in other related activities.
3. Department chairs often lack direct acce:s to, and inherit multiple interpretations $0^{*}$, central administration plans.
4. Listening is a competency poorly developed by many academic leaders.
5. Academic institutions rarely provide ample opportunities for informal interaction in enriched surroundings for academic leaders.
6. Academic institutions rarely provide special time for thought and conversation on issues of substance by acadenic leaders.
7. Basic humanism in academic work is the only sufficient offset to low compensation for academic leaders.

Of course, these all await, I might add, solid empirical verification.
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# Planning and Computing in Theological Seminaries 

by
Al exander M. Jones and Daniel A. Updegrove EDUCOM

In March 1980 the Reiigion Division of the Lilly Endowment awarded a grant to EDUCQ4 for a project of "research and development of cost effective planning tools for theological seminaries." In this project, EDUCOM staff worked with administrators at eleven seminaries to evaluate the usefulness of computer-based financial planning models. In addition, when it became apparent that some seminaries were not prepared to use planning models effectively because of outmoded data processing operations, EDUCOM extended the project to provide consulting on hardware and software options and systems management at several of the seminaries. (1)

By the end of the project (Juiy, 1982), four seminaries reported that models were "integral:' to their planning and four reported that models were "useful adjuncts". Both EDUCOM's EFPM modeling system and microcomputer-based systems were used successfully; EDUCOM concluded that most seminaries should use microcomputers because of their lower cost and ease of use. (2)

To test the generality of these findings, EDUCOM sent a questionnaire on planning and computing to the chief executive officers of all 192 seminaries affiliated with the Association of Theological Schools. The survey was mailed in Maran, 1982 with one followup in April. Completed questionnaires were received from 106 institutions ( $55 \%$ ), wi th most returned by chief executive or ohief business officers. It must be pointed out, of course, that despite the
(1) For details see Alexander M. Jones and Ronald L. Orcutt, "Computers in Theological Seminaries: Stiategies, Options, and Services," Princeton: EDUCAi, 1982.
(2) For details see Daniel A. Updegrove and Carole C. Shields, "Financial Planning in Small Institutions: A Case Study of Theological Seminaries," EDUCOM Bulletin 17 , No. 3, fall 1982, pp. 23-27.
high response rate there is the potential problem of non-response bias in the results. That is, one would expect a lower response rate from seminary officers less interested in pl anning and computing.

## PLANNING IN SBMINARIES

Among the basic premises of the project were the perceptions that seminaries did not, in general, appreciate the need for planning, did not practice effective planning, and and wid not have the personnel, exgerience, and tools necessary for effective planning. During the course of the project, We had the opportunity to deal with eleven selected seminaries that, to varying degrees, were exceptions to these basic perceptions. In dealing with the participating seminaries, we were exposed also to evidence that attitudes and practices are =honging.

Seminaries Believe They Need More Planning

Of the 106 respondents, 82 indicated "we need to do more planning in the next two years," while $2 f$ felt the current level of planning was adequate, and 2 felt that less planning would be more appropriate. Interestingly, there is a clear sense that the need for $p l a n n i n g$ is increasing, since a separate question about the current sjtuation (as opposed to the future) produced the following answers: 66 "we do too little", 37 "we do the right amount", and 2 "we, do tco' much".

## Seminaries Piar, Or At Least Believe They Plan

One of the ouestions asked "Over what time period do you plan for each of the following?", and a list of 9 specific categories. For each catego'y, respondents could specify "current year only", "next year", "2-3 years", "4-5 years", 6-10 years", or "more than 10 years". If we categorize "not applicable", "no response", and "current year only" as "no planning", "next year" as "short-term Planning", and the other categories as "long term planning", we obtain the following results:
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TABLE I
Planning Practice By Category
Category No.Planning Short Term Long Term

Operating Budget
Capital Budget
Development
Endownent
Academic Program
Enrollment
Faculty Tenure
Space and Facilities
Computers and Data Procesing

| $13 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $15 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $54 \%$ |
| $17 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $54 \%$ |
| $22 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
| $8 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| $11 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| $25 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
| $18 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
| $47 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $27 \%$ |

These numbers do not necessarily indicate "effective planning" or "serious commitment to planning", but they wake it clear that respondents perceive that planning is going on.

Boards Want Better Planning

The evolution of planning in seminaries will be strongly influenced by the attitudes of seminary boards. While the survey was distributed to CEO's, rather tian beard members, several important inferences can be drawn. Eighty-four respondents indicated "our board is interested in better pianining", while only four indicated "our board is not interested in better planning". Most respondents indicated that trustees are involved in the planning process, either the entire board ( $35 \%$ ) or a committee of the board ( $65 \%$ ).

Impediments Are Recognized

There are many impediments tc effective planning in seminaries: historical attitudes toward planning and the consequent lack of experience; small size and small staff, etc. These impediments cannot be overcone until they are recognized, and the following results indicate that recognition has occurred:
$42 g$ We don't have enough staff to do the planning we need.
58\% We don't have staff trained in the appropriate analytical techniques needed to do better planning.

38\% We lack the data required to do better plannirg.

25\% We con't know what data are required for better planning.
$47 \%$ We would like to use computer-based tools for planning. [We assume this implies they don't or can't. 3

The perceived need for better planning may lead to efforts to overcome these obstacles.

## Broad-based Planning Efforts

In response to the question "Who is involved in planning at your seminary?" the following responses were received, indicating that most planning processes are broad-based:
65\% - A Committee of the Board
35\% - Entire Board of Trustees
95\% - Chief Exec Officer (Pres. or Dean)
18\%-Ex̂ecutive Vice President
56\% - Vice President for Academic Affairs or Provost
61\% - Vice President or Director of Finance/Administration
53\% - Vicc President or Director of Development
15\% - Vice President or Director of Planning
$77 \%$ - Other Staff
51\% - Faculty Committee
35\% - Entire Faculty
20\% - Student Committee
4\% - Ali Students
10\%-Alumni Committee
4\% - Other .

## Multi-institutional Planif:ng

Several questions addressed the issue of joint planning by groups of seminaries. Of the 55 institutions participating $n$ local or regional consortia, 38 (a surprising 69\%) indicated that cooperative planning took place. Only 20 of the 66 ( $30 \%$ ) denominational seninaries indicated that denomination-wide planning occurred.

## Disparate Time Frames

The tine-horizon data used to create Table I provides some insight into the amount of "lookahead" practiced in various planning areas:
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TABIE II
Planning Horizon in Years

|  | 01 | 1 | $2-3$ | $4-5$ | $6-10$ | $10+$ | Ave $e^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Faculty Tenure | 27 | 10 | 18 | 28 | 13 | 10 | 5.5 |
| Endowment | 23 | 23 | 15 | 31 | 10 | 4 | 4.1 |
| Space and Facilities | 19 | 16 | 22 | 33 | 15 | 1 | 4.0 |
| Developnent | 18 | 31 | 21 | 31 | 5 | 0 | 3.0 |
| Capital Budget | 16 | 33 | 20 | 32 | 4 | 1 | 3.0 |
| Academic Program | 9 | 29 | 36 | 31 | 1 | 0 | 2.8 |
| Student Enrollment | 12 | 41 | 24 | 25 | 3 | 1 | 2.7 |
| Operating Budget | 14 | 55 | 12 | 22 | 3 | 0 | 2.3 |
| Computers \& Data Processing | 50 | 28 | 14 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 2.0 |

Notes:

1) "0" includes "current year only". "not applicable", and no response.
2) This average is calculated from the data in the last 5 colums ("current year only". etc. are excluded). In the calculation of the average, 2.5 was used for " $2-3$ ", 4.5 for ${ }^{14}-5$ ", 8 for " $6-10$ ". and 15 for $110+$ ".

These numbers are interesting and display some significant information, but they must be interperted with care. In particular, several biasing factors should be noted: the "five year projection" is a traditional planning practice leading to a bump in the "4-5" column. Of ten, most of the actual "planning" involves the first few columns of the traditional report. In addition, there are many interactions among the categories, such that planning for one category (say capitial budyet) may lead to the appearance of similar term planning for another category (e.B., development) when such planning does not occur.

The "average", while a useful indicator of areas which are more likely to have long term planning significance, does not reflect normal practice. 2.7, for instance, does not indicate that anyone has a 2.7 year planning horizon, but rather a roughly equal division oetween 1 year horizons and $4-5$ year horizons. The numbers in the first colun do not necessarily represent anything real (failure to plan or incompetence) since various circunstances and structures may make the category uncontrollable or irrelevant (e.g. endoment plannęng for an unendowed institation, various categories for seminaries which are part of larger institutions).
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The table is ranked in order of "average", and that order is not particularly surprising, A few observations and comments follow:

Tenure: It is not surprising that this category leads the list, since the long term importance of tenure decisions is obvious, and the implications of particular decisions are relatively easy to determine.

Endownent and Space and Facilities: These categories both naturally involve long term policies and decisions, and thus imply lengthy planning horizons.

Capital Budget and Development: These are both "fuzzy" areas. Long term planning in these areas is likely to be categorized under Space and Facilities or Endowment, and the short term details as the "planning" in the area. A reverse bias may occur for institutions in capital campaigns.

Academic Program: This is the only category for which the 2-3 year period dominates -- probably becatse the typical M. Div. program is three years long.

Enrollment: This is also potentially misleading, since the processes involved are very difforent. The cleat, open, widely visible coping with admissions is very different'from the long term process of coping with enrollment trends.

Operating Budget: The indicated short term naiure of operating budget planning is a matter for serious conce $n$. The operating budget, is. in many ways, the critical component of institudional planninf In general, the interaction of all the other categories oceurs in the operating budget. In the long term, institutional viability is constrained by the necessity of reasonable operating balance, and plans in all othel areas must be evaluwied in terms of the maintenance of that bal ance. In addition, short-term operating budget planning provides extraordinary opportunlties for mistakes. Next year's deficit can be covered, for instance, by defersing maintc nancie, by borrowing, or by over-spending endownent incone. Each of these zetions has two serious impl ications:
o future expenses are increased (or income reduced)
o nothing is done to correct the real imbalance in the budget, which is thus likely to persist and probably get worse.

Good, long term operating budget planning is a complex and difficult endeavor, but it is absolutely necessary, since it defines the context of all other activities.

Computers and Data Processing: This category has been relevant to seminaries for only a short time, so long term planning processes have not yet evolved. In addition, rapidly changing technology makes long term planning difilcult.

## Conclusions

From this survey and our experience, we conclude that:

1) The officers of theological seminaries are well aware ot tine aced for effective planning.
2) "Planning" is widely practiced in theological education, but the types of planning and the time horizons used vary widely.
3) Current planning practices are perceived to be less, effective than they should be.
4) litany of the impediments to effective planning are widely recognized.
5) Trustees and administrators are motivated to achieve effective planning.
6) Lack of operating budget planning beyond the next year is a serious problem in many seminaries.
7) Computer-based modeling tools like EFPN and VisiCalc have been shown to be effective aids to $p l a n n i n g$ in seminaries and their use should be encouraged.

## COMPUTING IN SEMINARIES

This section addresses three particular issues: perceived need for specific computer services, degree to which these services have already been computerized in theological education, and degree to which computerization is perceived to be satisfactory. The question from which this section was derived asked, "please evaluate the following functions in the seminary". Four possible answers were specified for each of the seventeen functions:
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- Doesn't Need a Computer
- Should be Computerized (but isn't)
- Computerized -- adequately
- Computerized -- inadequately

Not all respondents answered the question for all of the functions and some specified "other", or "r: applicable". etc. The handling of these responses is outlined in the speoific sections below.

## Perceived Need for Computerized Serviae

Perhaps the most dramatic result of the survey is that those who run seminaries belleve they need conputerized services. Table III indicates the level of perceived need. For eaoh service, two percentages are given. The lower number assumes that all non-responses for a particular service should be interpreted as "doesn't need a computer", while the higher number ignores the non-responses. In both cases, "should be computerized", "ocmputerized adequately", and "computerized inadequately" are assumed to iniply that the computerized service is perceived to be needed. The questionnaire did not define "need"; it is likely th.3t some responses should be interpreted as "it would be nice if...", as opposed to "absolute necessity".

TABLE III
Perceiveci Need for Computerized Services



There is clar consensus that traditional accounting and payroll functions in seminaries should be automated and a general sense that most of the other listed functions should be as well. By addition of the raw data, and ignoring non-responses, it can be seen that 1093 of 1485 possible functions "need to be computerized." (This works out to $74 \%$ or about 12.6 functions per institution.) Some comments with regard to specific services are in order.

- The numbers for "financial pl anring" may be inflated because earlier sections of the questionnaire focused on financial planning issues and resources.
- The "word is out" on word processing, at least for administrative and publications activities. (wach of the credit for this can be attributed to the recent "blitz" of advertising from many vendors.)
- The high numbers for "liprary catalog" can be attributed to the well known, high quality service distributed by OCLC, Inc.
- It is not clear to that degree the low numbers for services deliverable to faculty and students (as opposed to administrative services) can be attributed to the fact that the questionnaire was distributed solely to administrators.

The overall message, however, is this: "Seminary officers know they need computing services".

## Use of Computerized Services in Theological Education

Clearly, it is easier to recognize a need than to do something about it. The data summarized in Table IV indicate that seminaries have implemented more computer systems than would have been expected. These figures represent th? computerization of services from any source (seminary-owned equipnent, services from affiliated institutions, servicos purchased from service bureaus, etc.). Again, two numbers are given for each service; the low estimate includes non-respondents as if they did not have the service, the high estinate ignores them. "Use as percentage of need" relates actual use to perceived need (ignoring non-respondents).
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TABLE IV
Actual Use of Computerized Services


These figures make it clear that the use of computerized services is widespread in seminaries. In one area, payroll, computerization is the rule, rather than the exception. Overall, summing the raw data indicates that 537 of 1093 "needed" functions have been computerized, for a combined "use as percentage of need" of 49\%. Again, several observations are in order.

- Some of the higher numbers can be traced to -the availability of well known, high quality external services (commercial payroll service bureaus, and OCLC).

1

- Roughly half of the seminaries surveyed now use computerized basic accounting functions.
- The "use as $\%$ of need" numbers are generally low. We suspect that the explanation could be one or more of the f" lowing: computers are perceived to be too expensive: computers are a lower priority than other expenditures; decisions about, computing expenditures are complex and risky so they are often deferred; the perception of need is a recent one.

Satisfaction with Computerized Services

Finally, by looking at the "adquate/inadequate" responses, we can develop some understanding of the degree of satisfaction with specific computerized services. Table $V$ lists the total number of computerizations of each servive, the number characterized as adequate, and the percentage. In the cases marked with an asterisk, the number of implenentations is small, and the results cannot be viewed as reliable.

TAble V
Adequacy of Computerized Services
"Computerized" "Adequate" Percentage

| Accounting - General Ledger | 53 | 51 | $96 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Accounting - Accounts Receivable | 52 | 48 | $92 \%$ |
| Accounting - Accounts Payable | 51 | 48 | $94 \%$ |
| Development : | 42 | 27 | $64 \%$ |
| Payroll - |  |  |  |
| Personnel Records | 57 | 55 | $96 \%$ |
| Student Records | 21 | 15 | $71 \%$ |
| Financial Planning | 36 | 30 | $83 \%$ |
|  | 24 | 15 | $63 \%$ |
| WP - Administration |  |  |  |
| WP - Faculty | 30 | 22 | $73 \%$ |
| WP - Students | 25 | 20 | $80 \%$ |
| WP - Publications | 15 | 6 | $40 \%$ |
|  | 21 | 12 | $57 \%$ |
| Library - catalog | 44 | 40 | $91 \%$ |
| Library - circulation | 26 | 19 | $73 \%$ |
| Instruction | 9 | 6 | $67 \%$ |
| Faculty Research | 11 | 5 | $45 \%$ |
| Auxil laries | 20 | 15 | $75 \%$ |

These numbers indicate that the most commonly conputerized services (basic accounting functions, payroll, and library catalog) have, in general, been adequately implemented. Overall. 434 of 537 functions have been "adequately" computerized ( $81 \%$ ). Certain other points should be noted.
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o. The low level of adequacy of "development" systems can be traced to several factors: increased dependence by seminaries on fundraising and increased understanding that a computerized system for a development office should do more than just produce mailing labels. The ideal system should link to word processing for generation of customized form letters and reports; to the accounting system for automated processing of gift transactions, and to a data base management system for analysis and decision support.
o Word Processing is a contagious phenomenon -- initial systems with limited capacity breed demand for increased capacity and function.
o "Financial Planning" is an especially difficult area, partly because the technology is relatively new, and largely because financial planning itself is a difficult process these days (and one that, until recently, received little attention in theological education).

## Conclusions

While no specific seminary is "average" or "normal" or "typical", the survey data can be combined to produce the following characterization of the "average ${ }^{\text {n }}$ seminary: It "needs" about 12.5 computerized functions; It "has" about 6 computerized functions; of those functions, about 5 are viewed as adequate.

It could be concluded that the officers of theological seminaries perceive the needs for computerized services, are well started along the road to implementing those services, and are generally satisfied with the results to date. We would, however, caution the seminary community against an overly sanguine view of computing. In particular we point out the following concerns:
o Some seminaries have little or no experience.
o The number of hardware and software options is bewilderingly large -- and growing.
o As computer use grows, more sophisticated management is required.

Therefore, we urge seminaries to adopt the resource sharing and consulting services of consortia used by colleges and universities to deal with the complex computing environment of the eighties.

# THE ECONOMIC CONTRIBUTION OF THE CONSORTIUM OF UNIVERSITIES 

TO THE WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN AREA*

Edward D. Jordan $\quad-$ Director, Information Systems \& Planning Office The Catholic University of America

The Washington National Capital Area has continued to expand in the decade of the 1970's. The more important of these changes as noted by Shidler are trends which have continued from the 1960's and include decreasing houschold size, increasing proportion of women participating in the labor force, unward job mobility of black and other minorities and a proportionate increase in professional, technical, managerial and administrative employment. Economic growth can be attributed partly to changes in the composition of the area's population, employment and labor force. Contributing to this economic growth in a rather complex way are the myriad activities of the various universities and colleges within the area.

The core of the Washington National Capital Area is the District of Columbia, a complex jurisdiction which is the seat of the nation's : government and also a political-economic entity which has some of the characteristics of a state. From a higher education viewpoint, the District of Columbia, when considered as a state, is first among all the states in the percentage of out-rf-sinte students (73\%). The District is also first among the staiss in the percentage of total enrollment in private institutions (over 80\%).

The private and publir institutions of ingher education within the District of Columbia belong to The Consortium of Universities of the Washington Metropolitan Area. The Consortium consists of American

[^2]University, The Catholic University of America, George Washington University, Georgetown University, Howard University, The University of the District of Columbia, Gallaudet College, Mount Vernon Coillege ánd Trinity College. The objective of this study is to develop an estimate of the
economic contribution of the Consortium institutions to the Distiact of Colunbiasand to the adjacent political jurisdictions of Maryland and Virginia. Maryland and Virginia are considered because of the close eccnomic interrelationships of the three jurisdictions within the area. However, most of the economic contribution or impact occurs in the counties of Maryland and Virginia nearest the District of Columbia. It should be noted that finer degrees of political juirisdictions can readily be used by, for example, using United States postal ZIP code classifications to obtain more geographic refinement. Important aspects of the study are to produce results that are credible and readily accepted by the various publics, to develop an analysis easily understood by the political enviroment, and to develop a tool for effective long range planning.

It is important to note that the economic effects to be analyzed are current and short range. In this study no account is taken of such long range effects as the upgrading of professional manpower, the contribution of scientific research and training to business and government or the attractiveness of the Metropoijtan Area as a desirable place for either residence or as a location of research and development activities. However, the long rar.je effects on the national economy and the lifetime income and productivity of graduates are important longterm benefits to the conmunity.

The methodology of the study uses simple linear relationships incorporating what can readily se connted but omitting from quantification factors which can be temed "quality of life" issues. It is these latter issues which usually lead to disagriements on the validity and hence the acceptance of similar studies because the process
of quantification brings to the surface various values and their. relative relationships as a system. These disagreements can lead to a loss of credibility and hence compromise one of its prime objectives. However, these qualitacive factors do in some cases contain quantitative factors and some of these were investigated without incorporating them into an estimate of their economic contribution. It is believed that the study presents a conservative estimate of the magnitude of the economic contribution because it does not take into direct account political, social and aesthetic factors or the effects of the institutions' human resources upon the community.

THE CASH-FLOW MODEL

To estimate the economic contribution or impact of the Consortium of Washington Univerșities to the Washington region, a modified cash-flow model as described by Caffrey and Issacs and by Montgomery et.al. is used. The model developes estimates of aggregate demands in the community resulting from expenditures by the academic institutions as well as by groups of individuals associated with the institutions. Three groups of individiuals are identified in this study in addition to the Consortium as a collective corporation viz. Faculty and Staff, Students and Visitors.

A flow diagram of operational and capital expenditure cash flow as used in the analysis is presented in Figure 1. The Consortium institutions pay salaries and wages to faculty and staff who in turn purchase goods and services in the District of Columbia, Maryland and Virginia. In addition faculty and staff pay taxes to these jurisdictions. The Consortium academic institutions grant funds to students as student aid in, the form of grants and awards. Students in turn use these funds to purchase goods and services and pay taxes. The Consortium institutions al so attract visitors because of their programnatic offerings (student applicants and families, research participants, etc.) and in addition offer shori-term programs such as


Fig. 1. OPERATIONAL AND CAPITAL EXPENDITURE CASH FLOW MODEL.
conferences, workshops and institutes. These visitors also purchase goods and services in the community and are subject to taxes.

The academic institutions of the Consortiam purchase goods and services corporately for operational and capital purposes as well as pay interest on debt services and retire debt. The purchase of these goods and services from local businesses, the payment of taxes to various government entities and the payment of interest to iocal financial institutions produces a cash flow (or its equivalent) which is then circulated and recirculated through the community. This circulation of funds can be represented by an economic multiplier which represents the purchase of additional goods and services and the payment of taxes.

## DATA AND ANALYSIS

Most of the data for this study was obtained from readily available institutional records and estimates derived from explicit assumptions. In a few cases sample surveys were required to achieve a reasonable assurance of a correct estimate. Financial data were taken from the official budgets or audited statements of the various institutions. Some categories of income and expenditure were reaggregated to make the data mor:? àmenable to analysis.

Table 1 presents data on enrollment and the geographical erigir of full-time and part-time students belonging to the Consortium for the Fall 1980 semester. Of the 90.465 students (essentially all academic year residents of the District of Columbia, Maryland and Virginial, 57,512 or $63.6 \%$ of the total originate from the three political jurisdictions and 31,240 or $34.5 \%$ of the total are residents of the District of Columbia. These students pay a total of $\$ 217,419,000$ in tuition and fees to the Consortium institutions.

TABLE 1 - SELECTEO STUDENT OATA

## STUOENT RESIOENCE

Oistrict of Columbia
Maryland
Virginia
Other

Total

NUMBER EMROLIEO
31,240
15,613
10,659
32,953
90,465

TEITION \&EES
$\$ 45,295,000$
39,820, 000
32,368, 000
99,936,000
\$217,419,000

The number of faculty and staff and their place of residince is shown in Table 2. Of the 38,500 faculty and staff, 19,344 or $50.2 \%$ reside in the Oistrict of Columbia and $96.0 \%$ reside within the three political jurisdictions. A total of $\$ 29,109,000$ of lccal income taxes (district and state) were withheld from faculty and staff for the 1980 year. Consortium faculty and staff who live in the oistrict make up 6.1\% of the labor force of those who live and work in the oistrict.

TABLE 2 - SELECTEO FACULTY ANO STAFF DATA


The sources of operational funds for 1980-81 are shown in Table 3. Government agency funds are used primarily for the purchese of specialized services such as research and instruction and student aid. The vast majority ${ }^{\text {of }}$, these funds come from federal government sources. Student tuition and fees and medical services provided to the general public provide other substantial sources of revenue.

TABLE 3 - SOURCES OF FUNDS FOR OPERATIONAL EXPENDITUPES

| Government |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Federal | \$261,958,050 |
| District of Columbia | 61,534 000 |
| Other | 1,358,0¢0 |
| Sub.total | \$324,850,000 |
| Tuition \% Fee: | \$217,420,000 |
| Investments | 11,616,000 |
| Private Gifts \& Grants | 34,280,000 |
| Auxiliary Enterprises | 50,603,000 |
| Medical Services | 221,572,000 |
| 0ther (includes sales \& services) | 24,300,000 |
| Total | \$884,641,000 |

Table 4 presents operational expenditures for the 1980-81 year. It is seen that salaries and wages comprise $66.5 \%$ of expenditures and account for the largest share of Consortium expenditures. Purchases of goods and services account for additional significant shares. Within these categories are large expenditures, for various forms of energy because of the extensive physical plants of the academic institutions.

TAELE \& - OPERAATIONAL EXPEMDITURES

Salaries, Wages \& Fringe Benefits :, $\$ 588,566,000$ Purchases 117,839,000
Services 89,889,000
Taxes 4,546,000
Student Aid 45,057,000
Interest on Debt Service 14,598,000
Transfers for Capital \& Other Purposes 24,146,000

Total
\$884,641,000

Table 5 presents capita' sources of funds for 1980-81. Approximately $40 \%$ of capital funds comes from government sources.

| Gifts and Grants |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Government figencies | $19,529,000$ |
| Private Sources | $7,116,000$ |
| Debt Financing |  |
| Government Sources | $10,475,000$ |
| Private Sources | $13,858,000$ |
| Operating Budgets | $23,460,000$ |
| Total | $\$ 74,438,000$ |

Capital expenditures by function are listed in Table 6. It is seen that 74\% of capital funds are used for physical facility construction and renovation.

## TABLE 6 - CAPITAL EXPENDITURES

Construction
Capital Purchases Debt Retirement

Total
\$54,905,000
14,509,000
5,024,000
$\$ 74,439,000$

Capital investment for the decade from 1971-72 to 1980-81 is shown in Table 7 for construction and capital purchases. The average yearly expenditure was approximately $\$ 62,000,000$. Hence, expenditures for the 1980-81 year are typical (within 10\%) of the average for the decade.
table 7 - ten year local capital investment

1571-72
1972-73
1973-74
1974-75
1975-76
1976-77
1977-78
1978-79
1979-80
1980-81
Total for the Decade
\$ 71,006,000
36,224,000
28,400,000
107,497,000
38,344,000
46,227,000
68,798,000
95,904,000
55,860,000
69,415,000
$\$ 617,675,000$

Table 8 presents spending generated by the Consortium institutions in the District of Columbia, Maryland and Virginia. It is seen by comparing this data to Table- 4 that $99.3 \%$ of salaries and wages $g \rho$ to residents of the District of Columbia, Maryland and Virginia, while $63.0 \%$ of the purchases of goods and $73.1 \%$ of the purchases of services is directed to the three jurisdictions.

TABLE 8 - INSTITUTIONAL-GENERATEO LOCAL SPENDING (Thousands)


Student generated local spending is presented in Table 9. Students generate $\$ 175,659,000$ of expenditures and visitors $\$ 56,992.000$.

TABLE 9 - STUOENT-GENERATEO LOCAL SPENOING (Thousands)

> Living Expenses

| Fuli-time | $\$ 158,476$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Part-time | 17,183 |

Visits
By Applicants 8 Families $\quad 16,737$
By Families of Students $\quad 30,515$
Conference \& Institute Participants $\quad \mathbf{9 , 7 4 0}$
Total
$\$ 232,651$

$$
21 \%
$$

The total amount of institutional (operational and capital) and student genersted spending in the area or the direct econamic impact amounts to $\$ 1,072,270.060$. The economic contribution or impact of the Consortium on the local area is the product of the direct economic impact and the economic multiplier.

## THE ECONOMIC MULTIPLIER

Calculation of the econom? c multiplier can be quite complicated requiring massive data if a fully disaggregated input-output model is used. Caffrey and Issacs recommend a multiplier within the range of 1.2 to $\mathbf{1 . 5}$ for university economic impact studies. iable io presents a listing of some economic impacti studies and the econonic nultipliers used in these studies. It is seen that the value of the multipiler ranges from a low of 1.4 to a high of 4.35. Based upon an analysis of these values from the perspective of the academic institution and the conmunity and also discussions with Solomon who noted that although there is no stated multiplier for the Washington metropolitan region, comparing the region to other regions, it appears that the use of a value within the range of 1.4 as used in this analysis is a conservative estimate of the economic factor.

## TABLE 10 - ECONOMIC IMPACT MULTIPLIERS

## INSTITUTION

University of Florida
Wisconsin State University University of Alabama Eastern Kentucky University University of Pittsburgh Georgia State University
Independent Colleges $\&$ Univ. of New York Canisius College
Independent Colleges \& Univ. of Mass.

YEAR
1970
1970
1971
1971
1972
1976
1976
1977
1980

MULTIPLIER
1.4
2.0-2.3
4.35
1.75
2.0
1.48
2.0
2.7
1.5

Hence the total economic impact is estimated to be over $\$ 1,500,000,000$. Comparing this impact to the impact of other sectors of the economy, the Consortium is the largest contributor to the District's economy excluding the federal and local government.
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the design and implementation of an evening
STUDENT SURVEY: METHODOLOGICAL ISSUES AND
PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS
Linda Lyons
Director of Institutional Research
…........................... Citsey State College
OVERVIEW
A broadly_based function of institutional research is "to provide information which supports institutional planning, policy formulation, and decision making (Saupe, 1981)." In this regard, survey research can be useful in planning and implementing a variety of programs and services at postsecondary institutions. The findings can be particularly meaningful if the impetus for survey research is internally motivated (Kells, 1981), and if results are presented in a format that is "understandable to decision makers (Sadler, 1980)." In lignt of these practical considerations, this paper focuses on the development and administration of the questionnaire, the analysis of data, and the communication of findings.

## desicn and administration of The survey

In response to a recent increase in evening student enrollments at Jersey City State College, a public, four-year institution, managerial administrators expressed an interest in assessing the needs of the evening student population. In view of the fact that the evening program serves a diverse, nontraditional student population, the relevance of survey packages offered by various test publishers was judged to be limited. Consequently, the decision was made to develop and utilize a local instrument in order to assess student concerns with various academic and nonacademic issues.
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## desicn and administration of The survey

In response to a recent increase in evening student enrollments at Jersey City State College, a public, four-year institution, managerial administrators expressed an interest in assessing the needs of the evening student population. In view of the fact that the evening program serves a diverse, nontraditional student population, the relevance of survey packages offered by various test publishers was judged to be limited. Consequently, the decision was made to develop and utilize a local instrument in order to assess student concerns with various academic and nonacademic issues.

In order to identify pertinent issues for each student cohort, i.e., graduate students, matriculated and non-matriculated undergraduates, the Director of Institutional Research met with department chairpersons and administrators representative of Academic Affairs, Finance, and Student Services. Suggestions for relevant items were incorporated in the development of a questionnaire which could be completed within a relatively short time period.

The questionnaire was comprised of 28 objective items and one openended question, included for student comments. Twelve of the objective items assessed academic and demographic characteristics; 16 items, various acauemic and nonacademic services provided for the evening student.s. Nearly all the service-oriented items were scored on a five-point, Likert scale, which facilitates scoring by an optical mark reader or manual coding of responses. The questionnaire kas pretested and several item revisions were made.

- In view of the fact that mailed-in questionnaire returns, even with repeated efforts, have been found to be relatively low, the decision was made to administer the questionnaire to the students in their classrooms. Administrative support was obtained, and all academic departments received prior notice of the time period during which the survey would be conducted. In order to ensure a representative sample, classes werfe selected to reflect the proportion of evening courses offered by the various academic departments at the lower and upper undergraduate levels as well as the graduate level. The questionnaire was administered to 1275 undergraduate and graduate students during a one-week interval at mid-semester. Students were requested to complete the questionnaire anonymously and, for students enrolled in more than one class in winich the survey was conducted, to fill out only one questionnaire. All students completed the questionnaire within
five or ten minutes, so that disruption of instructional time was minimal. FINDINGS

Responses to the student background items were compiled. Appropriate tabulations and cross tabulations were computed to provide data on the students' schedules, course load, status, major, age, sex, employment patterns. Comparisons between the evening students and the general college population indicated similar distributions for sex and major area of study. However, the evening students were more likely to attend part time, to be considerably older than the traditional day student, and to be employed on more than a half-time basis.

Response s to the service-oriented items were tabulated for the total evening student sample. Data indicated that the most important issues focused on security, the establishment of an Evening Student Office, adjustments in the evening course schedules, and the extension of various services. Additionally, for the Likert-scale items, chi-square analyses were conducted to determine if there were significant differences between various subgroups, ie., full-time and part-time students; undergraduates and graduate students. Results of these analyses are presented in Table 1.

Table 1A

## Analysis of Student Attitudes Toward the Evening Program

 By Status and Class Level
## Response

Not
Item
Advisement After 7
Status
Full-Time
Part-Time
Class Level
Undergraduate
Graduate
$\frac{\text { Important }}{\text { N }} \frac{\text { \% }}{\text { N }}$

$\underset{N}{\underline{N}}$
8.22*

| 67 | $16 \%$ | 96 | $23 \%$ | 251 | $61 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 135 | $16 \%$ | 140 | $16 \%$ | 571 | $68 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 118 | $13 \%$ | 140 | $17 \%$ | 584 | $70 \%$ |
| 73 | $21 \%$ | 81 | $24 \%$ | 186 | $55 \%$ |

$21.40^{* * *}$
5.16
.55
5.52
$53 \quad 6 \% \quad 96 \quad 12 \% \quad 693 \quad 82 \%$ $31 \quad 9 \% \quad 49,14 \% \quad 260 \quad 77 \%$

Additional Security Status

Full-Time Part-Time
Class Level Undergraduate Graduate

Student Lounge Status Full-Time Part-Time
Class Level Undergraduate Graduate
Food Servic
After 7 PM
Status Full-Time Part-Time
Class Level Undergraduate Graduate

Book Store Hours After 7 PM

Status
Fuil-Time
Part-Time
Class Level Undergraduate Graduate

| 98 | $24 \%$ | 109 | $26 \%$ | 206 | $50 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 306 | $36 \%$ | 220 | $26 \%$ | 320 | $38 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 249 | $30 \%$ | 222 | $26 \%$ | 370 | $44 \%$ |
| 121 | $35 \%$ | 90 | $27 \%$ | 129 | $38 \%$ |

1.64
11.90**
$14.53^{\text {* }}$ ㅎㅜㅜ
.60

Table 1B

$x^{2}$

Additional Library Staff
Status
Full-Time
Part-Time
Class Level
Undergraduate
Graduate
Student Activities
Status
Full-Time
Part-Time
Class Level
Undergraduate Graduate

Use of Gym/Pool
Status
Full-Time
Part-Time
Class Level
Undergraduate
Graduate
$\frac{\text { Important }}{N}$

6
$26 \quad 6 \% \quad 87 \quad 21 \% \quad 298 \quad 73 \%$
$82 \quad$ ? \% $\quad 186 \quad 22 \% \quad 579 \quad 68 \%$
$72 \quad 9 \% \quad 176 \quad 21 \% \quad 592 \quad 70 \%$ $25 \quad 8 \% \quad 76 \quad 22 \% \quad 239 \quad 70 \%$

| 90 | $22 \%$ | 144 | $35 \%$ | 177 | $43 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 363 | $43 \%$ | 313 | $37 \%$ | 171 | $20 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 258 | $31 \%$ | 299 | $36 \%$ | 283 | $33 \%$ |
| 152 | $44 \%$ | 134 | $39 \%$ | 54 | $17 \%$ |



66.73***
$41.99^{* * *}$
45.15***

49.44륯출
39.53***
1.96
5.11

Two Courses in One
Evening
Status
Full-Time
Part-Time
Class Level
Undergraduate
Graduate

| 40 | $9 \%$ | 95 | $23 \%$ | 275 | $68 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 78 | $9 \%$ | 168 | $20 \%$ | 598 | $71 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 88 | $11 \%$ | 179 | $21 \%$ | 574 | $68 \%$ |
| 21 | $6 \%$ | 71 | $21 \%$ | 244 | $73 \%$ | 22

Results indicate that full-time studen.en expressed signirica tly greater interest than part-time students ir various student a inities and services. Part-time students showed significantiy greater uncern with evening course schedules and cocrdination of academic se.vices. As may be expected, undergraduates showed significantiy greater interest than graduate students in various student activities.

Additionally, of "he 1275 students who completed the questionnaire, $35 \%$ responded to the oper-ended item. The students' comments focused, primarily, on the need for additional security, appropriate course offerings at convenient hours, and the extension of academic services.

## COMMUNICATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF FINDINGS

A report was prepared and was furnished to managerial administrators, department directors and chairpersons at the College. In the report, the assistance provided by the faculty and staff was acknowledged, and the design and implementation of the survey were described. Data were presented in tabular form followed by a summary of findings in the text. Additionally, student concerns with various services were ranked and presented in descending order. Responses to the open-ended item were analyzed, and a sampling of responses was reported verbatim in each of seven categories. Although few new issues were cited in responses to the open-ended item, the qualitative data served to highlight the information provided by the objective measure. A grand summary, which focused on salient issues to be considered by policy makers at the institution, was also included.

Findings were implemented in several ways. In response to the openended item, some students commented favorably about the evening program and the College in general. These citations were forwarded to the Director of Admissions, who included some of the comments verbatim in an Admissions Office publication. Additionally, in response to survey findings, decisions

Table 1C


Courses Meeting Once a 'Neek

Courses at 10 PM
Status
Ful-Time Part-Time
Class Level
Undergraduate Graduate

Evening Student Office Status Full-Time Part-Time
Class Level Undergraduate Graduate

| Status |  |  |  |  |  | $8.25^{*}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Full-Time | 18 | $5 \%$ | 71 | $17 \%$ | 321 | $78 \%$ |  |
| Part-Time | 33 | $4 \%$ | 99 | $12 \%$ | 712 | $84 \%$ |  |
| Class Level |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.23 |
| Undergraduate <br> Graduate | 34 | $4 \%$ | 125 | $15 \%$ | 680 | $81 \%$ |  |
|  | 14 | $4 \%$ | 39 | $12 \%$ | 284 | $84 \%$ |  |



Response
 $x^{2}$ 8.25*
2.23
$14 \quad 4 \% \quad 39 \quad 13 \% \quad 284 \quad 84 \%$
$269 \quad 66 \% \quad 80 \quad 19 \% \quad 62 \quad 15 \%$

| 594 | $70 \%$ | 174 | $21 \%$ | 76 | $9 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$533 \quad 63 \% \quad 192 \quad 23 \% \quad 114 \quad 14 \%$ $267 \quad 79 \% \quad 51 \quad 15 \% \quad 20 \quad 6 \%$
10.72**
27.51***
6.07*

* $p<.05$
** $p<.01$
*** $p<.001$
were made to institute various changes, e.g., improved lighting and other security measures, adjustments in evening course schedules, provisions for coffee and snact:s in the student lounge. These changes were relatively easy and inexpensive to implement.

Overall, the survey provided the institution with relevant information about the needs and concerns of the evening siudent constituency. Particularly in view of the fact that institutional support for the survey was obtained, the findings were reviewed and utilized to enhance the services provided for the evening students at the College.
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## htman resource planning

A Model for Establishing and Projecting Attitition Rates Among the Non-Instructional Staff of an Academic Institution

Heidi I. Mahoney. Faculty \& Staff Relations Slawko F. Medinac, Institutional Research Barbara A. Meyer, Personnel Data System

State University College at Buffalo

As state: budget directors and college comptrollers look for ways to control and diminish the cost of operations of postsecondary institutions, it is evident that wages, salaries and benefits are a critical factor in that cost: indered, these expenses generally account for $\% 0$ to 80 percent of the institutional budget. To the extent that efforts at predicting institutional human resource needs are sound and creditable, colleges will be able to exert more effective control over their staffing decisions and sonsequently, over their budgets.

## STOCK AND FLOW MODELS

Colleges and universities have not ignored the wisdom of developing staffing projection models for faculty. Several studies have examined the normal attrition which occurs among faculty through retirement, termination, non-renewal and resignation and have tested the effect of policy variables related to hiring, promotion and tenure decisions on this attrition rate (Bloomfield, 1977; Hopkins, 1974; Hopkins and Massey, 1981; Hopkins and Schroeder, 1977; Oliver, 1969; Young and Almond, 1961). Curiously, little attention has been given co determining and projecting the hiring and attrition pattern among anocher significant employee sector in higher education, the non-instructional staff. It is a sector which slightly exceeds in number the faculty at the comprehensive four-year public college which was the subject of this study, and it accounts for the expenditure of a relatively large proportion of the personal service budget. Beyond these quantifiable factors, however, lie the qualitative considerations which make staffing projection and planning efforts so critical. To the non-instructional staff largely falls the responsibility for maintaining what is euphemistically referred to as "the quality of life" on campus--counselors and caretakers, laboratory technicians and bursar clerks-all make critical contributions to the support
of the academic environment. This study does not attempt to develop staffing ratios for these support functions; its purpose rather is to bring to the attention of administrators the fact that a comprehensive human resource planning program must take into account non-instructional as well as faculty scaffing.
Theoretical Background
The statistical analysis of human resource systems was first dpproached systematically through the use of modelling techniques during the 1940s and developed rapidly with the widespread emergence of computer technology in the 1960s. These early studies demonstrated that, in general, propensity to leave decreases with age and length of service, is higher for women than men and decreases with increasing salary, status or skill (Silcock, 1954; Hedberg, 1961). Of these, length of service appears to be the most significant factor (Bartholomew and Forbes, :979).

The next evolutionary step in the development of human resource planning models moved, beyond the measurement and projection of attrition rates and concerned itself with the construction of transition models depicting systems of stocks and flow (Young and Almond, 1961; Gani, 1963; Haire, 1968; Mahoney, 1977). The stock and flow theory proposes that human resource systems of organizations can be described in terms of stocks of human resources available in various categories at a point in time. Variations within these groupings are measured in terms of the flow of people from one category to another through promotions, hires, resignations or terminations. Movement is measured through : comparative analysis of the composition of each group at two noints in time ( $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{T}-1$ ); the statistical approach most of ten used in this analysis is the Markov chain. For colleges and universities, standard stock and flow models have obvious applicability when determining the rate of movement among faculty ranks. The model, however, presents certain difficulties when applied to the non-instructional staff, problems which result primarily from its emphasis uFon promotion or upward movement through a hierarchical system.

A variation of the stock and flow model--the cohort flow model--provides the flexibility needed in this study. This statistical technique models personnel flows in terms of hiring, persistence rates and attrition by examining a given cohort longitudinally throuqn a specified period (Grinold and Marshall, 1977; Hopkins and Massey, 1981). While the Markov chain method most commonly used in stock and flow analysis requires less data than the
cohort flow model and gives an adequate depiction of stafing distribution at a specific time, there is evidence that it is most elrective when üsed. for short-range forecasting during periods of relative stability in the organization. The cohort flow model has been found to provide more accurate projections for longer range forecasts and under less stable organizational conditions (Marshall, 1973).

The theoretical approach of this study is further informed by the statistical technique oi renewal modelling which has particuiar relevance for postsecondary education today. In the standard stock and flow models. attrition and promotion flows are analyzed to predict expansion in category size. In effect these are "push" flows whish tend to force the growth of the organization. Renewal modelling takes into account the static situation in which the growth rate is zero or negative. Since hiring or promotion can only take place to fill vacancies, a "pull flow" is created. The analysis is concerned with determining and projecting the attrition flow which may be said to drive the system by creating the "pull" through which promotion or hiring'can occur (Bartholomew, 1973; Bartholonew and Forbes, 1979).

METHODOLOGY
The model employed in this study is cohort oriented, that is, it examines the relevant characteristics of a group and traces that cohort year by year into the future. The variables examined for each individual included in the study are appointment type, date of hire, date of permanent appointment, date and reason for termination of service, and date of birth. The model uses probabilities based upon historical evidence to determine rates of resignation, retirement, non-renewal, permanent appointment and hiring.

For purposes of this study, the critical population, the non-instructiona: staff employees, was d'vided into three cohorts--non-teaching professional (NTP), clerical and service/maintenance employees. These divisions were based primarily on obvious distinctions in job resporisibilities and contractual provisions governing employment. The professional group is similar to faculty in that, when hired, they are granted a term appointment and are periodically reviewed for renewal or termination of that appointment. At the beginning of the seventh year of continuous service, the employee is advised of either permanent appointment or termination. The terms and conditions of employment for the clerical and service/maintenance groups are governed by New York State Civil Service regulations and differ markedy from those which pertain to the professional staff. Within these groups, movement from probationary to
permanent status occurs almost routinely and attrition through appointment termination is relatively rare.

The data for this study were provided by the Personnel Data System, a cormrehensive university-wide data base used for tracking personnel and payroll information. It contains approximately 100 pieces of information for each employee and has been maintained at our campus since 1976, thus making it possible for us to create the historical file which was the basis for this study. This historical file provided an account of each ce hort's characteristics and employment activities for the calendar years 1979, 1980 and 1981.
Professional Employees
Examinations of preliminary data immediately indicated that within the professional (NTP) cohort two distinct subgroups could be identified:
(1) individuals with temporary appointments, and (2) individuals with permanent appointments. The distinctions between these two groups centered primarily around age and the rate and method of attrition. Table One illustrates those differences.
table one


As might be expected, retirement is an age-dependent variable. Temporary employees are hired at a relatively young age: as a result, they become permanent employees, are not renewed or resign before retirement becomes a critical factor. Due to the significant differences noted in Table One, separate algorithms for projecting future employment levels were developed for each group:

Permanent NTPs
$N P_{y}=\left[N P_{y-1}+A P_{y}\right]-\left[R P_{y}+\left(N P_{y-1}\right) r r P\right]$
$\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{y}}=$ total number of permanent fTPs at the end of the year $y$

$$
23 z
$$

$N_{y-1}=$ total number of permanent NTPs at end of the year $y-1$
$A P_{y}=$ new permanent NTPs . . . a function of the number of temporary NTPs eligible for permanent status
$R P_{y}=$ total number of retirements (see Table Two)
rr P $=$ attrition rate
Temporary NIPs
$N T_{y}=\left[\mathrm{NT}_{\mathrm{y}-1}+H \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{y}}\right]-\left[\mathrm{AP}_{\mathrm{y}}+\left(\mathrm{NT} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{y}-1}\right) \mathrm{nrT}+\left(\mathrm{NT} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{Y}-1}\right) \mathrm{rrT}\right]$ (1.2)
$N T y=$ total number of temporary NIPs at the end of year $y$
$N T_{y-1}=$ total number of temporary NTPs at the end of year $y-1$
$H T=$ the number of new hires
nr T - non-renewal rate
rr $=$ resignation rate
The total number of NIPs employed in a given year is then simply the sum of NP and NT.

Upon establishing our two algorithms, the first task became the determination ff historical rates and levels for our variables. Tables Two and Three present these rates and levels and describe how they were obtained.

Table Four displays the nature and composition of our nonteaching professionals should current policy be extended to the year 1984. These projections are the results of equations (1.1) and (1.2), the rates and levels are those set forth in Tables Two and Three

TABLE FOUR

NIP EMPLOYMENT LEVELS TO 1984
PERMANENT NIPS .
TEMPORARY NIPS

Other Total Other Total Retirement Attrition Employees Non-Renewals Attrition Employees

| 1982 | 1 | 1 | 52 | 2 | 6 | 64 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1983 |  | 1 | 59 | $?$ | 6 | 58 |
| 1984 | - | 1 | 61 | 2 | 5 | 54 |

Several policy issues are raised by these projections. Among the most obvious is the effect of the continuation of an extremely lenient policy on the granting of permanent appointment, a policy which will result, within two years, in a professional cohort which has a majority of permanent appointees. The implications of such a situation are clear in terms of
salary costs, institutional flexibility in response to new program needs or potential staffing reductions. Beyond these issues, there is a question of the rigor and validity of an avaluation process which results in the almost universal granting of lifetime appointment. Clerical and Service/Maintenance Employees

The guidelines for the development of clerical and service/maintenance models are essentially the same as for our non-teaching professional flow model. Here, however, because of the nature of the conditions of employment, the model becomes much less complicated. Management is extremely limited in the kinds of actions it may take to manipulate flows within this group. Essentially, once an individual has been hired, there is very little likelihood that management will initiate actions to remove him from the ; zyroll. Attrition usually occurs through retirement and resignation, much l. s frequently through probation termination.

Two major cohorts, clerical and service/maintenance employees, were identified within the general heading of classified employees. The primary demographic difference between the two groups was age--for clerical emplqyees, the average age was 39 , while for the service/maintenance staff, the average age was 49. It was felt that this difference would make a significant impact on retirement rates, therefore, the two groups were modelled separately. The algorithm for both groups is:
$N_{y}=\left[N_{y-1}+H_{y}\right]-\left[R_{y}+\left(N_{y-1}\right) r \bar{r}\right]$
$N_{y}=$ total number of employees at the end of year $y$
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{y}-1}=$ total number of employees at the end of year $\mathrm{y}-1$
$H_{y}=$ total number of new hires
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{y}}=$ total number of retirements
$\mathrm{rr}_{1}=$ attrition rate (based on historical patterns includes all attrition other than retirement)
Tables Five and Six present histcrical rates and levels for our algorithm. Also included in these tables are descriptions of the procedures used to obtain these rates and levels.

Table Seven displays' the employment levels of our clerical and service/ maintenance employees should current policy be extended. The projections are results of equation (2.1), the rates and levels are those developed in Tables Five and Six.
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TABLE SEVE:

| CLASSIFIED EMPLOYMENT LEVELS 1982-1984 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CLERICAL |  |  | SERVICE/MAINTENANCE |  |  |
|  | Retirement | Other Attri-tior: | Tótal | Retir rement | Other <br> Attrition | Total |
| 1982 | 8 | 21 | 174 | 20 | 9 | 185 |
| 1983 | 11 | 20 | 166 | 22 | 8 | 173 |
| 1984 | 4 | 19 | 166 | 20 | 8 | 163 |

This example shows that high retirement levels coupled with low hiring rates will.tend to reduce the work force in these categories over the next several years. Policy issues to be sonsidered include a decision to increase the traditional hiring rate to prevent depletion of these ranks, or a determination that this natural attrition may be usei to offset potential budget reductions.

CONCLUSION
The examination of historical staffing patterns among specific employee cohorts and the projection of these patterns establishes a quantifiable base through which decisions on the handling of human resources can be formed. The existence of a reliable system for recording and retrieving personnel transactions--both current and historic-is critical to this process. The reliability of the projections is significantly enhanced when the cohort under study is traced for a multi-year period.

While cohort models tracing faculty flow are fairly common, there has been relatively little application of these stock and flow techniques to the study of non-instructional staffing patterns. Algorithms have been tested in this study which are similar to those used for faculty models but which eliminate consideration of the movement brought about by promotion in rank and the granting of academic tenure. Analysis of the data revealed by these algorithms enables administrators to consider for non-instructional staff such issues as the natural attrition and replacement rates, compensation costs for a maturing work force and policy issues on the granting of permanent appointment. Any of the variables may be manipulated to test the results of changes in current personnel practices and policies.

The inclusion of projections on non-instructional staffing patterns in human resource planning efforts adds to the comprehensiveness of the approach
and portrays more accurately the actual and projected configuration of the work force. The result of this may be at least a partial refocusing of administrative concern from one which concentrates exclusively on the faculty cohort, to a more balanced and inclusive view of the commitment of human resources in our academic institutions.
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# Developing a Composite of Institutional Reputation 

 and Assessing its impact upon Selected Student BehaviorsJohn P. Mandryk<br>Office of Institutional Research State University College at New Paltz

## Introduction

New Paltz College is a four year, state operated postsecondary institution, awarding bachelors and masters degrees in Education, the Fine and Performing Arts and the Liberzl Arts and Sciences. During the late 1970's the college experienced a precipitous decline in headcount enrollments, from a reported 8892 in 1975 to a level of 6747 in 1978: all projections indicated continual and gradual decline. Thi: decline did not appear to be the function of declining college bound populations within the college's feeder regions but rather, attributed to a complex net of immediate institutional and student population behaviors. Since 1978, the institution has continually increased the size and quality of the student population. The fall 1982 enrollment approached 7500 headcount students, with the mean high school average of the recent entering class approximately five percentage points higher than those entering in 1978.. This reversal in enrollment was the function of an institutional-wide effort to identify institutional weaknesses associated with enrollment behavior, and to strategically and immediately modify the institution where enroliment would be most effected.

Since resources were not unlimited, it was critical that existing resources be allocated and reallocated to activities which would effectively contribute to positive enrollment outcomes. As such, each programmatic decision would require justification on the basis of information that might substantiate effectiveness. It thus became a college-wide effort to examine the role which the following had upon the dynamics of enroliment:
(1) Academic program nix
(2) Relative position in the competitive market place
(3) Sources of information students use in learning about colleges and quality of information thereof
(4) College characteristics perceived as desireable and undesireable
(5) Admissions activities
(6) Institutional reputation

This paper will present an approach to assessing the role of an institutional reputation within the dynamics of enrollment. Specifically, the purposes of this paper are:
(1) To present an approach to identifying indicators for establishing a comprehensive composite of a postsecondary institution's reputation
(2) To share the data collection instrument and data gathering experiences of one public postsecondary institution
(3) To present a methodology for establishing and presenting the relative presence of institutional reputational indicators
(4) To present a methodology for establishing and pre-. senting competitive advantages and disadvantages evident within the institutional reputation
(5) To present a methodology for establishing and presenting the association of reputational indicators within selected student behaviors
(6) To present a me'chodology for illustrating the market posture of the institution to various audiences.

## 1. Developing a Composite of Institutional Reputation

initially, a formal committee was established to identify reputational images that might contribute to student application and persistance behaviors. This committee, designated as an institutional research advisory committee, was representative of a broad segment of the college community.

Individuals participating in the advisory committee represented the following specific college constitutiencies:

Orientation and Advising Office
Freshmen and Transfer Admissions Office
College Relations and Public Affairs Office
Student Affairs Office
Institutional Research Office
Faculty Government
Financial Aid Office
Student Government
President's Office
The research advisory group met weekly through the spring semester of 1979. During that time literally hundreds of hypothetical college reputations were scenarioed. Two items became evident (a) there was 10 single item which could satisfactorly measure reputation and (b) there were more reputational items generated by the committee than could reasonably be
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placed on a research instrument. It was thus estabiished that an institutional reputation be considered a function of many indicators which could theoretically provide a composite picture of how groups perceive an institution.

Further, the research advisory group deemed it prudent to limit the number of reputational indicators to fifteen. Items were selected from the hundreds of possibilities through a series of group compromise and consensus building activities. A fundamental ortacome of this process was the articulation of institutional concerns which could be addressed by various institutional actors.

Exhibit I presents the indicators which are currently used to build the reputational composite. These indicators are framed within statements appearing in the data collection instrument. Each statement is constructed as either a positive or negative institutional goal. Respondents are asked to either agree or disagree that the qoal is applicable. The reader should observe that information is gathered regarding both the subject institution and the students' other highest college choices. The name of the other college choice and its place within the hierarchy of college alternatives is gathered in an earlier section of the reseach instrument.

The research advisory group having successfully established the content of the first research instrument was dismissed. Today, reputational indicators are reviewed by the Presidental cabinet on the basis of:
(1) the clarity and value of the information received from the previous administration of the questionnaire and,
(2) new themes which may emerge from the open comment section of the questionnaire.

A note on reviewing open comments
As each completed questionnaire is received, student interns check to determine if the survey was properly completed, and prepare the document for keypunching by the staff of the Computer Services Center. In addition, interns al so type the respondents' written comments into the computer. Each comment is "tagged" with a predetermined label that can be recognized by the computer at a later time. There are fifty (50) labels which have been developed during the administration of the project over the past three years; the labels essentially can "tag" a comment as to whether it is about a very specific item relative to the institution; examples include: college size, attractiveness, academic standards, mail received, respect of graduates and the college town. Quite literally, with computer recognized labels, the computer can organize what prospective students tell us, beyond what we ask thorough questions in a very structured survey. At the completion of the data collection cycle comments which cannot be tagged are examined and compete for a place as one of the 15 priority "oputational indicators.

## 11. Data Gathering Experiences

There are four selected student groups that have been established for sampling. They are:
(1) No Show Acceptances
(2) New Entrants
(3) Currently Enrol leú Undergraduates
(4) Aluani

Information for both the no sho: acceptances and the newentrants is obtained during the institution's annual survey of a sample of accepted applicants. Currently enrolled undergraduates are sampled in the spring of each semester. The reputational indicators accompany an annual survey of student opinions about the college. Finally, reputational indicators accompany an annual survey of alumni who graduated from tie college one, five, ten, and fifteen years ago. It thus becomes possible to establish differences in reputation from prospective, current and past students.

New Entrant Data Gathering
Approximately 20 percent or 1,000 accepted applitcant students are selected for purposes of surveying. Each selected student receives a letter from the President requesting participation in the research project, a questionnaire, and a self-addressed stamped envelope. If a participant has not returned the questionnaire in five reaks, a follow-up letter from the Directur of institutional Research and a different colored questionnaire is mailed to the participant. Experience demonstrates that this method of data collection provides a response rate of approximately 35 percent. This is viewed as particularly good considering that the majority of the respondents will have chosen to attend other postsecondary institutions. Accomodating for Sample Bias.

Before statistical analysis or the data, examinations are performed to deterinine if the returns are representative of the population. Tests are conducted to determine if the sample 's miased by geographic market segment and by eirolling behavior of the acested applicant population. The data collection experiences of $1979,1 \because 30$, and 1981 have demonstrated that large sampling differences occur when the same population is viewed by attendance behavior. Data collection efforts result in larger proportions of attending applicants then is evident in observed data.

With the sample biased in terms of accepted applicant enrollment behavior, it becomes necessary to appropriately modify the data, such that the distribution of the sample popu'ition mimics the distribution
of the observed population. Tle sample distribution is weighted through the use of the weighting option available in the Statistical Package of the Social Sciences.

Similar data gathering efforts are in practice for obtaining current and past student attitudes. Approximately 1,000 currently enrolled undergraduates are surveyed annually; this population has a 40 percent response rate. The population of alumni from the classes of one, five, ten, and fifteen years ago are surveyed, they also bear an approximately 40 percent response rate.

## 1II. Market Penetration and Competitive Advantage

Having established a data base, we may now proceed with analysis. The first item of interest is to determine the hierarchical dominance of one reputational indicator over another. Specifically, we may examire the data to determine the relative awareness which the sampled population has regarding each indicator. This can be expressed in terms of the simple percentage of respondents who indicated agreement or disagreenent with the item. Respondents are provided with the opportunity to claim that they do not have an opinion. We may thus rank order the variablesaccording to the extent to which opinions are expressed.

Operationally, the percentage of respondents expressing an opinion may serve as a definition of market penetration. Since demographic data on the repondents is collected, we may disaggregaie the total sample into selected categories. It is a practice to present the variations of opinions by geographic market segment and by the student high school average of entering freshmen, and ty the category of student surveyed. The possibilities for disaggregated views of the data are limited only by the demographic characteristics collected and associated with each respondent record, and by the size and bias associated with the various demographic cells of the sample. To provide for very specific analysis, it is recommended that the survey data be merged and linked to admissions files, and that the sample be large, stratified by predetermined demographic variables, and random. Our experience to date indicates that such preventative techniques generally provide for a sample riciness that can address most Ad Hoc levels of institutional concern.

-For illustration purposes oaly, variable nams are scrambled to adintaln the confidentiality of the findings.
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## Markef Penetration

" In the absence of comparable national, regional, or sectoral norms, we are required to generate comparable data. This is accomplished by requesting those surveyed, to simultaneously rate the subject institution and their highest other college choices. The results of this technique are encouraging. While students most eften do not, or cannot, make comprehensive comparisons istween institutions, they generally are able to make judgements about their primary college choice. That is, we can at least expect respondents to rate the college they plan to attend. Thus, daia can be generated for competitive institutions by those sampled who wil be attending elsewhere; and generated for the subject college by those sampled who will be attending the subject college.

We may now establish a market penetration index for each inoicator for both the subject institution and for those institutions with which it competes. Operationally, the difference between the two indicators can be defined as the penetrative advantage index, where positive; or disadvantage, where negative. Exhibit I'I provi es one approach to presenting such data for executive level consideration. Experience, thus far, has demonstrated that the penetrative advantage or disadvantage most successfuliy describes the position of the institution within the competitive market place. That is, the data now provides descriptive and evaluative information.

The CCrapetitive Advantage
As indicated earlier, the technique requires respondents to indicate lavels of agreement or disagreement with various statements regarding the subject college and the highest other college choice. 0 f those expressing an opinion, the percentage providing a positive reaction to the reputational indicator respresents the favorability score. Operationally, then, we can define the competitive advantage as: the difference between the favorability scores of each indicator for the subject college and the competitive colleges. One method of presenting the competitive advantage is presented 1.1 exhibit III. By observation, repucational indicators with relative competitive advantages are easily distinguishable from those with disadvantages. Again, with respect to experisnce, the difference between each score is of prime importance when establishing the posture of the competitive advantage within the conpet itive market place.
IV. Reputation and its Association with Student Behaviors
taving established the penetrative and competitive advantages and disadvantages we now turn to associating the presence and quality of the reputation with selected studerit behaviors. Examples of such behaviors include:
(1) Enrolling by accepted applicants
(2) Persisting by Undergraduate students
(3) Contributing by Alummi

We will employ the first selected behavior as an example in executing one techinique. for associating reputation with behavior.

As previously indicated, we may divide the responses of sampled participants into dichotmous variables: (1) those who had favorable opinions, and (2) those who had unfavorable opinions; those with no opinions are considered ano treated as missing information. Further, since the survey data ałso is accompanied zith enrollment decision information, we may associate each response with the dichotomous behavior of enrolling "or not enrolling.

Thus, by crosstabulating each variablerby the student behavior, the researcher may determine whether major differences exist for each reputational indicator between the groups who choose to enroll and the group who choose not to enroll. The chi square test of statistical significance may be used to test for significant associations between attitudes and behavior.

Exhibit LII presents one method of demonstrating the relative association which favorabie and unfavorable responses have upon the yield behavior of accepted applicants, and the significance thereof. This same technique can be applied to the earlier suggested behaviors, persistance and contr:butions, by associating such behavior with the reputational indicators.

Other statistica' treatments of the data are executed, including the use of multiple regression to establish the relative impact which each of the variable's have upon the student behavior. It has been our experience thus far, however, that the simpler treatments of the data are more effective for briefing executive level audiences.

Having established these reputational profiles and their association with student behavior, it becomes the researchers objective to communicate such findings so that appropriate institutional initiatives result. Experience has suggested that providing a quartered matrix which crosstabulates penetrative advantage by competitive advantage is most effective for executing briefings (see exhibit IV).

Exhibit III: One Method of Procarting Competitive Advantage and 01sadvantoge Indices

Preshman favorability Scozes on Reputational indicators for New Paitz Relative ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O}$ Instatutional Competition


Cor fllustration purposes only, varfable sames are scrambled to malnta in the confidentiality of the findings.

Exhibit IY: One Nethod of Presenting the Relative Associdation with favorable and unfovoratie responses have upon yie id

Freshman Yieid Rates Associated whth Favorable and Unfavorable Scores on Reputationai Indicators


- For fliustration purposes only, variable names are scrambled to mainala the confidentiality of the findings.

$$
2 \cdot i
$$

# IMPROVING FACULTY USE OF STUDENT OUTCOMES INFORMATION <br> Sidney S. Micek, Ph.D. <br> Division of Educational Development, Counseling, and Administrative Studies School of Education, Syracuse University Syracuse, New York 

## I NTRODUCTION

Demands for accountability, improved productivity, increased educational quality, and better information for students reinforce the need for colleges to better document and understand student outcomes. Furthermore, the need for better information about student outcomes to enhance student development and improve institutional planning and management has been documented in fistin's research about institutional impacts on student development (1976 and 1977); in Bowen's (1977) valuable work assessing the returns of individual and societal investments in the postsecondary-education process; and in the developmental research by Clark, Hartnett, and Baird (1977) concerning the use of multiple criteria for assessing the quality of educational programs.

To obtain the student outcomes information required to respond to many internal and external demands, institutions usually conduct some type of student survey in which student goals, plans, activities, and attitudes are documented and the impact of programs are assessed. However, all too often the information obtained through such efforts is given only superficial attention by persons most central in the educational process - the faculty. Why is this the case?

One reason is that student outcomes studies and their application often are focused almost entirely on administrative issues and uses. Consequently
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such studies are oriented to institution-wide concerns as opposed to departmental concerns and thus are of limited interest and use to faculty in their planning and decision-making activities. A second reasen is that faculty generally have little, if any, involvement in planning for and commitment to the outcomes studics and thus do not take ownership of the study results. A third reason centers on the fact that outcomes study results frequently are not analyzed and presented in ways faculty can use them. Finally, survey directors sometimes do not fully grasp their role as change agents in helping faculty obtain and use student outcomes information.

The need to correct this situation is based on the assumption that student outcomes information is critical to improving the performance and decisions of faculty in program planning and in the teaching/learning process. As Hartnett (1974) points out, in many ways, the need for such information is analogous to the need of the painter, the musician or the performing artist for feedback - or what learning psychologists call "knowledge of results" (Micek and Arney, 1973).

Although many college-wide student outcomes questionnaires have been designed to generate information of use to faculty, getting faculty to make effective use of the information obtained has remained a problem. As a consequence, the overarching question addressed in this study was how to improve faculty commitment to and use of student questionnaire data collected on an institution-wide basis.

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION FOR THE STLIDY

In thinking about how to answer the major study question, consideration was given to what is known from the theoretical research literature concerning information use and what has been learned from practical experiences.
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From this literature base, three major underlying factors appear to influence information use by managers: (1) the organization loc:tion of the infomation provider, (2) the methodological procedures used to gather and analyze the data, and (3) the decision-making context (Weeks, 1980). Furthemmore, literature concerning student and/or worker participation in the decisionmaking process suggests that when participation is viewed as a legitimate activity, productivity and satisfaction increases (Micek, 1974; Davis, 1972; and Blumberg, 1969). Finally, insights about how to improve faculty information use are gained from the "advance organizer" concept described in Ausubel's (1967) theory of school learning. Advance organizers provide an individual involved in learning with sorting and classifying models. Such models draw upon and mobilize concepts in one's cognitive structure which are relevant for and play an assimilating role relative to new learning material. In the context of this study, the individual is the faculty member, the new learning material is the available student outcomes information, and the advance organizer is the process of involving faculty members in developing and implamenting a schema for identifying and sorting needed student outcomes information.

From personal experience, it has been observed that when individuals are actively involved in the creation of su.vey instruments and procedures, they tend to become more committed and are more willing to make effective use of the results. However, all too of ten when institutions initiate a college-wide student survey, especially when standardized questionnaires are used, the opportunities for faculty to gain some "ownership" of the instruments and processes are practically nonexistant. Therefore, their commitnent to and willingness to use the info:mation provided is greatly hampered. As mentioned, how to correct this situation was the focus of this study.

The importance of this study also is based on the paucity of empirical literature regarding the use of evaluative research information, i.e., information gathered for decision-oriented inquiries as opposed to conclusionoriented inquiries. Three important studies have focused on the use of program evaluation findings, specifically, Weeks, 1978; Patton and Associates, 1977; and Alkin and Associates, 1974. Also a larger number of studies have examined the use of applied social research (Weiss, 1977; Caplan, 1976, 1977a, and 1977b; and Van deVall, Bolas, and Kank, 1976).

While limited attention has been given to empirical research on the use of evaluative information in program decision-making, an abundance of nonempirical literature exists in this area. This literature consists mainly of prescriptive uses or speculdtions by academics and practitioners about why evaluation results are seldom used (Weeks, 1978). In short, the lack of empirical literature on evaluation utilization supports the need to examine ways for improving faculty commitment to and use of student outcomes information ottained from survey questionnaires.

STUDY METHOOOLOGY
Study Design
To accomplish the study's research objectives, an experimental groupcontrol group, post test only design was employed. The design was implemented by selecting two groups of faculty, a participants (experimental) group and a nonparticipants (contiol) group, within two different colleqiate institutions, a four-year college and a two-year college. Those faculty in the participants group participated by (1) identifying the student information needed for their decision-making responsibilities, (2) helping develop a pool of questionnaire items for obtaining the needed studerit information, and (3) organizing the items into a "mini-questionnaire" format
that could be attached to the appropriate standardized questionnaire.* Faculty in the nomparticipants group did not participate in these three activities.

Following the administration of the selected standardized questionnaires, which were accompanied by the "mini-questionnaire," the results of the surveys were analyzed and summary reports were written. The respective summary reports then were distributed to the faculty in both the participants and nonparticipants groups for their review and use. Subsequently, interviews were conducted with each faculty member in the two groups at each institution to determine their commitment to and use of the student outcomes information.

Selection of the Participants and Nonparticipants Groups
The chief academic officer at both colleges served as the study liaison. Each was instrumental in assisting the study director select two comparable groups of faculty at their institutions.

While a participants group and a nonparticipants group were selected at each institution, the process of selection within each institution varied somewhat because of differences in organizational structure, communication channels, and forces impacting each institution at the time of the study. As a result, the selection of the two faculty groups at the four-year college was accomplished by randomly assigning each of the seven academic departments to one of two groups. Consequently, the participants group at the four-year college was conposed of faculty from three departments and the nonparticipants group was composed of faculty from the other four departments.

At the two-year college, the chief academic officer was interested in having faculty participate from each of the campus' four divisions.
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Consequently, faculty who represented a cross section of the divisions were randomly selected for the participants group. While the resedrcher expressed some concern about participating faculty in a division talking about the "treatment" with nonparticipating faculty in the same division, the chief academic officer emphasized that such contamination would be very minimal because of the size of each division as well as the independent nature of individual faculty.

Instrumentation and Data Collection
The interview schedule developed to help answer the study's major research question consisted of three sets of questions. The first set of questions asked the interviewees to indicate how useful the survey results would be (1) to them personally in carrying out their decision-making responsibilities, (2) to their department, and (3) to the colleje, as a whole. Those responding "very iseful" or "somewhat useful" also were asked to explain how the results would be useful.

Following this set of questions, each interviewee was asked to indicate how useful the results of student questionnaire surveys were in general. Again, they were asked to explain their answer if they gave one of the two responses described above.

The final set of questions in the interview schedule was directed to only those faculty in the participants group. These questions focused on (1) the value of having an opportunity to participate in the development of the loca! items, (2) ways their participation could have been improved, and (3) how the overall surveys could have been improved. Generally, the interviews lasted one-half hour.

A total of 56 faculty were interviewed in the study. At the fouryear sollege, a total of 32 faculty were interviewed, 13 faculty were from
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the participants group and 19 were from the nonparticipants group. At the two-year college, 24 faculty interviews were conducted, nine from the participants group and 15 from the nonparticipants group. Analysis of the Faculty Interview Data

As noted, the study design established a participants (experimental) group and a nonparticipants (control) group at each college. The data generated by the interview schedule were in two forms: (1) nominal data - data from the response categories regarding perceived usefulness of the survey information, and (2) anecdotal data - qualitative data produced by responses to the open-ended questions in the interview. Consequently, iwo types of analysis were required. First, the chi square statistical test was employed to examine whether participants differed from nonparticipants in perceived usefulness of the student information for them, for their department, and for the total college. Sacond, a content analysis technique was used for examining the responses of faculty to the open-ended questions. This analysis consisted of reviewing each response and then developing content categories that would help in understanding the nature of their qualitative responses.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Will faculty, who paiticipate (a) in determining their own studentoutcomes information needs and (b) in developing locally-specific questionnaire items be significantly more interested in and make significantly more use of such information than faculty who only receive survey results? The intent of this section is to provide some answer to this question. In addition, faculty perceptions about the general usefulness of questionnaire surveys are described. Finally, a summary of practical suggestions from the "participants" group aloout the value of participating in the survey

$$
250
$$

development process and ways to improve faculty participation in that process is presented.

Each interviewee was asked at the beginning of each interview whether he/she had recelved a coyy of the summary report. In all instances, the answer swas "yes." Subsequently, interviewees were asked if they had read the report. Those who answered in the affimative were then asked a series of questions:

1. How useful do you think the results of the survey(s) will be to you in carrying out your responsibilities at (name of the college)?
2. How useful do you think the results of the survey(s) will be to your department?
3. How useful do you think the results of the survey(s) will be to the college, as a whole?

The responses to each of these three questions were placed in one of four categories: very useful, somewhat useful, not useful, and can't say.

The results of the chi square ( $x^{2}$ ) analyses that were conducted to make comparisons between the participant's and nonparticipants groups are summarized in Table 1. Examination of these results indicate that when

Table 1
Summary of $X^{2}$ Andlyses used to Conpare Participants and Nonparticipants Perceptions of Usefulness of Student Survey Results

|  | Two-Year College | Four-Year college | Colleges Conbined |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Useful to YOU | $\begin{aligned} & x^{2}=3.289 \\ & d f=3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & x^{2}=4.279 \\ & d f=3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & x^{2}=8.295^{n} \\ & d f=3 \end{aligned}$ |
| Useful to YOUR OEPARTMENT | $\begin{aligned} & x^{2}=1.5 \% 6 \\ & d f=3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & x^{2}=10.855^{*} \\ & \text { df }=3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & x^{2}=32.094 * \\ & d f=3 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Useful to } \\ & \text { THE COLLEGE } \\ & \hline \text { as a whote } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & x^{2}=5.65 \\ & d f=3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & x^{2}=2.165 \\ & d f=3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & x^{2}=7.101 \\ & d f=3 \end{aligned}$ |

[^4]the responses of the groups at both colleges were combined, a significantly higher proportion of the faculty in the participants groups perceived the survey results is be useful for their own use and for their deparment than did faculty in the nonparticipants group. This also was true regarding the comparison between the participants aris nonparticipants groups in the four-year college with respect to usefulness of the survey results to tieir departrents. It also is interesting to note that none of the $X^{2}$ analyses regarding the usefulness of :. vey results at the college-wide level were significant.

With respect to the ways faculty see the survey results being useful, it can be concluded from the content analysis of expectency remarks that they view the information to be most useful for (1) course/program planning and development; (2) gaining a better understanding of students " needs, status, decision, satisfactions, plans, and activities; (3) recruiting and marketing; (4) verifying hearsay; and, (5) improving relations both within and outside the departrient and/or college. Furthermore, the responses suggest that faculty, in general, tend to have a better notion about the usefulness of student-survey information at the department and college-wide levels than the usefulness of such information for their own responsibilities.

Fron the responses to the question concerning faculty opinion about the usefulness of student-questionnaire survey results in general, it appears that faculty are generally positive about the utility of such information. Many faculty'pointed out, however, that the usefulness of the information is greatly depèndent upon the adequacy of the study design and the way the study procedures are implemented.

The faculty participation processes, which were develcped at each of the colleges involved in the study, were tailored to meet the unique organizational structure and the special study ọuestions of interest at each of the
colleges. However, the comments of the faculty in the participants groups indicate that the opportunity to participate in the survey development process is a valued experience. Based on their statements about why the opportunity to participate is valuable, it can be said that, in general, the participation process provides faculty with a chance to get involved in an institution-wide activity and to develop an "ownership" of the survey processes and results. In addition, it allows them to think about how they will use the results before they are reported back to them. As far as ways to improve faculty participation, it is important that an effort be made to keep faculty informed about the status of the project throughout. In short, the more frequent the contact with faculty, the more likely they will own the survey process and make use of the survey results.

Finally, it can be said that faculty in both the participants and nonparticipants groups viewed the overall study as reneficial to their college. However, if faculty are to improve their commitment to and use of survey results, it is important to identify ways to improve the resporse rate to the questionnaire and to provide the survey results in a more program specific form.
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BOUNDARY SPANNING: A CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR EXAMINING THE ORGANIZATIONAL ROLE OF OFFICES OF INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH

Michael F. Middaugh
Director of Research and Planning SUNY College of Technology at Utica/Rome

## Introduction

A substantial body of literature has developed over the past 20 years in the area of organization theory, as it is applied to interorganizational relationships and organization/environment transactions. Much has been learned from this literature with respect to "adaptive" organizations, i.e., those which survive during periods of scarce resources, changing markets, and varying economic circumstances. This paper is intended to review the literature and apply it within the context of postsecondary educational institutions. The paper will also state a series of research assertions about which organizational characteristics are most likely to contribute to the adaptiveness and survivability of colleges and universities. Minimally, it is hoped that the paper will provide food for thought and discussion among its readers. At best, it provides a conceptual framework for empirical study that will enable its author to renew exploration of an area of professional and intellectual interest that dates back to his graduate school days.

## Organizational Environments

Of necessity, most organizations, including colleges and universities, interact with a variety of other organizations and groups, both formal and inform.al. These interactions are necessary for the acquisitions of resources (i.e., the money, raw materials, and personnel as;ential to the activity of
the organization) and for the distribution and consumption of the organization's product. (ĩhompson and McEwen, 1958; Levene and White, 1961; Seashore and Yuchtman, 1967) Those organizations external to a given "focal" organization with which that focal organization must interact in order to carry out its own mission are termed the organizational environment. (Katz and Kahn, 1966)

The interaction between an organization and its environment is analogous to the biological principle of natural selection. (Aldrich and Pfeffer, 1976) Just as living organisms must adapt to their environment, that is, obtain adequate food supplies, shelter, reproduce in sufficient numbers or face extinction, organizations tco must adapt to their environment if they are to remain viable institutions. Organizational adaptation, however, may be studied in terms of the transactions with the environment which permit the acquisition of resources necessary for the organization to operate, and for securing custoners to accept the organizational output. As in nature, the greatest threat to adaptability and survivability stems from changes in the nature of the environment.

The concept of a rapidly changing organizational environment was first clearly articulated by Emery and Trist (1966) and has been reinforced by the work of Terreberry (1968) and Liefer and Huber (1977). Terreberry (1968) suggested that nearly all complex organizations operate within a rapidly changing, or 'turbulent field" environment. These environments are characterized by uncertainty both with respect to continued supplies of essential resources and with respect to the activities of other organizations within the environment. That celleges and universities operate within a turbulent field environment is evidenced by such characteristics as competition among institutions for shrinking student markets, diminshed levels of federal, state, and private research grants, increased competition with industry for the best and brightest minds, etc.
-The organization, typified by colleges and universities, is then a problem-facing, problem-solving phenomenon. Classical? organization theory would argue for a "rational model" approach to problem-solving emanating from the organizational environment, i.e., evaluation of all possible alternative solutions and selection of the most cost-effective course of action. Recent literature suggests, however, that the very complexity of the environment which generates the problems faced by an organization is such that it would overtax the limits of human rationality were the attempt made to fully understand it. Thus the overwhelming nature of environmental complexity forces organizations to operate within the context of "bounded rationality." (Simon, 1957) Thompson (1967) summarizes the problem this way:

> "The focus is on organizational processes related o choice of courses of action which do not fully disclose the alternatives available nor the consequences of those alternatives. In this view. tine organization has limited capacity to ga: er and process information or to predict consequences of alternat ives. To deal with situations of such great complexity, the ornanizations must develop processes for searching and learning, as well as deciding. The coniflexity, if fully faced, would overwhe ir the organization, hence it must set limits on its definitions of situations; it must make decisions in bounded rationality." (p.9)

## Boundary Spanning roles

The interactions between a given organization and other organizations in its environment involve a series in ti, " actions actor: , he boundaries of the respective organizations. (Katz ans "u .In, 1966; Thompson, 1967; Starbuck. 1976) These transactions are generally concerned with the intake of resources for organizational activities and the dissemination of organizational output. A characteristic of adaptive organizations may be the ability to accurately identify critical components in their environment (i.e., suppliers of scarce resources and markets for the organization's
product) and the establishment of formal organizational structures to deal with them. (Thompson, 1967; Aldrich and Herker, 1977; Aldrich, 1979). These structures are referred to as "boundary spanning roles." iThompson, 1967)

Faced with environmental uncertainty, the organization operating under norms of rationally, establishes boundary spanning roles as the vehicles for searching and learning in the environment. Having identified the most crucial components of the organizational environment, boundary spanning roles monitor those segments of the environment. The activities within the boundary spanning roles should enable the organization to learn more about these environmental components and to more effectively deal with them. (Thompson, 1967; Alrich and Herk $\mathrm{r}^{2}$, 1977)

It is this underlying rationale that is prompting more and more colleges and universities to look to offices of institutional research as focal boundary spanning structures. The scope of their activities, in offices with a boundary spanning thrust, goes beyond simple headcount and credit hour tallying. Institutional research activities also include admissions market analyses, student retention research, outcomes studies, community impact analyses, etc. The objective of these activities is clearly the acquisition of adequate student markets and fiscal resources necessary to operate the college, while assuring that its graduates are marketable and that a demand will persist for the institution's educational product. Boundary spanning is not restricted to institutional research; offices of sponsored research, public relations offices, academe/industry consortia, etc. are all directed to the same objective: institutional survival.

This paper, then, focuses on the relationship between boundary spanning roles and a college's survivability, ie., capability to adapt to changing
organizational enviroment. The paper focuses on the office of institutional research as a major boundary spanning role, and proffers a series of assertions about the structure and configuration of that role and its relationship to institutional adaptability. It should be underscored, however, that thile discussion focuses on offices of institutional research, the assertions in this paper are generic to all boundary spanning roles within a college or university and should be so interpreted.

Assertion \#1: Those colleges and universities which establish boundary spanning roles to deal with crucial components of their environments will be significantly more adaptive than institutions which do not do so.

Such an assertion seems self-evident. If, for example, the identification of student markets for institutional curricula, description of potential swdent perceptions about the college, measurement of satisfaction levels among current students, identification of major factors in student attrition, and assessment of curriculum-relevant employment and job performance among alumni, are ali factors that impact upon an institution's ability to stay in business, then the institution should clearly establish organizational structures, i.e., boundary spanning roles to search and learn from the environment, and to provide she essential measurements described above. A corollary to Assertion \#l might be that adaptive colleges and universities have fully staffed, comprehensive offices of institutional research.

Structuring the Boundary Spanning Role
The relatienship between the presence or absence of boundary spanning roies and organizational adaptability to a constantly changing environment miay be controlled by structural characteristics of the boundary spanning role. In other words, it may not be enough for a college or university to simply
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have an office of institutional research. It is more likely that the manner in which the office is structured will have a profound impact upon the institutions ability to probe, monitor, understand, and manage its organizational environment.

The number of boundary spanning roles conferred upon a given actor is often a function of organization size. (Alrich and Herker, 1977) For example, it is not uncommon in small colleges for the registrar's office to be the repository for most institutional research functions. Similarly, many institutional research offices are expected to assume development and grantsmanship activities. However, Kahn et al (1964) warn against the danger of role overload, that is, assignment of a variety of roles to a single boundary spanner or boundary spanning office, with the expectation that all tasks associated with each role be completed within an unrealistic time frame. To expect a college registrar to fulfill the primary tasks associated with registration, and at the same time to conduct community impact analyses, attrition-retention analyses, outcomes studies, etc., is unrealistic. It is sikely that all activities would suffer because of the overloading effect of competing time demands from the registrar and institutional research roles.

Assertion \#2: Those colleges and universities which assign separately, and to different personnel or offices, those boundary spanning activities concerned with acquisition of essential resources and markets for the educational product, will be significantly more adaptive than institutions which do not do so.

Similarly, role conflict (Kahn et al, 1964; Schein, 1970), in which individuals are forced to make a choice between two roles, is a problem for the boundary spanner. Logic would seem to dictate that an individual or office charged with activities related to the acquisition of scarce resources or maximizing the output market would not be involved in other non-related
tasks. Returning to our previous example, the institutional researcher engaged in identifying student markets for the college's programs, and at the same time measuring the marketability of those programs among employers, is facing a major task. To require that individual to double as college registrar, indeed, creates the problem of rele conflict in which the individual is frequently forced to choose between two sets of competing, vital institutional functions. The result is the diminishnent of each fun: on.

Assertion \#3: Those colleges and universities in which personnel or offices engaged in boundary spanning activities dealing with the acquisition of essential resources or product markets are assigned few, if any other roles, will have significantly greater adaptability than institutions in which personnel in similar boundary spanning roles are assigned a multiplicity of roles.

Role professionalization refers to the suitability of an actor for a given boundary spanning role as evidenced by the level of knowledge and skills brought to that role. Aldrich and Herker (1977) indicate that an organization's abilicy to adapt to environmental contingencies depends in part upon the expertise of boundary role incumbents in selecting, transmitting, and interpreting the information originatins in the environment as well as successfully representing the organization as legitimate and desirable to that enviromment. Aldrich and Herker's (1977) assessment clearly reflect the general responsibilities and functions of offices of institutional research.

The expertise evidenced by a role incumbent, i.e., practitioner of institutional research, may be related to the professionalization of that role. If so, then one might expect that the more professionalized the boundary spanning role, the more effectively the activities associated with it will be executed. Before making such an assertion, it is necessary to first define the diminsions of professionalisn.

Hall (1975) has examined the so -called "professional motel" and has drawn upon earlier studies to identify two dimensions of the professional. The structural dimension, earlier delineated by Greenwood (1957) involves five attributes:

1. Formal training in an area of knowledge that is not readily available to the laity.
2. Professional authority whereby the professional can "dictate" the appropriate course of action for an organization.
3. Formal and informal sanctioning of the profession through licensure, acknowledgement of the right to privileged communication, etc.
4. Subscription to a regulative code of ethics which dictates approprate behavior for an individual acting in a professional capacity.
5. Professional culture wherein the individual maintains membership in relevant associations, reads publications within the field, is involved in various forms of continuing education, etc.

Hall (1975) also draws upon the work of Gross (1957) to describe the attitudinal dimension of professionalization. Attributes such as a high level of involvement within the profession, belief that the profession is essential to the betterment of the human condition, concern for the advancemont of the profession rather than financial self-agrandizement are typical of the attitudinal dimension. While interesting, they do not readily lend themselves to empiric " measurement, nor is there a readily apparent relationship to organizational adaptability.

Three characteristics of the structural dimension of professionalization do appear to relate to the effective performance of the boundary spanner in measuring and interpreting the organizational environment. They are training, professional authority, and professional culture.
$26 s$

Training in the activities of a boundary spanning role should contribute to the expertise of the role incumbent and should add to the effectiveness with which the roie activities are performed. (Greenwood, 1957; Beal and Wickersham, 1967: Hall, 1975) The broad spectrum of institutional research, as described throughout this paper, should be more capably performed by an individual with extensive training in social sciences, research methods, and tests and measurements, than by an individual, e.g., a college registrar, who is simply assigned institutional research functions.

Assertion \#4: Colleges and universities in which the occupants of boundary spanning roles have extensive training for the activities associated with the role will be significantly more adaptive than institutions with role incumbents not similarly trained.

Another measure of expertise in a boundary spanning role incumbent may be the authority acknowledged to that individual by the organization to provide direction for total institutional policy. The acknowledgement of professional authority is based upon the belief that the professional possesses the knowledge base to provide correct information for organizational decisions. (Greenwood, 1957; Etzioni, 1964; Hall, 1975, 1977) Concrete measures of professional authority are evident in the responses to the following questions, again using the office of institutional research as an illustration:

1. To whom does the office report? The Presiont?
2. Does the Director sit or the Presideni's catinet or Executive Council?
3. What is the cole of the office of instituitional research in the indtitutional planning process? is it a leadership role or simply a support ive role?

Assertion \#5: Colleges and universities in which occupants of boundary spanning roles are accorded broad professional authority will be signifirantly more adaptive than institutions wiere role incumbents are without professional authority.
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Operation within a professional culture would also appear to be an important component of role professionalization. (Greenwood, 1957; Hall, 1975) The practitioner of institutional research who regularly attends in-service seminars/workshops, reads relevart literature within the field, and interacts with other professionals through membership in role-related associations might be expected to be better versed in contenporary developments within the field and, therefore, might be expected to be more successful in the performance of the activities associated with his/her role.

Assertion \#6: Colleges and universities in which the occupants of boundary spanning roles operate within a "professional culture" will be significantly more adaptive than institutions where role incumbents do not do so.

Bringing together the structural dimensions of role professionalization, it is now possible to offer an enconpassing assertion.

Assertion \#7: Colleges and universities which professionalize boundary spanning roles will have significantly greater adaptability than those institutions which do not do so.

The preceeding paragraphs have argued for the necessity of colleges and universities to establ'sh bcundary spanning roles to monitor the organiizational environment and to make necessary institutional adjustalents to changes in that environment. Moreover, the paper has argued that certain structural characteristics cart be imposed upon the boundary spanning roles to thake them more effective in contributing to organizational adaptability. As persuasive as the arguments might seem, they will derive value only from empirical test $n g$.

## Directions for Future Research

The author has conducted research in the area of boundary spanning role structure and organizational adaptiveness. (Middaugh, 1980) This early research suggests three essential components in moving to empirical study of
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boundary spanning roles in colleges and universities.
A. Role definition: Not only must the specific offices or roles be identified which are to be viewed as boundary spanning, but appropriate descriptive measures of role incumbents must also be measured. Scope of the boundary role itself must be assessed, as well as other organizational duties held by the boundary role incumbent. Measures of role professionalization must also be established. Subsequent development of a manageable empirical measuring instrument to incorporate the various areas of role definition, coupled with choice of data collection methodology, pose a formidable task for the researcher.
B. Measures of adaptiveness: The purpose of the research is to measure relationships between boundary spaniing roles anc the ability of colleges and universities io adapt to changing environnents. But what are commonly acceptable measures of adaptiveness? Avoiding declining enrollments? Maintaining or enhancing existing levels of non-tuition-based funding? Arriving at definitions and measures of characteristics which accurately reflect a college or university's capacity to adapt to its environment is perhaps the most difficult part of the construction of a study.
C. Moderating variables: Are the relationships measured actually the relationships that are operative? Where do institutional politics, institutional funding sources, general econonic conditions, etc. fit into the equation? In order for the study to be truly descriptive, moderating and/or contaminating variables must be controlled.

While the obstacles to the empirical measurement of the assertions outlined in this paper are substantial, the author's own work in this area suggests they are not insurmountable. The benefits accrued by institutions armed with quantitative neasures of the environmental relationships described
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nerein are self-evident: organizational survival. The author intends to pursue the research and urges others to either collaborate or conduct parallel research. The body of literature in organization theory and post-secondary educational administration will be enriched, and our institutions will be better equipped to move into the uncertain years of the late twentieth century.
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# ) <br> SEXUAL INEQUITY IN CAREER CHOICE: HOW CAN COLLEGES HELP?* <br> Jean V. Morlock <br> Office of institutional Research <br> State University of New York at Plattsburgh Plattsourgh, New York 12901 

## Introduction

The past few decades have been a time of increased awareness of the sexual inequities in employment, e.g., affirmative action legisiation, and the ERA movement. Those involved in the feminist movement have gone further and have generally challenged the sex-role stereotypes, which have restricted free choice of careers for both women and men. Consensual ideas of appropriate roles for women have not only governed whether a woman chooses to be a homemaker or to have a career, but also have contributed to the type of career or job chosen. Careers or occupations that have been traditional for women are narrow in range, tend to involve subservient, helping or nurturant roles, are less lucrative, and are lower in status and leadership roles. While the number and proportion of women in the labor force has been growing rapidly, women's employment has remained restricted to only a few. occupational fields. In 1972, it was reported that $70 \%$ of all career women were working in one of four fields: nursing, social work, teaching, or secretarial work (Tangri, 1972). Researchers agree that sex-role stereotypes are powerful determinants in the restriction of women's free choice of career type (Witkin, et al, 1977; Bem and Bem, 1977; Goldman and Warren, 1973). That such stereotypes exist, with regard to type of career, and are held in strong agreement by both men and women, has been shown by several researchers, both on the basis of male and female perceptions and numbers of women employed or majoring in various fields (Chewning and Walker, 1980; Panek, et al, 1977; Harren, et al, 1979; Brenner and Tomkiewicz, 1979). One aspect of this study was to update ans replicate both of these measures of sex-role stereotyping of career fields.

[^5]How can colleges help women to enter a greater variety of career fields? Knowledge of the characteristics of women who have been able to defy sex-role stereotypical career-aspirations may aid us in counseling other women into appropriate fields for their abilities and interests. Certain demographic and personality characteristics have been found to discriminate the woman who chooses a non-traditional career field or major in college (the Role-innovator or NT) from the woman with a more traditional orientation (Traditionalists or T). Some researchers believe Roleinnovative women to be social misfits (the "social deviance" hypothesis); while others believe that these women have experienced more enriched backgrounds (the "enrichment hypothesis"), in terms of a variety of role models. The present study contrasted these two hypotheses and examined a variety of personality variables shown by past researchers to correlate with career choice (Stake, 1970; Psathos, 1968; Astin \& Myint, 1971; Reynolds \& Jones, 1978; Almquist \& Angrist, 1970; Tangri, 1972; Stewart \& Winter, 1974; Orcutt, 1979; Jordan-Viola \& Fassberg, 1976). Also included are some new varisbles inferred by the author from feminist research to contribute to Role-innovation (Hjelle \& Butterfield, 1974; Vankatesh, 1980; Dempewolff, 1973; 0'Keefe, 1972, and Fowler, et al, 1973). The variables studied can be seen in Table 1, which contains a summary of the hypotheses of this study and the results. The unique value of this study was that a number of variables were studied simultaneously, so that a profile could be drawn of the Role-innovator vis-àvis the Traditionalist. Also unusual was the chance to compare both groups of females to males on the same measures. Self-perceptions were used for the personality variables, representing a more accessible and economical form of measurement, and also an important and personal perspective of the subjects.

Method
Two surveys were administered to two separate groups of males and females at State University of New York at Plattsburgh. The Freshman Survey was administered to all freshme,l attending Summer Orientation, and provided the demographic variables, such as parents' education and occupations, as well as self-perceptions on several personality traits. Freshman Surveys from several years (1978-80) were used as a combired sample in order to increase the number of subjects in the study. Finding a sufficient
number of non-traditional women majors in a largely traditional female university was a problem. Except for the recently emerging fields of computer science and business, our largest programs continue to be education, nursing, and home economics.

The Program Perception Questionnaire was administered to students living in the dorms, both males and females, of all class levels. Their perception of the curricula offered at Plattsburgh were rated on an elevenpoint scale, from masculine (1) to feminine (11). In addition, they rated themselves on several personality variables in a semantic-differential style. Three hundred and forty-nine students responded to the Program Perception Questionnaire, an ample number for perceptual ratings of programs; however, the number of non-traditional women was small, making interpretation of the results of the personal traits from this questionnaire speculative. Please bear this in mind when examining these results. This study will be duplicated with larger and more diversified populations in the future.

Programs were designated as "Traditional" or "Non-traditional," using both criteria, i.e., students' perceptions and the proportion of women enralled in a program. Cut-offs of mean ratings $>6.5$ or $<4.5$ and percent females enroiled of $>65 \%$ or $\mathbf{< 4 5 \%}$ were chosen for traditional and nontraditional programs, respectively.

Table 2 shows the programs as classified by both techniques into traditional or non-truditional categories. There is a good deal oi agreement betwee: the two criteria; however, : 0 me notable exceptions indicate that stereotypes do lag behind changing trends. For example, accounting was rated on the masculine side, yet nore females than males were enrolled in the field ( $58 \%$ ). Music is seen as a feminine field, but is male-dominated at Plattsburgh ( $18 \%$ females). The perceptual criteria is generally more extreme and limited, providing greater contrast between the two groups of subjects.

Results were contrasted, using these two criteria. Step-wise discriminant analyses were performed to assess ihe ability of the personality variables and demographic characteristics to discriminate between the Traditional or Role-innovative and Non-traditional women (see Fig. I and 2). Analyses of variance wele also performed in which all three groups of subjects were compared, i.e., Traditional females, Non-traditional females, and Males (see Tables 3 and 4).

Results and Discussion
Program Perceptions
Results of the Program Perception Questionnaire confirmed that sexrole stereotypes, measured by perceptions, do still exist and are held in strong agreement by men and women students at flattsburgh. Only six of the 43 programs rated showed significant differences in mean ratings by men and women ( $p<.05$, two-tèiled $t$-test). For the most part, perceptiorrs correctly mirrored actuai enrollment proportions, with two exceptions: i) the emerging fields and 2) only the Traditional females accurately assessed the extreme femininity of highly female-dominated protrams such as home economics, nursing, and special education. In general Non-traditional women behaved like men. They rated more programs on the masculine side than did Traditional women.

## Freshman Survey Variables and Demographics

The interpretation of these results was simplified by the development of a profile of the NT female as compared to the $T$ female, usir: a stepwise discriminant analys.is. In this way the relative importance of the variables could also be assessed and redundant $i$ formation removed. Fig. 1 presents these results. Significant discriminant functions were found for soth criteria. The profile differed somewhat for the two criteria. In both cases the most important discriminant was math ability, followed on the positive side, i.e., Ni females exceeded $T$ females, by independence, intellectual self-confidence, then high school average. Other than less interest in marriage by NT females, the negative loadings varied by criteria. Using the perception criteria, $N^{\top}$ femaies appear to have less social interest or abilities. Thus the evidence lends support to each of the two hypotheses under s iudy; the "enrichment" and "social deviance" hypotheses. An alternative hypothesis, which explains the lesser social knowledge of NT women in the perception criterion, is that the solitary nature of the subject matter they study: math and science, is related to these uraits. Rather than being socially "deviant," they may le more suited to such fields of study. Future studies will compare males and females in these fields to studer. :'s in other fields to test this hypothesis.

A better overall interpretation of the data than either of the above mentioned hypotheses and one that avoids labels and political arguments
is seen when the two groups of females are compar $f$ to males. The Nontraditional females seem androgenous. They are similar academically to males, but socially to Traditional females. Table 3 presents the results of one-wày analyses of variance comparing the three groups. Nontraditional females resembled (or even exceeded) males in their superior math abilities, yet, like their Traditional sisters, they had achieved higher high school averages than males. In spite of the superior academic abilities of NT women, their intellectual self-confidence lies somewhere between $T$ females ard males. Socially, NT females resemble $T$ females. They share the curtours contradictions of their Traditional sisters in that they report themselves as having developed more friendships, yet see themselves as less popular and less socially self-confident than do males. $07 l y$ for the perception criteria, which is limited to fields most typically and extremely, male-dominated such as math and science, do the NT females resemble males socially. Women in these fields report less social knowledge than $T$ females. Finally NT females were the least interested of the three groups in preparing for marriage while at college. These women seem to have postponed or set aside thoughts of marriage while preparing for their career.

## Personal Traits from Program Perception Questionnaire

As mentioned before, these results must be interpreted with caution, due to the small number of NT women in the sample. Table 4 presents the results of one-way analyses of variances performed on the three groups simultaneously. The table is organized by similarity of means of NT females to either $T$ females or males. Again the suggestion of androgyny is there. NT fer ales resemble $T$ females in their femininity, conventionality, gregariousness, yet have begun ta move closer to males in these traits. They also resemble or seen to exceed (not necessarily significant differences) T females and sometimes males in independence, autonomy, dominance, and activity. They resemble males in their competitiveness, but are more achievement-oriented like their $T$ counterparts.

Fig. 2 presents profiles of the NT vs. T females, using stepwise diszriminant analyses. Significant functions were found for both criteria and agreed with the hypotheses of the author, inferred from feminist and other research. NT women were more independent, dominant, autonomous, but less feminine and conventional. Results for other variables, such as assertiveness, were mixed and contradictory. Further study is needed,
with larger samples, to replicate these results.
Summary
To summarize, the profile of the Non-traditional woman emerges as follows: She is academically superior, especially with regard to math skills; more independent, dominant, autonomous, competitive, and confident of her intellectual abilities, but less gregarious, conventional, and feminine than her Traditional counterpart. She is better equipped to compete with men in the Non-traditional fields that are highly mathematical and intellectual and require more solitary work, yet may do so at a social and personal cost. She seems to value marriage less or be more willing to postpone it than either Traaitional females or males, possibly because she sees it in conflict with her career aspirations.

Our role at colleges cculd be to counsel and support Role-innovative women and to encourage those with similar abilities and personality profiles to enroll in Non-traditional fields. Support groups or clubs for Non-traditional women may be helpful. At some colleges, women's studies forums perform this service. Also, other women with similar skilis could be encouraged and supported to develop the amount of independence and autonomy needed at this time to consider entering male-dominated fields.

At the admissions level, women with high math scores on aptitude and achievement tes's or measures should be encouraged to major in Non-traditional fields. High school counselors should be alerted to this possibility. Some research in the field has suggested that women are advised into Traditional fields because of the stereotyoes held by high school and college guidance or carfer counselors. Providing updated irformation and training to such coulselors may be a way of breaking this cycle.

Crlleges can help to change perceptions or stereotyping of these fields by students, as well as counselors. Fields that have recently emerged as less male-dominated in terms of enrollment, such as accounting, were incorrectly perceived as being "masculine." C!early stereotypes lag behind reality and continue to influence the career choice of both men atd women.

Participation by colleges in nationwice development of day care centers could help to relieve some of the conflict felt by women between marriage and careers. Presently women are pressed by circumstances either
to make a chcice between these two important life goals or to postpone one or the other.

Finally, the comparisons made between men and women revealed some interesting inconsistencies in college women at Plattsburgh that suggest a need for remediation. Women in this study, whether NT or $T$, had less self-confidence than men, both socially and intellectually, even though they reported better social skills and had achieved higher grades in high school, and/or had superior math abilities. Support groups and programs wesigned to enhance self-confidence in college women could contribute to more effective job-seeking skills, and enable them to compete with men in the move up the corporate ladder to management positions. Updated information and role models provided by Role-innovative women, particularly those who have successfully integrated their careers with marriage, could help dispel the myths and stereotypes that discourage women from aspiring to non-traditir, $\quad$ l fields and contribute to sexual inequity in employment.
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table 1. Surmary Comparison of Results with Hypothes as

| Yariable |  | Hypothes is | Resuit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 .$ | Mother's Occupation | NT have NT mothers | No difference |
|  | of parents--Mother:father | NT $>\mathrm{T}$ | No difference except perception profile |
|  | SAT Math \& Math Ability High jchool Average and | NT $>$ T | NT $>\mathrm{T}$ |
|  | Academic Ability | No difference | No difference; except perception criteria |
| *5. | Educational Aspirations | NT $>$ T | NT $<1$ |
| ${ }^{6} 6$. | Independence | $N T>T$ | NT $>\mathrm{T}$ |
| *7. | Autonomy | $\mathrm{NT}>\mathrm{T}$ | NT $>1$ |
|  | Activity | $\mathrm{NT}>\mathrm{I}$ | NT $>\mathrm{T}$ |
|  | Self-knowledge | NI $>\mathrm{I}$ | No difference |
| 10. | Self-confidence (Intellectual) | NT $>\mathrm{I}$ | NT $>\mathrm{T}$ |
| 11. | Dominance | NT $>$ NT | NT $>1$ |
| 12 | Assertiveness | NT $>1$ | Suggestivecontradic tory |
| 13. | Compet iveness | NT $>$ T | Suggestivecontradictory |
| 14. | Drive to Succeed | NT $>\mathrm{T}$ | No difference |
|  | Popularity |  | No difference |
|  | Friendships | $\mathrm{MT}<\mathrm{T}$ | No difference |
|  | Gregariousness |  | NT $\leqslant \mathrm{T}$ |
| -18. | Social Knowledge | No difference | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ni } \bar{T} \\ & \text { (Perception Only) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Social Self-confidence | ito difference | Contradictory. depends on criterid |
| 20. | femininity | WT $<1$ | NT $<\mathrm{T}$ |
|  | Conventionality | NT $<1$ | NT $<1$ |
| 22. | Sensitivity to Criticism | $\boldsymbol{H T}<1$ | Ho difference |
|  | Soal of Preparing for Marriage While at Colleçe | NT< | NT $<1$ |

- Significant $0<.05$ using t-test or andysis of variance. Other variables. listed as $\rangle$ or $<$, contributed to a significant discriminant function.

Table 2. Comparison of Two Criteria for Role Innovation


Table 3. Compai isnn of Mean Responses to freshman Survey Variables--


Tc.le 4. Comparison of Responses to Perception Questionnaire Traits-Traditional, Non-traditional Females, and Hales

|  | ERROLLMENT CRITERION |  |  | SIGHIFICANT <br> COMPARI SOH** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TradiLional Females | Non-T̈radi- <br> tional <br> Females | Males |  |
| *Femininity | 5.92 | 5.45 | 1.85 | Al? |
| *Conventional ity | 4.55 | 4.28 | 3.65 | T \& HT $>\mathrm{M}$ |
| *Gregariousness | 4.69 | 4.63 | 4.06 | $\boldsymbol{T} \boldsymbol{>}$ M |
| Independence | 5.20 | 5.53 | 5.09 |  |
| Autonomy | 4.89 | 5.23 | 4.84 |  |
| Dominance | 4.37 | 4.70 | 4.66 |  |
| *Competitiveness | 3.89 | 4.28 | 4.45 | $\boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{>}$ T |
| Assertiveness | 4.88 | 4.78 | 4.85 |  |
| Activity | 5.01 | 5.28 | 5.01 |  |
| Achievement-orientation | 5.71 | 5.55 | 5.30 |  |
| N | 126 | 40 | 114 |  |
|  | PERCEP | N CRITERION |  |  |
| *Femininity | 6.09 | 5.92 | 1.85 |  |
| *Conventionality | 4.64 | 4.21 | 3.65 | T 3 M |
| *Gregariousness | 4.67 | 4.50 | 4.06 | $T>M$ |
| Independence | 5.17 | 6.00 | 5.09 |  |
| Autonomy | 4.80 | 5.50 | 4.84 |  |
| *Dominance | 4.19 | 5.14 | 4.66 |  |
| *Cnmpetitiveness | 3.78 | 3.86 | 4.45 | $M>$ T |
| Ass ertiveness | 4.75 | 5.14 | 4.85 |  |
| Activity | 4.92 | 5.64 | 5.01 |  |
| Achievement-orientation | 5.77 | 5.86 | 5.30 |  |
| $N$ | 96 | 14 | 114 |  |

 tiona: tional
females fanales Males

CIGEIFICANT COMPARISONS**
NON-TRADITIOHAL FEMALES STMILAR TO MALES

SAT sath
Independence
Preparini for Marriage
Social Xnowledge

- TRADITIONAL FEMALES

STMILAR TO TRADIJ IOMAL EEMALES


MON-TRADITIOMAL EXCEED BOTH GROUPS

| Academic Abilfty | 3.55 | 3.76 | 3.52 | NT $>$ T 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Math Ability | $\therefore .05$ | 3.83 | 3.33 | NT $8 \mathrm{M} \geqslant$ |

$\mathrm{N} \quad 342 \quad 83 \quad 546$

* Only significant differences ( $0<.05$ one-way analysis of variance) are ** Shown.

Coefficients*
Enrollment Craterion

Step Variable

" Canonical correlation $=.304$ Stereotype Eriterion



| Step | Variable | Coefficient ${ }^{\text {- }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | FEmininity | $\cdots$ | -. 619 |  |
| 2 | Indepenoence |  | . 494 |  |
| 3 | ASSERTIVENESS |  | -. 669 |  |
| 4 | AUTONOMY |  | . 342 |  |
| 5 | DOMINANCE. |  | . 422 |  |
| 6 | ACHIEVEMENT |  | -. 365 |  |
| 7 | COMPETITIVE |  | . 268 |  |
| 8 | COHVENTIONAL |  | -. 238 |  |
| $\mathrm{Canonical}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{l}_{126}$ Correlation $=.340 \quad \mathrm{p} .0116$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total 166 |  |  |  |  |


Total 166

Total $110 \quad$ Standardized canonical discriminant function coefficients
Fig. 2. Profile of Role-innayative Women - Perception Traits.
fig. 1. Profile of the Role Innovative Woman - Freshman Survey Varrables.

> What Happens. 'Twixt the Cup and the Lip: Data to Information to Ac̈tion-Oata Development in a, Complex
> Multi-Level Environment
> Janyce J. Napora ${ }^{*}$.
> Director of Planning and Institutional Studies System Office University of Mâssachusétts
> 250. Stuart Street
> Boston, MA 02116

My pressentation will be a bit different from the others., in that rather than desoribing a particular study, or instance of the use of data, I am going to relate to you the case study of the development of an Office of Institutional Studies irr the Central Office of a multi-campus university syṣtem.

The Univers i.ty of Massachusetts has three campuses, a comprehensive University Center in Aniherst; a Medic̣al. Center in:Worcester, and ạn urban campus, with developing graduate programs in Boston. .This múltircampus system is governed'by a single Board of Trustees which is appointed by the Governor, and a president who serves at the pleasure of the Board. Each campus is headed by, a Chancellor, who reports directly to the President. In addition, the President retains a smail central office staff of approximately twenty.professionals. 'This central staff includes'University Counsel, collective bargaining personnel, central budget and planhing’s.taff, and a Public Relations Director. Many University-wide functions such as the Administrative Data Processing, the University Controller, the Treasurer, and the Internal Auditor report to the President thraugh"his central'stạf.

All public institutions in Massachusetts are further governed by a statewide Board of Regents, also appointed by the Governor. The Board of Regents is responsible for overall governance, and planning.and poticy direction for all of public higher education in the s.tate. As you have probably guessed by now, the central problem for developing a system level olfice of Pyanning and Institutional Studies.lies. in the interstices between the campuses, the institutional Board of Trustees and the Board of Regents' cand the Regents staff.

In any organization, it is important to match the level of the data to the level of decision making. In a situation such as the Central Office, which is the middle level in a tri-level hierarchy, this match is crucial. For our purposes, we divided data and the level of decision making each into three categories' ass follows:

Levels of Data
Policy
Summary Level Operational

## Levels' of "Decision Making

Policy
Implemientation/Process
Operation

Each of the levels of decision making exist in virtually all. organizations.. On a campus for example, the operational. level confists of those decisions which are necessary to. say, get a student registered, or to run an admissions or financial aid office. The implementation/process level, which requires summary level'data, would be those decisions which are made at the vice-presidential. level, or by committees of operating personnel--how long should the registration period be? How much weight should'SAT scores be given in the admissions process? The implementation/process level would also include initiation functions for issues, on which'final-dispo-: sition lies at the policy level. For example, new academic program development usually begins, at thiflevel, with Board approval, usually necessary.

Al though. we can reach some consensus on the nature of operational and summary level data, it is more difficult to address what constitutes - policy level data. A working definition of policy level data includes the following:

- it is aggregated (to what level?);
- it is placed within an external context;
- it includes .trend data analysis and;
- categories of data relate directly to the policy issues at hand.

In developing policy level data, we focused on a determination of the type and level of data appropriate to a system level office. Primarsly, we defined it by what it is not. We determined that the campuses should retain responsibility for developing detailed enrollment, admissions and other student related data, faculty/workload statistics, space. utilization analysis and all other detailed campus-focused information. Institutional Studies in the Central Office would focus more broadly on university-wide issues which are relevant to the President and the Board of Trustees; the development of reports for external distribution and, the development of external contextual data and information for policy, : planning and decision making. These specific' tasks"all led to the establishment of a summary level data base for planning and decision making.
(Three specific products which we have developed over the past year illustrate this focus; The Monthly Indicator Report, Environmental Scanning and the development of data in support of Collective Bargaining. The Monthly Indicator Report consists of both a financial section and a non-financial section. The financial section is a fairly conventional overview of the state of each campus, and the University as a whole along a variety of financial measures. These include expenditures to date in personnel and non-personnel subsidiáry acçunts, and monitoring of expenditures in grants and contracts. Each of these are then compared to the previous month and to the same date last year. The second, or
non-financial section consists of an Indicator for Month. Each" month a differeft indicator is selected for analysis. These indicators $\mathfrak{s}$ havé included a look at admi'ssions, degrees granted, and énrollment trends. Future plans include such issues as faculty salaries and. trends in faculty movement.

Environmental Scanning consists of three data related areas; access to demographic data; establịshment of a comparative data base for peer-institutions; and economic ińdicators. We currently have demographic data'available from the census with on-line computer access. We have begun to develop a computer based comparative data base with comparative data at the national, regional and institutional level on a variety of topics ranging from fac̣ity salaries to student data: . The area of economic indicators;.is.our weakest area, although the recent addition of staff with economic expertisé promises to. address'thi's problem in the foreseeable future. The development of data in support of the 'Collective Bargaining includes' the usual employee trend studiés and, projections. In addition, we have begun exploring the development of a computer based cost modeling system. for use during negotiations.

## CONCLUSION

Has this effort been successful? Since concerted effort in this direction has only been in effect for about one year, any définitive judgement would be premature. The Monthly Indicatior Report has been, very well.received by a limited diştribution group of primarily Central Office'staff. We will be able to better evaluate its acceptance when We expand distribution to the campuses and members of (the Board of - Trustẹes. Both the Environmentai'Scanning and data in support of

- Collective B'argaining projects are in émbryonic states.

The most measurable effects to date of this new direction has. resulted from the overall improvement in our data base, as opposed to a specific product. These effects have been as follows:

- enhanced perception of the 0'ffice of' Planning and
- Institutional Studies as adata source among the central staff members;
- improved credibility with the Board of Trustees and;
-. increased utilization as data source by the campuses and Board of Regents staff.

1 June t5, , 1982
and President's Office Staff
FROM: Janginapora, Ellen $0^{\prime}$ Connor, Lishda Post
SUBJECT: . Menthly Indicator's Report

Attached is the first in a regular series of Monthly Indicator Reports. The purpose of these reports is to both inform staff in the President's office and on the campusés, and to build a unifom university-wide sumiary level data base.

Part I of this report is a fiscal analysis sumary, by campus for the last month. Contextual information is provided through cofmparisons with the previous month and last year; expenditures year to date and projected yearly expenditures are also included. Information on the President's Office, the Hospital and Group Practice is also provided. "This portion of the-report was prepared by Linda Post, and comments can be directed to her.

Part II of this report consists of indicators. of the month. Each month we will select a different set of indicators for display and analysis. By the end of the first year cycle, we will have developed trend data on a variety of important issues. This month the selected indicetors focus on financial aid.

We have developed a tentative schedule of the monthily indicators for the next year, including salaries, faculty movement and various cost analyses. However, we have reserved some space to add indicators suggested by other staff members. Ellen $0^{\prime}$ Connor did the data collection and analysis for this section of the report. Comments can be directed to either pe or her.
This, the first of the Monthly. Indicator Reports, is being distributed only to staff in the President's. Office. As the concept develops, we expect to enlarge circulation to the Chancellors̀, key persons on the campusês, and others. We very much look forward to your comment's and'suggestions.

The attached tables sumarize per sonnel, payioll; and expenditure data for the month of May for each campus and for the University as a whole. Highlights of this month's report are as follows: .

AMHERST - Although expenditures are up significantly from last month, almost all of the increase is due to the occurence of five pay periods this month rather than the usual four. When adjusted for the number of pay periods, there is essentially no change in payroll expenditures froth last month and a increase in total state expenditures. This . of increase is mostly due to a increase in the 08 account for water and sewer' o, ver last month.
When compared to budget, totily state expenditures are slightiy below budget while both state payroll expenditures and number of state positions filled are tight on budget.. Alternatively, nonstlate payroll expenditures are running of over budget for .EY82.

With regar a to Grants and Con'tracts, both the number of proposals submitted and the number of awards received are up \% and \%, respectively, from last month; however, the dollar value of awards received are down from last month. Assuming Amberst rèceives approximately the same amount of award money in June, as in May, then the dollar value of grant and contract awards this fiscal year will be onder budget and $13 \%$ below that of FY81.

BOSTON - Both number of state positions filled and, subsequently, statee 01, 02 payroll. expenditures are down slightly from last month, after adjusting for five pay.periods. On the other hand, both other state and non-state payroll expenditures and total state expenditures are up this month after adjusting for five pay periods.
Projected state pogitions'"filled. and state 01, 02 payroll expenditures are right on budget for this fiscal year. Likewise, total state expenditures are projected to come in slightly under budget., Other payroll expenditures are., running way over fudget because of large unatticipated increases in the "state 03" and "state special" payrol"s resulting. from" the reorganization and closing of Boston State College,

MEDICAL SCHOOL - Total state expenditures for, the month on May are below April's expenditurés when adjusted for five pay perlods. However, April's expenditures were abnormally high even after adjusting for the orie-time experditure of $\$$.. in the Family Medicine Residenay Program. Hence., when compared, with March's expenditures, total state expenditures this month show a slight increase after adjusting for , the extra payroli, and are currently y unníng . . \% below: budget.

State 01, 02 payroll expenditures are up slightly over April's adjusted figure while other payroll expenditures are down slightly over the same period. Similarly, state payroll expenditures are projected to be $\delta$ under budget for FY 82 while othèr payroll expenditures are projected to be $\%$. over budget for the fiscal year.

Once agair, the number of state positions filled has not changed substantially since last month and is still running ofo. under badget.
F. TOTAL UNIVERSITY - Total state expenditures are up' of over last month's revised figures after adjusting for five pay periods. Also, when adjusted for the number of pay periods, there is . essentially no change in payroll expenditures from last month.

When comparing projected.expenditures to this fiscal year's budget, state 01, 02 payroll expenditures are right on budget; other payroll expenditures are running over budget; and total state expenditures are of below budget. Number of state positions filled are also right on budget.

Net cash balance available for investment - cash balance on all funds minus state funds and projects - is approximately \$ million this month. This is an $\%$ increase over April and a 1 绝 inçrease over May 1981.

PRESIDENT'S OEFICE - Total expenditures are up of over last month and $\frac{8}{8}$ over May last year. Likewise, payroll expenditures are up over last month and of over May 1981. Although this signifies, a dramatic increase in, spending, both total expenditures and payroll expenditures are well under budget at $\%$ ant $\therefore$ of budget, respectively.

HOSPITAL - Materials nots submitted.
GROUP PRACTICE - Total expenditures for May are down of from April's level but are up. o over May 1981. Similarly, gross patient revenues are up ; over April, which was an unusually low, month, and $\because$. over May of last year. Projected expenditures for the fiscal year are slighlty over budget, but .that represents no problem since projected revenues are it over budget.
The group practice's cash balance is at million, the highest . month-end level ever.
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The renthly indicators for June focus on financial aid. The extent to which $r \in c e n t$ changes in federal funding policy and rising costs in higher education affect student enrollment is of increasing concern to institutional administrators. Three groups of variables have been selected to provide insight into this issue; the relationship between income, average award and tuition changes, - need versus aid and an analysis's of the interaction between the aggregate student expense budget and various; aid sources.

INCOHE, AVERAGE A:ARO AND TUITLON CHANGES INDICATOR: When average income for bott independent and dependent aid recipients is compared to average award and tuition rates over time, several interesting trends begin to emerge. These variables help explain the University's ability to offer award packages that keep pace with changes in income and tuition. Ideally, tuition and inflation increases should be matched by injections of revenues into financial aid as .an university faces an obligation to provide full aid when needy students are accepted.
Table 'I lists these figures for both Amherst and Boston; whereas Chart I and II are graphical depictions of each campus' percentage chatige it the variables: The percentage change from FY 1978 to FY: 1979 in average award for Amherst was a $20^{\circ}$ increase, but the increase from FY 1979 to. FY 1980 was only $3 \%$. This 10 w was offset in part- by the percentage change from FY 1980 to FY 1981 where the size of the average award rose by $13 \%$.
Independent student's income has risen . . . Table t. at a roughly constant rate with the exception of an $18 \%$ dip between FY 1978 and 1979. This constant increase was relatively close to the rate of inflation which is significant because tuition has risen at a greater rate.
jution. average hard and average income

$$
\text { Juttion : } \quad \frac{1978}{450} \cdot \frac{1979}{525} \quad \frac{7980}{625} \cdot \frac{1981}{700}, \frac{1982}{252}
$$



Independelt Student


Tưtion increases jumped from a $9:$ hike during 1978 -1979 to a steady 20 percent rise in subsequent years. This gap of about $10 \%$ between tuition and the ather. variables suggest that, singe 1979, parents of students on aid and aid recipients have contributed a larger share of their income to educational costs.

CHART I
INCONE; AMERGGE AKARD, AND
TUITION CHAHEES FOR THE amberst campus


Boston's graph (Chart II) shows that the percentage change in independent students incon@ swung from 4 percent rise over FY: 1978 to FY 1.979 to a soaring $32 \%$ increase during the following year, and then dropped to an eight percent decrease over 1980 to 1981. It is difficult to say why these aberrations occurred. Perhaps more truly needy students chose to attend during 1980-81. Average award and dependent student'. income increases nicely converge during the 1980-81 period, but the gap, similar to Anherst, between tuition increases and average award payouts has held steady at, about $10 \%$ since 1978 .

INCOME AUEERAGE AWARD AND TUTTION CHANGES FDR THE BOSTON EAMPUS


A Case Study of The Academic Pranning Process<br>Jànyce J. Napora<br>Director of Planning and Institutional Studies<br>System Office<br>University of Mássachusetts<br>250 Stuant Street<br>Boston, MA 02116

This paper reports à case study andlys is of the planning process at a major public university over a th-year peciod. It is unique because, unlike previous studies which have dealt with the planning pracess in eithep.a technical or superficial manner, it conceptualizes planning as a political process. The selected institution launched three distinct planning efforts during this time, offering a microcosm for study. Studying the same institution over time provided a setting in which certain variables were stable and, facilitated comparisons which could not have been possible among different institutions. These companisons added to the understanding of those factors which contributed to the success or failure of the effort.

The focus of this study was on comprehensive institutional academic planning. Comprehensive, in the sense of examining the way an institution integrates its various subunit's. Planning as it occurs in' the individual subunits is not without its importance, but, for purposes of this study, was dealt with only as it related to overall planning. Institutional, as opposed to's state-wide or multicampus approaches, although the interface. bebween the institution and the larger entity to which it belonged could not be totally ignored. Academic, in the brad sense of dealingiwith policy questions and resource allocation, but with physical planning only tangentially, as it impactéd upon academic decisions.

Brief Literature Review
During recent years, change has pervaded higher education institutions. A survey of over. 1200 institutional presidents/; found that over threefourths of the respondents could identify a major change that had a signifi-. cant impact on their institution (Hodgkinson, 1974). However, despite the realities of the environment, a subsequent study found that the planning efforts undertaken by institutions fared quite poorly.

Although presidents unanimously endorsed planning as neqessary and desirable, academic plans, on the whole, were characterized by a rejection of the idea of scarcity and lack of onnections to the decision-making process. (Cohen and March, 1974). Thus, although institutional leaders recognized, the problem and albeit in a generalized manner indentified the tools with which to cope with the problem they, for the most part, have been' unable to convert this knowledge into an operative academic plan.

In order to plan more successfully, institutions need a better understanding of those factors which contribute to the success or failure of their efforts. The recent literature on planning has been primarily descriptive rather than analytical. NCHRMS cormissioned a series of four case studies in 1978, a project which appeared to be promising. However, upon publication this tumed out to be merely an examination of the planing process documents rather thath the process itself. (NCHBMS, 1978). The limited analytical literature available has tended to focus primarily on program budgeting, business techniques as applied to education, : or the cost study approach. There is very little attention paid to a discussion of the interrelationship anong value considerations, leadership, and participation. Indeed, most of the writing has totally ignored the political context within which such planning efforts have taken place.

Methodology
Preliminary research indicated that the case. under consideration could be divided into three subcases Based on three distinct chronological periods. Each period was distinct in approach, principal characters, and outcome. Each subcase was then individually evaluated along two dimensionsa product dimension and a process dimension.

The hypothesis was that performance alang either dimension pould be "accounted for by three variables. These variables, which were sidentified
through an extensive review of the literature included; a clear conception of institutional mission, the level of faculty participation, and the quality of academic leadership. It was further hypothesized that one or more of these variables acbounted for performance along a particular .dimension more than along the other dimension.

The case stidy method was selected in order to provide sufficient detail and analysis to illuminate the complexities of the planning process. The decision to study an Institution over time rather than comparing institutions, was based lárgely on two major considerations. First, sinice the dbject of this study was an in-depth analysis, there is same question whether a suffieiently thorough understanding of the political and administrative cómplexities of a second institution wquld have been possible. Without access to candid and sonetimes sensitive infomation at other institutions it would have been impossible to duplicate the in-depth and critical analysis. Second, the institution selected offered the near ideal compranise. Since preliminary. research indicated that there had been three major planning attempts over a relatively short period of time, it was possible to make comparisons within a felatively stabie context:

Data for each case study was developed in three steps. 'The first was to disciuss each subcase informally with persons who had been on campus during that time. This provided preliminary, although unfocused, infomation. The second step was to review the available written documents, including minutes of meetings, correspondence, reports, and newspaper
F. accounts. Particularly for the first two subcases, there was extensive documentary material in the university archives, the planning comnittee files-which were still intact--and in individual personal files. This background information was used to produce a chronology of events, an
interview list, and a list of guiding questions for the interviews. The third step involved extensive interviews with participants. Almost thirty formal interviews were held, averaging over ninety minutes each. On a more informal basis, countless conversations were conducted with faculty, staff, and administratoris over a several year period: ${ }^{\circ}$. These discussions contributed greatly to the overall understanding of the (institution and the content in which planning efforts were taking place. Definitions

There are almost as many definitions of planning as there are writers addressing the topic. Although there is a great deal of overlap and duplication, two viewpoints on planning emerge. The first, offered largely though not exclusively, by writers of the late 60 's and very early 70 !s and by writers with a business orientation is essentially product oriented. This conception of planning focused on how to arrive at and what to do with a "plan". (Drucker, 1964).

Yater writers and those writing with specific application to higher education modified this concept by focusing on the planning process (Banghart and Trull, 1973 and Halstead, 1974). As practice indicated that careful planning did not necessarily result in implementation, particular attention was paid, to the development of criteria for evaluating planning effectiveness over time (Glenny and Weathersby, 1971).

This case study is interested in both of these dimerisions of planning. The develoment of a final plan is important as the end product of the process, and as the mechanism against which to measure progress. However, the process itself, including the development and the implementation of the plan, is-integral to the entire process.

The following are Elie operational definitions of these two dimensions
of planning as used in this study.
Dimension I, the product dimension, was primarily concemed with whether a plan was produced and implemented. The criteria which were used in evaluating a planning effort along this dimension included: were the goals clearly detemined, the problens diagnosed, the options thoroughly examined, the possible solutions selected; and a plan of action determized? Although the focus along this dimension was primarily with the product, the mere writing of the plan was not sufficient to indicate success. The plan must have been, to some extent, successfully related to the ongoing life of the institution. However, there was no attempt to evaluate the long term outcomes of the changes instituted.

Dimension II focused on the process. A planning process was evaluated along Dimension II by ascertaining its value to the institution. The criteria used included: " Did the process serve to educate the university cormanity to the existing possiblilities and constraints? Did it foster an acceptance of the concept of planning in general and of this process. in particular?.

Institutional Mission - For purposes of this study, institutional mission was defined as the compendium of values and priorities of the institution. The issues which an institution must face in this regard are staggering. Some of them include a delineation of the constituencies served; the balance between teaching, research and service (Kerr, 1963 and 1972) and an outline of institutional role as á professional school, liberal arts-college, graduate center, etc. (Baldridge; 1971a and 1971b) : Although virtually all institutions have "mission statements", they all too often are authored for public relations purposes, rather, than being a thorfough look at the institution, its present and future.

A review of the literature on institutional mission and goal develop306
ment raised several questions useful for evaluating the development of , institutional mission. 'These questions form the core of the operational definition of institutional mission as used in this study.

Has the institution reached broad agreement on its mission?
Has the institution resolved the conflict among goals? " "Has the institution been able to move from ideal goals to realistic qoals capable of implementation?
There is anple evidence in the literature that mission definition is not an easy task for institutions. Several authors describe the splits within and among goals as both fundamental and inevitable (Baldridge, 1971, and kerr, 1963), springinig from the fact of campeting pulls anan institution as it attempts to adhere to expectätions of its "backers" 'in government and society, while at the same time trying not to stray too far from internal assumptions and expectations (Richnan and Farmer, 1974).

Faculty Participation - The political environment of the university' as well as the traditional role of the faculty in shaping academic prograns argues for a higher level of laculty involvement than may be necessary in other types of organizations. The literature offers several good reasons for including faculty in the planning process.

First, planning is an opportunity to familiarize faculty with internal administrative problems and priorities as well as with external oonstraints inposed by local, statewide or federal regulation. This continuous commanication forces both facuity and administrators to reoognize diverse points of view and conflicting interests. Faculty participation in planning is, therefore, an education process which should be viewed as a long term investment (Lindblam, 1959).

A second reason for faculty participation stems from the fact that
planning suggests change, and that change and innovation by their very naturéare threatening. By actively engaging faculty in the planning process, the number of unknown factors can be reduced, and resistance to change can be reduced by relieving real or perceived threats to autonamy. and security. Participants will vew the project gs their own if they have participated in diagnosing the problem and have agreed on the definitions and importance of the problem and adopted the solution by group decision. (Watson, 1969).

The issue of faculty participation presents the planner with two problems. The first, is how to identify faculty with the ability to exert a leadership role during planning and implementation. Lindquist described the ideal faculty participant as a "cosmopolitan local", a ' person who has an extensive network of external contacts, yet is esteened and influential in campus governace groups, and is often an opinion leader. He concludes that the extent. to which planners can recruit a to exert: a leadership role in the - core of faculty able and willing to exert; a leadership roje in the irplementatior: process may prove to be a key to successful planning. (Lindquist, 1974).

The second problem is how to structure the process to assure the optimal utilization of the participants." Faculty participation in planning has been studied along three dimensions: the type of cingittee structure; the degree of faculty/administration integration; and the amount of faculty participation. In most cases, planning was adecrplished through the existing committee strictures where it had to campete for attention among the array of itens generally handled through this structure; that faculty and administrators participated seperately, and; that faculty participation was generally lighoand regarded as peripheral. Recumendations

* to address these problems have included joint planning committees to
provide a mechanism for carminication between faculty and. admipistrators' and to focus attention on planning, and; steps to assure that, participation in planning be considered a legitimate part of the faculity roles, and be recognized in the criteria for faculty promotions (Palọla, Céehmann and Blischke, 1971):

The following questions form the core of an operatonal definition of faculty participation as used in this study.

Is the level of participation appropriately based an historical pracitice, organizational structure and the desirability of using the 'planning "process as a toil to educate faculty?" Has a cadre of faculty members been cultivated who will be useful to the process?
-2. Is there a structure that assures that faculty input is not perely reactive, and that participation is active and meaningful?
Leadership - A fully developed díscussion of leadership in a univensity must be placed within the context of the relevant organizational/governance models. Unfortunately, this discussion is beyond the scope of this short paper.

In the literature, essentially three perspectives on leadership. emerge. Although they do not represent all perspectives on leadership., they are useful in understanding the role of the leader as planner. The first, is the planner as manipulator, using power in $\ddot{\mathrm{a}}$ "mechanistic" sense. This approach portrays the planner as retiring to his/her,office and developing a plan in a technical sense (Tenkiñ 1972)." This model is inappropriate to the university due to its peculiar, organizationat structure and diffuse power pattern. The second concept of leadership (iveustadt; 1960) points out that even in a hierarchy, power and authority are not as simple as they seem. This perspective emphasizes the importance

7 of personal influence.
The third concept of leadership was developed by futuristic writers (Bennis, 1966 and Mosher, 1971), who describe the futire organizattonal
Imödel as an adhocracy. -Their description of an adhocracy has many of the same attributes as a university; decentralization, increased participatron, specialization and professionalism. The essence of leadership in such an $\begin{gathered}\text { ganizational structure is stimulative and collaborative }\end{gathered}$ rather, than directive.
' It is difficult to formulate an operational definition of leadership in the university setting. However, it is quite clear that leadership is more than issuing an order and having it carried out. Leaders must cormand considerable persuasive powers, and be: able to function in an enviroment in which specialists, i.e. Yoculty, can make legitimate claim to having superior expertise in some areas.

Analysis
The case study institution was a well established graduate/research unitersity. It was one of the several ."flagship" campuses within a major state systern. It experienced significant progranmatic and physical growth throughout the late sixties, and the seventies. During the period under. study, the institution enrolled approximately 23,000 students, roughly divided into $\mathrm{B4}, 000$ undergracuates, 4,000 evening students and 5,000 graduate and professional students. Major programs were available in close to one hundrei fields, through the Faculties of Arts and Letters, Natural Science and Mathematics, Social Sciences Education and ten *. professional schools. There was also a large Division of Continuing Education.

Each of the two major divisiorls, Health Sciences and Academic Affairs was headed by a Vice President, who reported directly to the
president. In general the academic structure was quite traditional, ". with department heads or program directors reporting to Deans, who then reported to the appropriate vice president. Over the decade under consideration, there was a great deal of movement at the pean level and Within the position of Vice President for Academic Affairs. During this. time; however, the president and the Vice President for Health Sciences remained constant.

1972-1974 - Shortly after the appointment of a new president, a Vice President for Academic Affairs (VPAA) was recruited with a specific mandate to produce an academic plan. Over the next three)ygars, the university was to, become involved in what were essentially three separate 8 attempts to develop this plan. The first attempt consisted of a draft personally developed by the VPAA. However, it was soundly rejected by the Deans and Provosts, who in turn, set out to develop their own plan. However, after several drafts, they were unable-to reach any agreement, * The third effort was initiated by the Faculty Senate as a result of, frustration at being left out of the process. This effort, which took $\sim$ over a year, produced an official document. However, it was vague and had po impact on, the miversity community.

The university make no progress in developing a consensus an instrtutional mission as a result of this planning effort. The VPAA's articulation of institutional mission was divergent from the prevailing norm in the academic world in general and that institution-in particular. The Deans and Provosts and the Faculty Senate focused within a very narrow range, and were preoccupied with process. The effort was further characterized by almost no faculty participation until late in the process, , and a basic disagreement on what the desirable role of the faculty in academic planning should be. The VPAA's personal style,
concept of administrative resonsiopility and view of the university worked against his being able to serve as an effective leader:

* I At the end of this time, the VPAA had severely strained relationships with the Deans, the reculty and the president, resulting in his resignation. The university cammityy was tom by differing opinions an the nature of academic planning, the appropriate roles for the different constituent groups and even on what constituted a proper time frame. The period ended not only without producing a plan, but with significant "costs incurred by the breakdown of the planning process.

1975-1976 - After the resignation of the VPAA, the university did not have a permanent VPAA for two years. Nevertheless, the president was determined to develop a plan. In an efforit to avoid the mistakes of - 1972-1974, the president' decided to retain control over this process himself, at least at the beginning.

The mechanism the president selected was to appoint a "blue ribbon" comnittee of faculty, with faculty members as cochairs. This camittee was appointed by the president, and was to be advisory to him. The comittee undertook an ambitious and extensive study of the university. They ultimátely produced two documents, an interim report, which aroused á great controversy on campus, and'a final report.

This planning process also lacked a clear sense of institutional mission. Although the president offered his personal view of the mission in a separate document, and the committee tried to define specific qlements of institutional mission, subsequent events indicated that the stated mission did not represent a consensus. It also was judged as having an insufficient amount of faculty participation. Although the planning committee was mostly faculty, it was a small group, and did not' '
adopt a widespread consultative or participatrory process . Leadership within the ccirnittee was exerted by both the president and the co-chairs. However, when deliberations entered the form of the entira university, the leadership of the co chairs was insufficient and presidential leader: ship lapsed.

Although this process produced a plan, there was widespread agree-" ment that no recamendations of thie plan were implemented. On the process dimension, this planning effort received mixed evaluations. Interviewees pointed out" that the process had educated people to the reality of limited resources, created a cadre of persons knowledgeable about the university; and served as a basis for future plans. However, * the costs of another failed effort at planning, in terms of the perceived credibility of future planning efforts were high.

1976-1980 - The most recent pianning efforts studied were very closely identified with the new VPAA who arrived on campus in the fall of 1976. . Prior to his appointment, this Vice President, likemis predessor several years earlier, was assigned the task of developing an academic. . - plan.

Scon after arrivington campus, the VPAA appointed a small number of task forces to advjse him in selected areas. While these panels were deliberating, the office of Actademic Affairs would be reviewing suggestibois of priorities from previous plans. The new VPAA emphasized that this planning cycle was to benefit from the suggestions and evaluations, of the previous President's Camittee on Academic Planning, refining and challenging thern and developing alternatives where necessary.
.. This effort resulted in an academic plan which had fairly wide consensus on carryus. There was general agreement that the VPAA had been an effective leader, willing to consult with various groups anj make
the best possible use of the Deans in the planning process. However, there was only limited participation by average faculty. Although the sense of institutional mission was considered vague - relying heavily on statements contained in previous documents - it cajused little or no reaction.

- This' plan was the only one developed during this period which was technically capable of implementation. It was the VPAA's own plan and was written in terms (student/faculty ratios) that he was able to control thirough his budgetary power. This approach might have norked had he remained in office. However, he left campus for another position before he had time to implement the plan.

Most interviewees felt that this planning process had been beneficial to the university, if only because the institution had completed a major planning effort without having experienced a major trauma. Others pointed out that the consultative process educated persons to internal and external contraints.

The resuits and/or conclusions are based only on the research conducted at a single institution and have, therefore, limited applicability. However, they provide interesting points for further research at other institutions *

- In the absence of all three variables a clear sense of institutional mission, faculty participation, and appropriate leadership-there
is little probability of lang term success at either developing and implementing a plan' (Dimension I) or using the planning process for 'purposes of ongoing institutional develorment (Dimensian II).
- Faculty participation and acministrative leadership affect the planning effort along Dimension II. Further research is necessary
in order to determine the appropriate. level of each variable or the best mechanisms to be employed.
- Since each of the planning efforts under consideration were characterized by the lack of a clear mission statement, this research did not provide any insight into the impact of institutional mission along either dimension: Perhaps research at those institutions ich are marked by a clear institutínal missión, particularly limited mission, private institutions, may be helpful.

Sub case I
VPAA's personal style, concept of administrative responsibility and view of the university workec against his being able to serve as an effective leader.


Iow level of faculty participation

## PARIICIPATION

massian
VPAA's articulation of institutional mission was divergent. from the prevailing norm of the of the acadenic world in general the desireable role of the faculty in academic planning should be.

Sub case II

While the form was the cormittee itself, ledidership had been exerted by both the president and the $\infty$ chairs.
When deliberations entered the the form of the entire university, the leadership of the co-chairs was insufficient and presidential` leadership lapsed.

The amount and nature of faculty participation was insifficient.

- although mostly faculty. the PCAP was a small group
- the PCAF did not develop a widespread consultative or participatory process
- PCAP menbers had been sel--ected'in the President's office with limited involment by the Faculty Senate and the university. in particular
The Deans and Provosts were unable to articulate a university mission due to their pre-occupation with process.
The collegium focused within a very naxrow range.

The president offered his personal view of the mission of the university in his statement. The University: Its Purpose and Fulfilliment
PCAP specifically tried to define the elements of the institutional mission.
Events surrounding the release of the Interim Report indicated that. this mission statement did not represent a consensus.

Sub case III

Agreement that the VPAA had been an effective leader.
He was willing to cansult with various goups, and to make the best possible use of the Deans in the planning process.

High degree of participation by Deans

Limited participation by average faculty

Sense of institutional mission was vague-relied largely on statements contained in previous documents -little or no effort to rethink the basis for them or to build consensus.
There was little or no re"action to the mission statement.
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## Estimating net cosit of college ATTENDANCE IN NEW YORK STATE

Development of Net Cost Indices for Full-Time Undergraduate Students' in Four-Year Colleges, for Relative Comparison Over Time

Donalid Nutter.
Paul Wing
Susan Silverman New York State Education Department

## INTRODUCTION

The formaf title of this paper was chosen for its brevity and clear reference to the general subject: net cost of attendance. But the subtitle is the more accurate one. What is reported here, in the hope that it may be of value to those working in. the Northeast is the development of three preliminary indices of net cost of attendance for the ultimate purpose of enabling comparisons to be made across types of institutions and over time.

Two statements should be clearly made at the beginning. First, the work reported here is at a preliminary stage of development. Second, as ,emphasized by colleagues in a recent.paper: "...one cannot subract average aid per recipient from average total cost and define the difference as the 'average cost incurred by every student.' However, one can describe the growth of program awards and institutional costs from year to year to examine trends over time." [8]. Ultimately the work reported here would be considered successful if it led to indices comparable in function to the Dow Jones or Standard and Poor's averages of major industrial, utilities, transportation, etc., stocks -- offering a "birds eye view" of complex movements of costs of college attendance over time.

The three indices described in this"paper are not the final ones to be developed in New York. Work has already begun on more effective indicators of net cost of attendance. It is instructive, however, to review both the procedures and the implications of the three preliminary indices described below.

BACKGROUND
Higher education in New York is under the authority of the Board of Regents [5]. One of the many responsibilities of. the Regents, whose staff is the State Education Depártment, is plaming and coordination.

The Board of Regents, in their 1980 Plan for the Developmentof Postsecondary Education [6], identified as a policy objective maintenance of "a balanced system of higher education." : The unique stirengths of all of the sectors should be preserved in the public interest, in order tö offer broad curricular choice, to retain the existing rich variety of campus settings, academic styles and institutional missions, and to safeglard the economic support for local communities provided by the presence of colteges and universities.

After some initial discussion, "maintenance of a balanced system" was determined to mean--at least initially--monitoring of sector palance, or sector stability, in terms of enrollment shares. But it would not be enough to look only at annual enrollment statistics; some better insight. into shifts of student institutional choice from.one sector to another. (should such shifts occur) would also be desirable. Student choice is a complex subject but cost is known to be an important factob [7]. Net cost--the "real cost" to the student or his/her family after all financial aid has been re-ceived--is one determinant of access to college, particularly which college is attended, and also sector enrollment balance.

Measurement of net cosit, as one aspect of monitoring sector balance, " was appealing for several reasons. Essential data are for the most part readily available. Net cost is at least partially subject to programs and policies over which a'state government has control, especially financial aid and public- tuition levels. Some index of net cost, if it were catculated consisteptly using comparable data, over a perịod of yeąrs, could suggest needed changes. For example, one would expect (without really knowing, of course) that an increasing differential between cost of attending public institutions and similar independent institutions would-eventualiy result in significant shifts in enrollments to the publics from the independents [1]. If this could be shown to be due solely tö. cost fäctors, then. some legislative or other changes might be warranted.

While there are differences between the ways in which higher educa- $\qquad$ tion is organized in New York, and in other states of the Northeast, there. is plenty of common ground. The preliminary efforts reported here should be of interest to colleagues in other states as well as those in New York.

## LIMITATIONS OF THIS WORK -

Net cost of attendance is unique for each student at each institution. Within a single institutional type (e.g., independent colleges) may be colleges very diverse as to tuition level, location, prevailing life styles. For even one small institution there may be a wide range of net costs de- $\therefore$. pending on student backgrounds and student aid packaging. Development of indices to summarize these different net costs requires many hundreds of averages - even averages of averages. Just as average net cost figures can be worked out for individual institutions, weighted averages based on enrollment may have to be calculated for institutional types and for sectors. Since so many variations of tuition charges, student aid, living expenses, etc., are averaged out, it would be incorrect to refer to a single "average net cost" at any one point of time. Instead the indices'should be used to show trends over time or relative changes among sectors, institutional types or income categories.

Ideally, the concept of net cost should include all costs to the student and/or family related to college attendance and all forms of student . aid.' In the work reported here only tuition and required fees, and certain other costs: room and board, books, personal expenses, and so on, are. considered. Likewise only selected types of'student financial aid are included.
Other iimitations are: the analysis is restricted to full-time undergraduate students only (except where indicated otherwise) and to four-year institutions onTy (except where indicated otherwise). Loans are not included as financial aid, mainly because of uncertainty about how to measure the value of subsidized interest, and because loans are essentially purchases of $\dot{a}$ service, although they may be helpful - even essential - to some prospective students: The income categories used, although carefully developed and documented, are unavoidably arbitrary. There also-may be gaps or errors in the data used, altheugh where these have been found, they have been corrected as carefully as. possible. Even with these limita-
tions, however, the present work has produced interesting results which it is hoped will stimulate discussion and reactions from the field.

INDICES DEVELOPED SO FAR
Three different indices are described below: "Net Cost Index $1, "$ "Tuition Net TAP Index," and "Net Cost Index 2." They represent the first three stages in an ongoing exploration of the measurement and interpretation of net cost of attendance. None of the indices is perfect, indeed there probably is no perfect index, but there are insights to be gained from each of them. The major elements of. the three indices are. shown in Exhibit 1.
"Net Cost Index 1"
This was the initial effort, designed primarily to determine the feasability of developing a net cost index. Only two sectors, the independent and the State 保iversity (SUNY), were considered. Comparable data for 51, independent institutions and 43 SUNY campuses were taken from the Education. Departments.' Higher Education Data System. Approximations of room and board and other costs were from the CSS College Cost Book for 1980-87. Table 1 shows, both sectors included some 2-year colleges.


$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Table } 1 \\
\text { "Ne? Cost Index 1" Summarized }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Public (SUNY)

| Public (SUNY) |  | Average |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| University Centers | $(4)$ | $\$ 3,133$ |
| Health -Science Centers | $(1)$ | 3,810 |
| University College | $(11)$ | 2,755 |
| Specialized | $(3)$ | 3,293 |
| Statutory, Cornell | $(3)$ | 5,429 |
| Ag. Techs. | $(6)$ | 2,973 |
| Community Colleges | $\underline{(15)}$ | $-2,520$ |
|  | $(43)$ | 2,987 |

Independent
Multiversity,
University
Coll. Complex
College
Eng. -Technology
Two-year
Health Sci Center
Specialized
Seminary
Nursing ,

Net cost ratio
Net cost index
Net cost difference
\$ 6,795 5,019
5,771
4,337

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4,841 \\
& 3,144
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
2,958
$$

$$
5,618
$$

$$
2,983
$$

$$
\frac{4,761}{\$ 4,731}
$$

$$
1: 1.6
$$

0.6
$\$ 1,744320$


These are the steps that were taken in developing Net Cost Index 1:.

1) Total tuition and fees revenues from undergraduates were identified for each institution from State Education. Department' data.
:2) From the same source, financial aid totals for Pell grants; New York State grants and scholarships., institutional awards, other Federal.(SEOG, CWS, and NDSL) programs were obtained and subtracted from the tuition and fees revenues, total for each institution.
2) The difference, T\&F revènues less aid payments, was divided by the institutions' "actual number of full-time and part-time students attending (undergraduate)" from the "HEGIS énrollment questionnaire.
3) For each institution, estimates of room and boärd costs, books, 'transportation and other expenses were taken from the College - Cost Book. These were totaled and added to the result of (3) to produce an arbitrary average net cost of attendance.
'5) Averages.of the Institutional average net costs were obtained for each institùtional type, and for each of the sectors.
The resulting sector averages; \$2,'987 for SUNY; \$4,731 for the independent sector do not mean very much for $1980-81$, since they do nat cover all costs or aid. They can, however, be compiled quickly and easily, and if they were. to be obtained in the same manner each year for the period -1980-81 through 1989-90, say, they might be useful indicators of changes in the effectiveness of financial aid programs, the cost of higher, edutation in relation to other costs if the same period. They might also help to explain shifts in sector enrollment shäres that may have occurred during the period:

The two sector averages might be expressed as "net cost difference" $(1,744)$, as a net cost ratio ( $1: 1,6$ ), or as a relative net cost index 0.6. The "tuition Net TAP" Index

In this exploratory effort, the objective was to develop inter-sector net cost indices for four consecutive years for three income categories of students, to consider as costs only tuition and required fees, and to consider only TAP (i.e., aid provided via the New Yor State Tuition Assistance Program) as financial aid. Further constraints were to include only full-tine undergraduates at four-year institutions.

These steps were taken:

1) Tuition and fees data, for 1978-79 through 1981-82 were obtained for each four-year institution enrolling undergraduates from the Department's Higher Education Data System (HEDS) [3]. These data were averaged by institutional type and sector; but were not weighted by enrollment. 'Averages by sector are shown as Table $\dot{2}$.
2) Three broad income categories were assumed: low, middle, high. Bureau of Labor Statisțics" (BLS) total"budget estimates for families of fqur, living in major U.S. urgan areas, specifically Buffalo, NY, were selected as the basis for a rough approximation of family income at the three levels [2]. Although these . are market basket estimates, and do not include unspent income, further checking convincęd us that they were reasonable for 1979 (the latest year for which available).
3) The 1979 total budget figures were adjusted•for the next years by reference to the Consumer Price Index [4]. From these expenditure estimates, used as proxies for income, net taxable balance (NTB), the income statistic used fincalculating TAP awards was derived using a recongized formula for this purpose. (In practice the NTB used in calculating actual TAP awards comes from. the previous year's State income tax returi). The results are shown as Table 3.
4) TAP Schedule "C" was used to estimate average awards for the three infome levels, for the four years, for the public sectors (combined) and for the independent sector. Schedule $C$ and the actual calculations are included as appendix 1 to this paper.

Table 2
Average.Tuîtion and Fees Charges by Sector, $1978-81$ Weighted by Number of Institutions by Type

| $1978-79$ | $1979-80$ | $1980-81$ | $1981-82$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 996$ | $\$ 1,123$ | $\$ 1,171$ | $\$ 1,343$ |
| 892 | 966 | 967 |  |
| 9669 | 1,082 | $\ldots$ | 1,117 |
| 3,307 | 3,594 | 4,003 | 4,529 |$:$

Table 3.
Total Budget Figures, Three Income Levels
Family of 4, Buffalo New York Area


Net Taxable Balance, Three Income Levels (derived from total budget figures)

| Low | $\$, 7,709$ | $\$ 8,810$ | $\$ 10,190$ | $\$ \cdot 12,010-$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Middle | 16,606 | 19,306 | 22,072 | 25,270 |
| High | $\cdot 16,813$ | 30,871 | 34,978 | $39,674$. |

${ }^{a}$ Handbook of Labor Statistics, Bureaupof Labor Statistics', Desc. 1980; Tables 150-152.
${ }^{b}$ Adjusted from Consumer Price Iridex.

The results are shown in. Table 4. In each cell, the average tuition and fees. charge less the calculated TAP. award, is given for the public and independent sectors, for the -year and income groups as indicated, resulting in a "Tuition Net FAP" index. The assumed net taxable balance is also included (in parentheses) for reference. The results are also expressed as public-fridependent net cost (i.e.; tuition net, TAP) ratios and diffferences in
.. It must be reemphasized here that what is reported is primarily a concept and a. method, and not specific numerical results. Clearly other costs than tuition and fees are involved, other sources of aid than TAP are available, and three income levels might be considered by some to be insufficient. The approach. does have some appeal however because, infofar as it goes, it reflects the real world", and because its very simplicity would enable it to bed quickly and easily compiled on a regular basis. . An index, after alt, can be useful over a period of time if it is compiled consistently year after year, if its limitations are respected, and if it is understood to be meaningful in. a relative rather thar an absolute sense. Were the results in Table 5 to be studied accordingly, the reader might 3 begin to suspect that:

$$
330
$$

- Due to inflationary income growth, TAP awards for low income stustudents have decreased consistently over four years. Middle income students (as defined in this exercise) were not eligible for TAP after 1979-80. High income students have never been eligible for TAP (except in cases where adjustments are made in NTB for siblings attending tollege).
- The difference between public and independent tuftion net TAP for low income students, proportionate to NTB, is about two-thirds as large as the comparable figure for middle class students; more than. twice that for high income students. . Thus, it is relatively more expensive for a low income, than for, a middle income student to attend an independent college when only tuition and TAP are considered.
- TAP has served to narrow the public-independent cost difference for low income students and to some extent for middle ifrcome students, which has probably promoted choice and sector balance.

Toble a
INTEX-SECTIR NET THITINA-INEX
Tuition-and Fees, Less TAP Paymentes Estinated for Selected Years Piblic and Indeperdent Sectors


Table 5
kiesules froa table 4: Indices. Racios. Olfterencen

| Income Cacegory S Type of index | 1978-79 | $-1979-2 \overline{0}$ | 1980-81 | 9991-32 | $\rangle$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lou | - |  |  |  |  |
| Index | . 18 | . 19 | . 19 | - . 20 |  |
| - Racio. | 1:5.6 | 1:5.4 | 1:5.2 | 1:5.0 |  |
| nifferency | \$1,507 | \$1,794 | \$2.203 | \$2,329 |  |
| Mid |  | . | . |  |  |
| Index | . 28. | . 26 | . 28 * | . 28 |  |
| Racio | 1:3.5 | 1:3.8 | 1:3.6 | 1:3.6 |  |
| Oifference | \$1.936 | - \$2,480 | \$2,886, | \$3.286 |  |
| $\frac{\text { High }}{\text { Index }}$ | . 29 |  |  | 28 | , |
| Racio | 123.4 | .1:3.3 | 1:3.6 | 1:3.6 |  |
| Difference | \$2,398 | \$2,512 | 52,886 | \$3.286 |  |

"Net Cost Index $2^{\text {" }}$
This index shares characteristics with both of the efforts already described, but it also goes beyond them. As in "Net Cost Index 1 " only one year (1980-81) is considered; however, estimates of room and board and other costs are included in addition to tuition and required fees, and Federal, as well as state, student aid is ine furded. As in "Tuition Net TAP," the three major sectors: SUNY, CUNY, and the independent sector are included; as are three income categories.*

Again, source of tuition and fees data was HEDS, and source of other costs data was CSS. Again, this exercise was limited to full-time undergraduate students in four-year institutions. Average, income levels for low, middle, and high income categories was estimated on the same basis as for "Tuition Net TAP;" and NTB was computed in the same manner.

After a number of colleges were eliminated due to being ineligible for TAP, or charging no tuition, or for similar reasons, 104 were included in the exercise: 23 SUNY, 9 CUNY, 72 independent. These are categorized by type in Table 6.

This is the procedure which was followed:

1) For each institution, 1980-81 tuition and required fees, and faḷ1 1980 F-T undergraduate enrollment, was obtained.
2) Estimates of "other costs" were traken from the CSS College Cost Book for 1980-81.
3) Statistics reported in NCES 2300-4: number of aid applicants (undergraduate) by income category, were used to estimate three broad income groups for all full-time undergraduate students, by institution. Taking the assumed averages, an effort was made to "向atch" these estimates with three groups: low, middle, titigh. The decision was made- to set the following intervals:

| low | \$$0-14,999$ <br> middle <br> high |
| :--- | :---: |.

To each was assigned the assumed average income developed from BLS data, as described in the previous, section.
4) The proportions of aid applicants for 'these income intervals, were applied to each institution's total ful.l-time undergraduate en-. rollment. This assumption that income distribution of aid applicants may be applied to all full'time undergraduate students is used only tentatively until better information is available.
5) For each income level the assumed average TAP, award was calcu-. lated, by applying Schedule $C$ to NTB for low and middle income students. . (Schedule $C$ is in appendix 1, and the NTB's for low and middle inçome were $\$ 10,190$ and $\$ 22,072$, respectively.) it ..turned out that no.middle income students were eligible for even the minimum TAP award so that TAP was calculated at each instituition for low income students only.
6) "Total Pell grant funds awarded•by institution for , 1980-81 were. taken from.thè Higher Education Data System. The assumption was made that Pell was awarded only to.low inçome students, as defined

- in this exercise. Campus-based program aid (and also instritutional aid) were assumed to be too small, or to differ. too little between sectors to affect net cost indices. Guaranteed Student Loans were not considered mainly because estimation of the value of subsidized interest is a refinement which lies in the future.

7) An "average net cost" figure for each of the three income groups. was' identified for each institution. This was:' tuition and,fees, plus'other costs, less TAP and Pell (for low income only). 'A "total average net cost" figures was obtained, weighted by income group size.
8) Average net cost figures for low, middle and higher income groups, weighted by enrollment, were obtained for institutional type, and sector, as shown in Table 6. (A combined figure for the public sectors was also obtained.) Looking at only the public-indepen-
$\sim$ ~ dent results, "Net Cost Index 2 " provides the following results:
Net Cost difference $\$ 4,041$
Net Cost ratio
1:2.5
Relative Net Cost index


Table 6
"rect Cost index LI" Suman'lzed (1980-81)


This paper has described the development of three indices of net cost of attending college. While noting the preliminary nature of these indicators, the paper has discussed their potential value in identifying changes in the coverage of student aid and explaining changes in enrollment of different groups of institutions.

It is useful to compare briefly the three indices, the manner in which they were developed, and their results. Exhibit 2 summarizes the results for 1980-81. The Tuition Net TAP index is-shown for only one of the four years for which it was calculated for three income levels (two of which are the same for 1980-81. It is not possible to combine them into a total summary, as is done for Net Cost Index 2; because calculations were made by sectors rather than by individual institutions.

The "average net costs" resulting from Tuition Net TAP are lower "than for the other indices as expected, because the only cost considered was tuition. However, the "average net cost" results for the independent sector are lower from Net Cost Index 1 than from NCI 2,' while the reverse is true for the public sectors. The reasons for this unexpected result seem to. be the following:

- Institutional total tuition and fee revenues for the independent sector in NCI 1 included those from some unknown number of parttime students, because all sources of aid were being considered and part-time students are eligible for Federal aid. When this was divided by the total undergraduate enrollment the resulting tuition and fees charges pèr student were smaller than would have been the case were only full-time students considered. Of course the same effect would be exerted on the public institutions. Moreover, in NCI 2 assumptions were made that resulted in only low income students receiving TAP and Pell grants. This left about two-thirds (66 percent) of students in the independent sector assumed to receive no TAP or Pell, which in reality may not be the case.
For the public sector, "average net cost" from NCI 2 is slightly lower than that for NCI 1 mainly because over half the students ( 54 percent) Lof the 4 -year SUNY and CUNY campuses included were entitled to TAP and Pel.7, again based on the various assumptions made for this exercise.
"AVERAGE NET' COSTS"
Independent \& Public Sector's, 1980-81

The public/indefendent nef cost gaps and ratios and indices shown in Exhibit II illustrate the kinds of statistics one might want to track over time and compàre with changes in enrollment shares. They would be particularly valuable if an index could be developed that was compre-. hensive in terms of the costs and student aid it included.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSFONS
Three indices of net cost of college attendance have been described in this report. None of them provides a sat isfactory estimate of net of attendance, but the preliminary results shown in this report suggest that extensions and ${ }^{4}$ refinements of the general approach would be extremely valuabje to planners and policy makers at both the state and institutional levels. plans for such extensions are now being developed by the New York State Educàtion Department.
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## STUDENT COLLEGE CHOICE AND DECTSION-MAKING

RICHARD L. PASTOR
DIRECTOR OF FINANCIAL AID
NORTHERN ESSEX COMMUNITY COLLEGE*

The process of choosing a college has received increasing attention with, the emergence of the use of "marketing". in higher education, and the realization that the aggregate pool of traditional age, college students will be decreasing during the next 20 years.

The literature conṭains a significant number of articles and research studjes dealing with the complex decision process each student must use in deciding to go to college, in deciding which colleges to apply to, and fimaliy, in deciding which college, to attend.

Chapmah writes that even with the irtense competition for students,
"... many colleges have persisted in the belief that they can
affect students' choice of college merely by modifying their
instiputional descriptions or the targeting of their recruiting. Few admissions officers operate from a systematic model of the influences on student college choice. Lacking such a model,

- colleges may operlook ways to increase the effectiveness of their recruiting or, conversely, overestimate' the influence of recruiting activities in which they do engage." (Chapman, 1980:490)
Most of the research reported has been aimed at finding out which of the many factors students consider are the most important and influential, in the.college choice process. Maguire and Lay ( 1980 ) have shown that the perceived improvement of geven, attributes (financial aid, parents preference, specific academic programs size of school, location, athletic facilities, and social activities) can be expected to create an increased enrollment yield for Bostoin College. A recent study' of high school seniors in Arizona* (Cibik, 1982) has shown that only eight college information items (quality of programs, $\operatorname{cost}$, career options, financial aid, helpfulness of instructors, how classes are taught, availability of housing, and admissions requịrements) were listed as "af great importance", by over $40 \%$ of the students surveyed.
$A$ college is never going to be able to meet the needs of every potential'student. But it is one thing to lose ä potential student because
per year), location, and ease of entry are the only concerns of potential students. However, it wpuld belencouraging to think that our academic reputation, quality of faculfy, and comprehensive academic support center were integral factors influehcing students to enroll at Northern Essex CC.

The essential questions that I felt needed to be answered to provide
Northern Essex CC with the data hegssary to start constructing a long range enrollment planning strategy were:

I\& What are the factors or aspects which influence students to apply and ultimately enroll at Northern Essex CC?
2. What kinds of information are used or desired by students during thelí decision-making process?
3. What sources of information are used or desired by students during their decision-making process?
4. How do the non-traditional students differ from the traditional
students with respect to the three questions above?

I will report on the results of three studies: a study of the accepted but not enrolled students from the Fall 1980; a study of the factors and "sources of information influencing enrolled stidents entering in the Fall 1981; and, a qualitative case study conducted in the Spring 1982 using in-depth interviews with six students.

## I. STUDY. OE accepted but not Enrolled stidents

Respọnses from 250 potential sṭ̂udents who wère accepted for the Fall 1980 entering class, but chose not to enroll were received. The responses represent $30.0 \%$ of all accepted but not enrolled students.

Chart I lists the factors most influential when these not enrolled studefits were making.their final college choice. The five most influential factors, were academic programs, distance from home, tuition cost, academic reputation, and financial aid award.

Ghart II shows a comparison of the responses of the not enrolled students to the responses of the enrelled students who completed the Cooperative

- Institutional Research Program (CIRP)'questionnaire. The sample of enrolled students' was $39.1 \%$ of the entering students. Northern Essex was the first choige of $75.6 \%$ of the enrolled students, while obviously a back-up choice for those not enrolled at Northern kssex. The not enrolled students were not as imprẹssed with the academic reputation, nor looking for our specific program offerings ${ }_{\text {a }}$ as were, the enrolled students. .
the college does, not have the institutional characteristics or program of study desired by the student, and quite another to lose a student because of misperceptions or inadequate information. As Clark, Gelatt, and Levine (1965:41) kave stated, "although the use of relevant information by no means guarantees the 'right' decision, a 'good' decision cannot occur without it. ${ }^{\prime \prime}$

Litten and Brodigan (1982) have extended the research to include the media which students prefer to use to obtain the information they need when making their college choice. They state that it can be assumed that there is at least a loose association between the importanfe of a college attribute in influencing college choice and the value of information about that attribute to the decision-maker."

Littën and Brodigan's study showed conclusively that there were specific messageymedia inkages that suggest certain types of information may have an edge in being received when committed to certain media as: opposed to others. For both students and parents, factual informetion was generally preferred through impersonal, college originated media. Information which may* differ according to a student ${ }^{\text {ts }}$ s personal situation appeared to be preferred from a personalized source directly related to the college. . For reputational/prestige information both parents and students tended to prefer a source that was not associated with the college.

Little research has been conducted using other than high' school seniox's or college,frêshmen as the, subjects. Adults have enrolzed in increasing numbers in higher educational institutions as the number of traditional age students have declined, Northern Essex CC has seen the percentage, of students over age 24 increase to $25 \%$ of its day enrollment. If these adult learners are tó be adequately served, institutions like ours must assess their eduçational nigeds, information needs, and motivations, understand the differences between adult learners and traditional age students, and make appropriate adjustments in administrative procedures., programing, and the teaching-learning_process. (Wglfgang.and Dowling, 1981)

Currently at Northern Essex CC ( 3500 day studehts, 4700 evening ${ }^{\prime}$ students In the Fall 1982) therte no fundamental strategy being used in the development of a coordinated recruiting plan, marketing plan, publication plan, advertising plan, or longe range enrollment plan. The lack of a comprehensive "plan of attack" has not affected our stydent Gemand. Inquiries, acceptances, yield,. 'and• 'enrollment are'ali at "an all time high.' It may' well be that the * economic sifiuation has reached the ppint where the low cost ( $\$ 634$ toition


* Points were assigned as follows: 5 points for most influential factor, 3 points for second, and 1 point for third most influential factor.


The reasons why students decided not to enroll at Northern Essex are quite different for traditional students as compared to those of the older students. Finạncial problems, family, or personal difficulties, and time confliçts due to work dominate the repasons why the non-traditional students choose not to enroll at Northern Essex.

II: STUDY OF the factors which influenced enrollment and the sources OF INFORMATION PREFERRED BY NEW STUDENTS

The population sampled in this replication of Litten and Brodigan's work was the Fall 1981 " "new" student enrollmént of Northern Essex CC. A response rate of $26.3 \%$ was obtained from the 1663 "new" students. (A new student according to the Northern Essex data system, is one who was not enrolled in the fmmediate past semester.). The respondents were $65.5 \%$ traditional students, having graduated high school within the past three years. ${ }_{\text {o }}$ Overall, $64.8 \%$ of the respondents did not have any prior college attendance, while only $33.8 \%$ of the non-traditional students had not attended college previously,

Chart III reports the most important influential factors in the decision to attend Northern Essex. : In order of importance the top eight factors were: costs (low tuition), had desired course of study, quality of courses/: programs, close to home, career improkement, academic reputation, financial. aid availability, and variety of courses offerred.

There was only asight difference in the responses of non-traditional students versụs the traditional student responses. This difference was in " placing career improvement thif in instead of fifth for traditional students.

Chart IV shows the preferred sources of information about Northern Essex CC. The preferred sources of information in order of preference * were: the college catalog, general knowledge, high school counselor, former students, 'friendz, admissions office, current students, parents, and Northern Essex faculty. As one might assume, the guidance counselor was a much more ${ }^{3}$ preferred source for the traditional students, while non-traditional students tended to prefer former students, the admissions office, and faculty to a greater extent. ( The question asked the students to list the most "preferred" source of information, but I feel that many students probably responded with the sources of informiation they "used" in obtaining information they needed about Forthern Essex.

Chart $V$ reviews the rankings of all 21 iteps 1 istedi on the questionnaire as possible influential aspects of the coilege/ It'is interesting to note

| CHART III |  |  |  | - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nost inporthart Imiluehtial factors * |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - |  | RESPONDENTS OUT OF HIGH SCHOCL LESS THAN 3 yEARS |  | RESFOMSNTS OUT OF HIGH SCHOL MORE than 3 years |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALL RESPOMDEFTS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Points | Rank | Paints | Rank | Points | Rank |
| 846 | 1 | 574 | -1 | 272 | 1 |
| 671 | 2 | 412 | 2 | 259 | 2 |
| 457 | 3 | 298 | 3 | 159 | 4 |
| 418 | 4 | 279 | 4 | 139 | 5. |
| 374 | 5 | 198 | 5 | 176 | 3 |
| 227 | 6 | 15 | 6 | 75 | 6 |
|  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 180 | 7. | 135 | 7 | 45 | 7 |
| 141 | 8 | 106 | 8 | 35 | 8 |
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[^6] 3 points for second, ahd $\frac{1}{}$ point for third nost influential factor.


PREFARFED SOURCLES OF TMFORMATION* ENROLLED STUDENTS - FALL 1981

|  | TOTAL | $\cos 1$ | DESTRED COURSE OF STUDY | QUALITY OP PROGBALS | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { CLOSE TO } \\ \text { HOME } \end{gathered}\right.$ | CARER IIPROV. | ACADEMIC reputation | FIN. AID avail. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VARIETY OF } \\ & \text { COURSES } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| catalog | 1 | $1$ | 1 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | $3 \cdot$ | $3{ }^{\text {²}}$ | 1 |
| GEN. KNOWL. | 2 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 9 |
| HS COUNSELOR | 3 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| FORMER STUDENT | , 4 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 9 | $6^{\circ}$ |
| Friends | 5 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 11 | . 9 |
| ADMISSIONS O ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~F}$. | 6 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 10 | 1 | 3 |
| Current students | 7 | 7 | , 7 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 5 |
| PARENTS | 8 | 8 | - 10 | 9 | 3 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 7. |
| NECC FACULTY | 9 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 11 | 6 | 6 | 6. | 4 |

## CHART VII

GROUP LESS THAN 3 YEARS OUR OF HICH SCHOOR / OVER 3 YEARS OUT OF HIGH SCHOOL


* Rankings obtained by assigning 5 points for the most preferred source, 3 points for
that items such as parents preferenge, recommendation of friends, reputation of alumni, and student activities.,are not considered as important influential factors.

The preferred sources of information for each of the most"influential factors are ranked in Chart VI. It is easy to see that when planning to communicate with prospective students, the presentation of the information through the Northern Essex catalog and high school counselors are the most preferred sources.

Chart VII shows the preferred sources of information for the most important factors for traditional students and non-traditional students separately. The sources which differed in ranking by more than four have been circled to call attention to the most glaring differences. The matrix has 72 items, and there are 22 cases of a ranking differing by more than four.
III. QUALITATIVE CASE STUDY

The data for this study was collected through in-depth interviews held with six full-time students who entered Northern Essex in the Fall 1981. The interviews were held during the Spring 1982 semester. The general interview guide approach and purposeful sampling.strategy were utilized.

These students first decided to go to college at various points in their life. The more traditional students decided to attend college right after high school:
v It was my senior year in high school and the reason that I'decided
is that year was the first $I$ ever did that well in high school. I never really thought $I$ had the ability to do $1 t$, and that's when I decided I wanted to go onto college. (Doria A.)

The non-traditional students decided to attend college after some other experiences in life:

I realized that I wasn't going to make any money if I didn't go to college. I had tried every line of work. I was uneducated.
I didn't complete high school. $I /$ knew I could get a GED, but I was undereducated and I could fee that it was sewn up economically. I had stopped traveling and decided to stay in one place for a while, - and I wasn't going to climb any social scales without an education: (T1m C.)

The college choice decision-making process that some of the students used was very logical and well-organized:

I put a little more into the decision beçause I figured it would influence, you know, it was a big step in my life. i like to learn but $I$ don't like to put the time $\mathrm{In}, \mathrm{I}$ 'd rather be out working, and,

I I liked the fact that I would go here for just 2 years and then get out. The location and the curriculum were what $I$ wanted, and I liked the course descriptions... (Lisa B.)
The reasons why students wanted to attend college were dominated by eçonomical concerns:

I hadn't worked in about nine years. And, in trying to compete in the job market with the youngsters that are out there today I didn't stand a chance. I was too far behind things...so I figured this was the chance... (Marion c.)
Othe benefits of college attendance were also found to be important:
The way people are today I think its $50 / 50$ between personal growth and financial growth... (Tim C.)

The influence of "significant others" can many times offect the college choice decision process:

One gentleman I know that went here really respected the fact - that there were small classes and he got the extra attention that he needed... I never expected him to go to college, much less do as good as he did. (Ken A.)

The strengths as perceived by current students are the factors that should be communicated to future students. It. was interesting to find a large amount of relatively intangible strengths expressed by the students:

Well, for one thing I appreciate the attitude of the people who work here. I have never met anyone here in any position who has a negative attitude. There is always somebody whogets you an answer and that means a lot. I think they ought to hang a sign up that says the "Buck Stops Here" and place it above everybody, because that is the way it works around here. (Marión C.)
I like it because I can get on a personal level with the teachers, whereas at a bigger college you might never see a teacher, or you would not get that extra help you need. . I think the faculty are like an At. All the teachers I have had have just been tremendous. (Ken A.)
Ḥistorically, community colleges have been the model in meeting the needs of the educationally underprivileged who have chosen to attend college . without an appropriate college preparatory program. The community colleges have given them a chance for success. This model appears to be alive and well at Northern Esseex CC:

Everything that I thought made a good excuse not to go got shot. , down. I thought that when I came over here and'said how can I afford to go to school the financial aid office was going to say you put up so much and we will put up so much... I never dreamed that there was a Pell Grant program that would pay all my tuition bills. (Denna H.)
I had never seen a college before, and I thought to myselff, well here I'll be in a class with 4-5 other old biddies and we can sit there and yak and see what's what, but it was so different, and it has given me
a whole new outlook on life, a way to fill up all that time and put it to good use:.. to go someplace alone was a big step to me, I don't think I would have come to school if it hadn't been for my son taking classes along with me... (Marion C.)

## SUMMARY

The important factors and aspects of Northern Essex CC which influence students to apply and enroll are the cost (low tuition), academic programs, distance from home, academic reputation, and financial aid availability. The most preferred source of information for four of these five influential factors was a different medium.
grerall, the most preferred sources of information about Northern Essex CC were the college catalog, general knowledge, high school counselor, former students, friends, the admissions office, current students, parents, and faculty. Each of these sources was ranked at least third in preference for at least one of the eight most influential factors. These results indicate that for Northern Essex CC to be succesful in the dissemination of information about its strengths and characteristics, a coordinated, multimedia approach must be implemented.

While the important influential factors are the same for traditionalage as well-as non-traditional age students, their preferred sources of information are quite divergent. Traditional students prefer to use the catalog and high school counselor as their sources of information. The non-tradifional, students prefer to use the faculty, former students, and the admissions office as a source of information. These results would indicate that each student should receive a catalog for their review, and that a segmented marketing approach is needed to effectively meet the informational needs of both the traditional and non-traditional students.

General knowledge appears to play a very important role as a source of information. Non-traditional students rank this item generally higher than do traditional students. I believe this response is due to the assimilation of bits of information from a variety of sources over time, especially the Division of Continuing Education brochure which is mailed to all area households three times a year. The importance of general knowledge as an information source supports the contention that the college should be active in the community as a way of increasing its visibility.

The concern for individuals as shown by the faculty, staff, and other students is also a major factor in the attraction of fature students. Many times we tend to forget that by meeting the personal needs of individuals, we will be encouraging an atmosphere that will result in a positive educational experience.
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CARVING UP PARADISE: POLITICS, DATA AND THE ALLOCATION OF ACADEMIC SPACE. Richard Pattenaude
Assistant Vice President, Academic Affairs State University of New York at Binghamton Binghamton, New York 13901
The allocation or reallocation of academic space on a campus is $\frac{1}{a}$ political and rational activity. It is rational in the sense that a specific square footage is assigned and programs occupy what is assumed to be jitenfigent utilization of available resources. But that is the lesser the issue. In my mi politics dominate the decision making process. Like it or got one is faced with the reality that the effective utilization of, space, a key' institutional resource, is dependant on political factors. Institutional researchers and physical plant administrators need to sharpen their political skills if they fish to play an important role $\int_{\text {in }}$ assisting their institutions in dealing with the 1980 5.

## The Problem .

The enrollment squeeze, long promised by planners and demographers, is beginning to take its tola: "At the same time the United States is pass: ing through a period of econgmic.stagration and the economic value of a bachelors degree is being questioned. These factors, taken together, suggest that little additional space will be built on America's campuses over the next decade. Those institutions most injured by' this emerging* . - Situation may need to lock up space. This cutback; this change in mindset, this mew era of constraints necessitates more effective thinking. The political stresses produced by this downturn in the economy of higher (\% Y education makes the politics of space critical: In the past. it was often possible to "buy" one's way out of a difficult space situation.


Thé new. building, the major rehabilitation, or the opportunily for other resources reduced the sense of scarcity and competition. This brief description of the current situation presents nothing new but the context is critical. for coming to grips with the politics of space.

So what? If çonditions hold or continue to decline, institutions must thave full control of their resources. They need to have flexibility in the utilization of resturces if planning is to have any meaning. Institutions, in terms of physicat space, need to break the emotional grip of perceived ownership. Space must take on the characteristics of any other eperating resource. There are.already enough factors present in the higher education environment which can produce ill will, alienation, and intra-organizational warfare. Space should not add to institutional stress, at least no more so than any other essential resource.

We must also remember that faculty come, to an institution of higher learning to pursue their careers because they view, it as a form of professional paradise--a place where personal interests can be pursued and avocations become vocations. It is also a; place where they exchange nęt. income for flexibility and life stvle; a place where ideas and their exchange produce a personal sense of growth and intellectual excitemeint. But faculty members can be easily drawn into long term squabbling quer physical space. Is, this understandable? of course. Space, represents program legitimacy, program strength, quality of life, and iñestitutional, commitments. Reducing, changing, or refining the space of an academic department can be viewed as a direct threat to that department's vitality, now and in the future. Thus the entire process of managing academic space fs fraughtwith politics, emotions, and conflict. If handled poorly the political chaos associated with space decisions can result in paradise lost.

I world like to review some basic assumptions about the way organizations function. Organizations are basicaly political and , emotional, not rational. "(Wildavsky, 1979: ch.2), Decision .making processes are slow and incremental, based on past events and decisions, seldom moving from a blank, sheet in a systematic way toward a new set of conclusions. (Lindblom, 1965: ch. 3) Individuals within organizations tend to respond negatively to stress and change while, at the same time, it is essential for an organization to be responsire to its working environment. (Etzioni, 1964: . 98) At the same time organizational techniques and process must respond sensitively to organizational reality and employee stress if they are to be effective. Unfortunately colleges and universities are not always the collegial entities as we often suggest; they are like all large complex organizations. Finally it is clear that the management of chafge in a professional organization is one of the most challenging of management activities, particularly when change is on the down side. (Etzioni, 1964: 83) Taken together these brief thoughts, about organizations reinforce the idea that planning for space allocation needs to be managed carefudly with full recoignition of its political content.

## Space and Facilities Facts.

It is simply a truism that academic departments never have enough space. Recently we dealt with a department which had, according" to formula, more thán double the space to which it is entitled. After careful inspection, a walking tour of the space, and hours of discussion their conclusion, to our dismay, was to ask for an additional .500 square feet. This outcome of an attempt to approach the issue, rationally is not uneormòn.

Formulas tend to be of little value in working with academic departments. SUNY has an excellent space entitlement formula that accounts for the following factors: lower division.enrollments, upper division enrollments, beginning. graduate enrollments, advance graduate enrollments, faculty FTE, general instructional space, classroom labs, individual labs, research space and support space, and faculty office space. (Office for Capital facilities, 1979) Factors' have been generated for each of the resulting cells (see below) . Whenever this formula is utilized in a discussion with an academic'department it only produces heated argument. This has resulted in our discontinuation of its use as a negotiating tool.
a General
Instruction
Classroom Labs
Individual Study Labs Research. and Support

Space Office Space

TOTAL
Department X: Space Factors

| : | Lower Div. FTE | Upper Div. FTE | Beginning Graduate - FTE | Advanced Graduate FTE | Advanced -Graduate HEABS | $\begin{gathered} \text { FacuTty } \\ \text { FTE } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Instruction |  |  | . . |  |  |  |
| Classroom Labs |  | $:$ | - $\cdot$ | . |  |  |
| Individua? Study Labs |  |  | - . | - |  |  |
| Research. and Support Space |  | - |  |  |  | 7 |
| Office Space | - | $\therefore$ |  |  |  | . |
| TOTAL |  |  |  | . | . j |  |

Of course different departmients hạve different space needs. And $a$; single discipline, depending upon th focus and its pedagogy, can have diffement space needs at different institutions. This adds to the inability to utilize standardized formulas. Formulas dave a value; they identify areas and disciplines which need careful study. They also allow one to identify classes of space needing attention. At best one can use
them to estaplish ordinal rankings of departments which are overspaced. Yet experience has made it clear to me that formulas are of little value in dealing with the automatic opposition one encounters when attempting to reduce or redes in the space of academic departments.

The Challenge
This brief review of the realities of space allocation results, in a potentially frustrating sịtuation. Institutions need. to zount on space as a flexibble resource supporting new directions and needs. At the same time the logics of organizations and the realities of space make it extremely difficult to exercise managerial authority. This is particularlyatrue in universities and colleges because the key actors are the professional members of the organization. The organization behavior literature is filled with examples which highlight the difficulty of managing a professional organization wherein the employees feel that administration has only one purpose--to provide adequate resqurces for the professionals to function. Thus the administration lacks basic authority for pursuing institution-wide priorities. (Etzioni, 1964: 81-85)

How do we detl with this? Is it impossible then to manage physical space without creating organizational chaos? Can we change paradise without creating paradise lost?
1.

At SUNY-Binghamton we have considered this issue carefuldy and have, over the past eighteen months, significantly altered our approach to space planning. We haye done this because we are in the fortunate position of being a growing campus. Enrollments are increasing, resources are. inctreasing, and programs`are being added. Most recently we have received approval to add engineering programs. . However, we cannot expect any
additional buildings. Thus we are deeply involved in the problem and have to "wrestle with the challenge. We have no choice.

Dur challenge is to move forward within a fixed amount of space to meet our goals. More importantly, we must do thixwithout creating unnecessary organizational stress.

An Approach to Consider
The following information describes the revised approach to phyical spacé. decision making we have adopted.

1. Redefinition of Space: Fundamental to the reorganization of a space allocation process was a redeftinition of space. At a widely attended Presidentially-chaired retreat the concern for space was discussed at length. From this came a clear mèssage that space is a university resourcé and, like, all resources of the university, subject to control, accountability, and review. Inwas al somade clear, that space allocation would be based upon programmatic need and that programmáic need would have to be carefully proven.

2:. Restructuring the Decision, Making Process: A new space advisory committee was formed which reports directly to the president's staff and
 posed of four higher léver managers. mostly assistant vice fresidents, and the director of physical plant, a-key member. The space advisory committee has an advisory role orly, forwarding recomendations to the president's staff, for consideration. The committee :meets' regularly with the .'president's staff to discuss these recommendations and it is the staff which makes the final decisions. Naturally these decisions are somebrat broad hrush in nature but they represent university decisions taken at the highest level. The space advisory committee does have some discretion in

- its implementation of these decisions but proceeds with a mandate from the president's staff, free of lingering political questions.

3. Consultation Process: In preparing recommendations for the president's staff the space advisory committee engages in an extended consultation process. This process is always referred to as information gathering. It is in this process. that progranmatic needs are clarified. Naturally the committee has a sense of where. it is headed because of its regular interaction with the .president's staff. Often the president.'s. staff will indicate that a specific problem is to be solved or project to pursued. One recent example was a request from president's staff for the space advisory committee to locate appropriate space for a small faculty/staff dining room. In this effort a number lof alternative locations were explored without consultation by reviewing large blueprints . of various spots on campus. After an ifitial proposal was reviewed the president's staff gave the committee permission to begin the consultation process.

The factors which were considered as part of this consultation process were: the needs of the program being, impacted; the pedagogical style. of ; the program, the building constraints, the triadition and history the ${ }^{\text {. }}$ building's occupancy, the political roles of the people involved, the size, of the program, the external funding of the program, its research foci and future, the costs of various .approaches, and the interests of all corstituencies involved. This resulted in a need to consult with secondary groups such as graduate student organizations, student leaders, faculty leaders, food service experts, and anyone else who had an inferest in the particular issue.

As a result all factors. which stood in the way of this project were brought into the decision making process. Areas that were sensitive were
highlighted and specific' needs accommodated. This entire process also had the intended and important tangential impact of informing the academic community of a pending decision. Clearly little hart data were utilized. However the institutional research office was essential in that it supplied an absolutely precise picture of the involved department. This information - Alows the committee to force all partićipants to deal with the facts in á common manner. (This eliminates the ability for a person to assert that a progratm is. .growing significantly; , à quick reference to information -Drovided by institutional research would indicate the actual facts, in detail.) It is here that data are critical, yet, they are clearly a backup to the focus on the political issues.

As this information was being gathered, points of opposition and active opponents to the concept were identified. This allowed for careful consultation and discussion with the opinion. leaders involved. This process reduces unexpected political problems at the implementation stáge. This álso alloway careful shaping of arrecommendation for the president's staff free of undue political costs.
4.: Recommendation:- After. the extended and detalled consultation process the space advisory committee is then capable of providing a twopart recommendation to the pressident's staff. The, first part of a recommendation is the physical lavout of the proposed project. Confiquration, square footage, location, and design features are presented for review and approwal by the president's staff. This allows the staff to. add tuts comments and refinements to the facility under consideration: The staff can also be informed of the special interests and needs of the involved parties and the subsequent impact upon design configuration and, cost. cost.

The second part of recommendation is perhaps more critical. Here the space advisory committee makes comments and suggestions concerning the political and organization implications so that an implementation plan can be prepared to. address them human dimensions of the problem. This .allows the staff to offer political advice and to determine whether or not it should become involved for the purposes of assuring agreement, obtaining support, and containing conflict. At this time $i t^{*}$. is also possible to , discuss fufiding gif necessary:-

The outcome is a clear directive from the president's staff to proceed on a project within clear parameters sensitive to the organizational and political factors involved. Space 限eded for a specific function or project is identified and leads to a specific allocation process's described below.
5. Allocation: $\because$ The . space advisory committee proceeds to secure final refinements of the recommendation and then communicates that - recommendation to the affected parties in writing. All specifics and agreements are'layed out and made part of the record. Critical' to this is the concept of university development space. Under this concept allocations of space are considered temporary. A program or project which receives reconfigured or reduced space is assigned that space under the aegis of university development space: This quasi-contract make's it clear that space is a university resource and that the space is being assigned to the department or unit f if a specific period of time for a specific purpose with the proviso that after one or two vars this assignment will be reviewed. This scheduled review insures that usage remains appropriate, that the decision was reasonable: and that the gifted department has a. clear opportunity to request reconsideration of . its space allocation., It maintains. flexibility for all involved parties.
6. Implementation: The space advisory committee then proceeds to activate all⿳necessary parties, particularly the physical plant. Working within the clear purposes and parameters set by the president's staff, the committee retains minor flexibility in design features. This insures that departments will feel that they have a legitimate and meaningful impact on the final design of their space. Sometimes it is necessary to reiect a 'department's suggestion because ôf cost or incompatibility *with building, configuration. But these disagreements are resolved in open and fryik discussions where each party presents. the facts it feels addresses the question. The space advisory conmittere has the advantage of working to implement a university decision, backed by the highest level of authority, which has been made clearly, openly, and with appropriate consultation. This reduces implementation costs and speeds the process, Certainly.this does not always go smoothly but it greatly reduces the level of political stress.

## Conclusion



The process I have described is systematic, understandable, and efficient. It relies on discussion, consultation, and compromise whereas hard 'facts play a minor but critical role. it.fs cleardy evident that the decision making process is sensitive to the political dynamics of. the institution. At allows all concerned partipes to have an appropriateltyoice in the process; no. one group or individuap is able to dominate the decision making process. 'At the same time it does not erode or undermine. the authority of the senior administration. The process is responsive to the specifịc programatic needs of departments as well as being senṣitive to the needs of the total institution as t looks towards the future. By increasing both the political content and the 'consultation' level, the
process actually decreases the political costs by avoiding unworkable decisions. It also assures that departments will feel that they have had a legitimate opportunity to influence a decision. Although a department may not' obtain its desired outcome it cannot assert that it has been kept in the dark.

Because it is systematic and open and will be the ongoing mode of operation, the academic community has come to understand that this will be the modus operandi fer space decisions. lust as departments understand how promotion and tenure decisions take place they now understand how space decisions take place. This regularization of the process is terribly important in that it reduces any concerns for unilateral. decision making and assures that departments, know when and how "they can appropriately influence a decision. We have found this approach to be much more workable than previous processes and to add legitimacy to the space allocation process.

Universities and colleges can indeed be paradises for those who work there. But maintaining that sense of organizational harmony and vitality iss : a, difficult and delicate process. Thoughtful and informed administrators can guide institutions. through the difficult days "ahead without producing paradise lost.
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# ENROLINENT PROJECTION MODELS: SEEKING EFFFCTIVE PREDICTOR VARIABLES <br> Ronald F. Perry <br> - Associate Professor of <br> Industrial Engineering and Information Systems and <br> Humberto F. Goncalves, Director Office of Institutional. Research 

FRROLTMENT PROJECTIONS AND THE ACADEMIC BUDGET PROCESS

- Northeastern University is a private University founded in 1898 and located ${ }^{3}$ Boston, Massachuset The totai full and part-time enrollment during 1981-82 for its ten undergraduate colleges and eight graduate schools was in excess of 45,000 students. Although the University has experienced a small overall decline in enrollments during the last two years, it has remained in a strong financial position.

The annual budget process at Northeastern can be characterized is a traditional, iterative bottom-up approach." Due to the 'fact that the endowment fund is quite small, the University is extremely dependent on student generated revenues. The .process starts in September after the freshmen admission goals are established for the next fiscal year and it, is usually finished by February after one or more rounds of adjustments.

In late September, a three year enrollment rejection is developed by the Office of Institutional Research in opmiuntribn th the deans. This. projection reflects the group's view of the most lykely enrollment levels, given certain assumptions regarding the expected student attrition rates, the ability of the Admissions Office to meets its goals, and regional/national enrollment trends.

During October and November, the department chairmen develop budgets that reflect their financial needs in light of the projected enrollments and their academic goals. After these budgets are reviewed and approved by' the appropriate dean and vice president, they are consolidated and submitted to the president for approval.

Concurrently, the Finance Office develops its revenue projections for the next fiscal year. Traditionally, their projections reflect a more coriservative view of future enrollments than aurs.

It is clear that the revenue projections developed by the Finance. Office are an overriding constraint in the process. A planned, deficit budget would probably not be accepted by either' the president or the trustees. In past'years, the original budget requests have had to be re-negotiated with the deans because in total they exceeded the projected revenues. This situation is inherent with the botton-up budget approach.

Prior to 1977, the establishment of the revenue projections was very much in the domain of the financial administrators and reflected the conservatism of the profession. Oir original forecast model was the first attempt to temper this conservatism which created large budget. surpluses - combined with scheduling and staffing problems in the academic departments at the beginning of eachracademic year. Inveffably, the number of students enrolling each fall was larger than the number used for budget preparation. The partial acceptance of the model did in fact result in a smaller variance between budgeted and actual enrollments during the last three fiscal yeams.

## MODELING CONSIDERATIONS AND ENROLINENT PROJECTIONS

Criteria for Model Evaluation

Forecasting should promote better decision making in organizations. Consequently; it is appropriate to consider the orgamizational and pragnatic aspects of forecasting as well as the technical aspects before commencing model building. In this section we discuss the "impact of several important factors on the choice of model type, model variables, and data sources. These are: accuracy-cost trade-offs, timeliness, model comprehension, and implemeńtation.

The level of accuracy of a forecast should be appropriate to the decisions supported by that forecast. 'Trade-offs must, be made between inexpensive forecastingmodels which yield large forecast errors and more costly models yielding better precision.

The concept of ,timeliness can, be captured by noting that early computer-based weather forecasting systems required greater than twenty-four hours to produce tomorrow's forecast. Forecasts must be available in sufficient time to make decisions about activities, which occur 'dur'ing the forecast's planning horizon.

A technically complex model may produce an accurate forecast, but be totally incomprehensible to the user. A conceptual understanding of the thodel by the manager is essehtial. Only in.this way can a model-produced forecast benefit significantly from the subjective judgnent of the experienced manager, as suggested by Jęnkins (1982).

- ${ }^{1}$ A most relevant paper which addresses the issues of forecasting in an organizational setting is that, by. Gwilym W. : Jénkins (igez).

Implenentation is a multi-faceted problem. . It requires the support of the users as well as a technically "well-devised forecasting system. Deficiences in technical design may diminish the value of forerasts, but uncooperative users totally emasculate their usefulness. Implementation must begin very early in the model development process to insure success (see Jenkins,1982).

- Daţa availability, is a key factor : in producing tinely forecasts. Traditionally, efforts to forecast college 'enrollments have focused on dungraphic data in defining predictazvariables. When our forecasting affort began several. years ago, we focused on these same data since they were available in a reasonably timely fashion.

Implications for Model Devèlopment,

In light of the previous discussion, we may now, sketch the type" of forecasting mode] and model development process which was pursued.

The "optimal" model type had to be at a technical level which could be explained to administrators on a meaningful conceptual basis. This implied minimal mathematical complexity. To produce: an appropriatery precise forecast, the model should also explain a large fraction of the inherent - data variability. Also, the model should produce forecasts in a cost effective manner. Multivariate linear regression analysis meets all of these requirements and is the techinique which was ultimately selected.
t'o minimize the size of the required data base, high school enrollment daty from as few states as possible were conldered. As described below, A. we focused on eight, states selected after an andysis of enrollment. patterns. in model building and extensive kqowledge of university operations. In addition, the Office of the Dean of Admissions was invorved in the process at "an early stage.

## MODEU DEVEJOPMENT

Review of Literature

The literature on enrollment forecasting divides into two major categories: '(1) extrapolation and causal models' based on "hard" data, usuaily demographic, and (2) more recent prediction models based on survey data, usually student perceptions of university characteristics. When our forecasting effort started, the latter category was embryonic. Of - necessity, we looked to the former type of research effort for guidance. (Most of the work done was of a more macro nature than ours. Often, predictions were made for the entire country or state school system, rather than one school, thus providing much latitude.for absorbing-the impact of ${ }^{*}$ forecast errors. Since much of the literature which helped launch our effort is now quite dated, only the major works will be briefly cited, as much to provide historical perspective as to establish the credibility of our approach. Our work has now evolved into the second category of " research after a worthwhile learning experience.

Golderg and Huang (1977) provide a review and classification of mone of the earlier enrollment prediction models. The works by Lin (1968) and Oliver and Hopkins (1972) develop extrapolation models using cohort ${ }^{\text {' }}$ survival methods for state school systems. The paper by Banks and

7
 national census data. is the paper by Nasik (1970). The models produce forecasts for community college enrollments.

Several difficulties are encountered when attempting to apply the Works cited to the design and operation of an en enrollment system for a large, priv'ite', urban institution, such as Northeastern University. First, most. work addressed the problem at the state or higher level of aggregation: Forecasting of freshmen matriculation, the component of total enrollments with the most uncertainty associated with it, was not adequately treated. None of the models was designed to become part of an ongoing forecasting systef to support decisions. Finally, the implementation of these models into the decision-makjige process was nil.

The 'last several years hale produced some noteworthy -papers dealing with the analysis of the college hole process. Not surprisingly, these papers have, a decidedly marketing research ring to them, being concerned. with topics such as the university's image, the best media for transmittal of different types of information, and targeting subsets of the prospective student population. Brief mention is made of the most thought-provoking ${ }^{\circ}$ : recent papers in these areas.

Krampf and Heinlein n (1981) discuss ascertaining the university's image using 23 attributes of the institution and relate this to the probability/. of matripritation. Maguipe and Lay (1981) analyze the college choice process identifying' 14 predictors of matriculation.
approach, Lay et al. (1981) apply AID (Automatic Interaction Detector) to divide applicants into subgroups of varying yield based on attributes such as SAT scores, high school eank, and sex. The paper by Litten and Brodigan (1981) investigates söurces students and parents each prefer for various types of information about, a college.

The. latter body of literature has provided guidance to our recent efforts to understand the role of student perception in the recruiting and admissions process. Discussion of some tentativer results of this work are contrined in the last section of this paper.

Selected Modeling Approach

In- light of the factors inseussed in the previous section and the guidance provided by the literature review, it was decided to use multivariate linear regression analysis in conjunction with demographic variables to develop a freshmen emrollment forecasting model. After some preliminary evaluation, we focused on high school graduates as the single. most valuable predictor variable. Since . $86 \%$ percent of Northeastern University's freshmen come frem New England, New, York, and New. Jersey, we used only these states.

Since the budget process begins in September, the timing requirements - fór forecast data are as follows. We desire a forecast- for entering freshmen' in the Fall of year $t$ to be available in the 4 . 11 of year $t-1$, and. based on projected high' school graduates for June of year $t:$ Therefore, high school graduate data for June of year t-1 must be available by the Fall of that year to minimize the age of the data used in projecting high - school graduatés.
'The forecast was develo'ped in two steps: (1) extrapolate high school - graduates for the eight states using a predictive equation which is a runction of time, and (2) forecast Northeastern freshmen using a predictive equation which is a function of some or all of the high school graduates of the eight,states. All of these equations were developot-cosing stepwise regression algorithms.

More succinctly we can write:
(1) $\operatorname{HSG}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{t}} \doteq \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{T})$
 where:
HSG. i't $=$ projected high school graduates for state i , yéar t $N / F_{t}=$ forecast $f d r$ NU. freshmen for year $t$

Using these equations a forecast is produced for ' each $^{\prime}$ year of a four year planning horizon.

The data base used foroboth of these projections consists of the years begining 1967 to the year preceding the forecast: Forecasts were begun in $1977^{2}$. 'This provided periods of reasonably well-behaved data for ' 1967 through 1977, 1967 through 1978, on up to 1967 through 1981 , from which to derive our forecasts. It should be noted that foreign studentowere not included in the data base, and hence not in the forecast. Thus, approximately 8 percent of the entering freshmen required estimation by some other means.

[^7]Selecting the "Best". Model

A stepwise regression algorithm was employed to derive the best set of predictive equations. The MINITAB statistical analysis-software packape Was quite adequate for this purpose since the size of the data base was modest.

The HSG projections as a function of time were obtained as follows. Terns of the form $t, t^{2}, t^{3}, t^{4}, \sin (w t): \sin (2 w t)$ and $\sin (3 w t)$ were. offered to the stepwise algorithm. The criteria for selecting the "best " equation are the stander error of estimate (SE), and the coefficient of determination ( $R$ square). In most cases $R$ squares in excess of 0.95 were achieved with SES of a few hundred students, where typical enrollments were 'in the tens or hiondreds of thousands. A predictive equation was developed for each of the eight states for the five forecast data periods (1967-1977 through 1967-1981).

In developing the NUF predictive equations, actual high school graduates for all states for a given year were paired with entering N.U. freshmen for that year for each of the five forecast dota periods. Terms offered to the stepwise algorithm were of the form: HSG, "HSG ${ }^{2}$, and the " natural logaritham of HSG. The some criteria were used to select the best équatión as above. R squares ranged from 0.93 to 0.99 and SEs from 54 to 94 . students. The coefficients', $\dot{R}$ squares, and ses for these equations are shown ingrable 1.

In any time series analysišusing regrẹssion techniques one is concerned that the fundamental assumption of lack of serial correlation.of the errors is satisfied. For all equations, the Durbin-Watson stat,iat fe was. evaluated (Draper and smith,1981). In nearly all. cases, the hypothesis
of zero. serial correlation could not be rejected: Where; significant correlation was found, the Hildreth-Iu procedure was appliẹt in an; atternpt to improve the fit to the data (Pindyck and Rubinfeld, 1976). No significant improvements were found.

Table 1.
Pkedictive Equations for NU Freshmen

| Forecast <br> Vettı Period | Equation* $\quad \therefore$ | Standard Erro of Estimate | Coefficient of Determination ง. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1967-1977 | $791.6+0.1904 \mathrm{CN}+0.0513 \mathrm{MA}$ | 54.0 | 0.93 |
| . ${ }^{\circ}$ | $+0.0688 \% \mathrm{NJ}$ | - > |  |
| 1967-1978 | $-0.25660 \mathrm{~N}+0.06954 \mathrm{MA}+0.090$ | 81.0 | .99 |
| 1967-1979 | $\begin{aligned} & 2700.3-0.059 \mathrm{MA}+0.3015 \mathrm{NH} . \\ & +0.02626 \mathrm{NJ} \end{aligned}$ | $73.0^{2}$ | $0.94$ |


| 1967-1980 | 3143. $+0.0889 \mathrm{MEF}_{5}-0.05529 \mathrm{MA}$ $+0.3424 \mathrm{NH}$ |  | $0.94$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1967-198i | . $0.2169 \mathrm{NH}+0.04{ }^{1} 82 \mathrm{NJJ}-0.0001$ | 94.0 | 0.99 |  |

*State abbreviations:/
CN Connectiqut . NE Maine
NH New Hampshice NJ New Jersey
MA Massarhusetts

The usefulness of the point estimates provided by the equations could be enhanced by using, confidence intervals. Since the independent variables for the NFF equations (HSG for the selected states) are not known with certainty, but probabilistic estimates thefleques, othis uncertainty must.be pincluded in any confidence interval developed for NUF. Such confidence. intervals cannot be derived anazytically, since the distribution of the forecust variable is derived from the product of normaily distributed, variable (Pindyck and .Rubipeld, 1.976). An approximation for the 95\%
confidere interval may be obtained by computing the NJF 95\% confidence interval for the expected value of HSG plus 2SE and for the expected value . If HEG minus 2SE for eack state in the equation, and then using the union of these. two confidence intervals to approximate the $95 \%$ confidence interval for NuF.' This approach results in the confidence intervals displayed in Table 2: Fifgure, 1 plots the actual NUF for the years 1967 through 1982 and thë confidence intervals developed from'three forecast data periods: 1967-1977, 1967-1980, and 1967-1981.

Table 2 I
$\therefore$ NU Freshmen Forecasts with $95 \%$ Confidence Intervals

| Forecast |  |  |  | Years | , ; | - |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . Data Period |  | 1978 | . 1979. | 1980 | . 1981 | . 1982 : 1983 | 1984 : | 1985 |
| 1967- | UCI* | 4266 | 4391******** | 4517 | 4889 |  |  |  |
| 1977 | Y | 4076: | 4143 | 4253. | 4443. |  |  |  |
| - | LCI | 3974 | 4010 | 4030 | 4110 |  |  |  |



*UCI = Upper Value of Confidence. Interval
$\mathrm{Y}=$ Expected Value of ${ }^{\prime}$ NFF
LCI $=$ Iower VAIue of Confidence Interval

1 ,To evaluate the precision of our forecasts, we compared the point and interval estimates with the actual entering freshmen in Table 2. Several observations are in order. 'First, the confidence intervals : are quite large. In most of the cases the confidence/interyal include the actual value. Finally, all of the forecasts, except the one made in 1981, failed to reflect the downturn in entering freshmen which occurred in 1981 (see Figure 1):

ASSESSMENT OF MODEL UTILITY FOR. BUDGET PLANNING

Despite the fact that many confidence intervals, do include the dual values, and that indeed some of the point estimates come remarkably close to the actual values, failure to predict any hint of reduced enrollment until after the downturn has occurred calls this naive model. into considerable question as ad budge planning tool. It should be noted that the model performed quite well up to the turning point. What caused this turning point: reduce ${ }^{3}$ school graduates, increased competition from' other institutions, or an ion policy changes by Northeastern University? To some extent, all three have contributed to the downturn. Perhaps most conspicuous, was an explicit effort to cap Engineering and Business Administration entering freshmen beginning in 1981. These colleges are enjoying considerable growth in enrollments, while some. of the uncapped colleges were experiencing declines.

The inescapable conclusion is that naive models using only demographic, data are domed to fail as planning tools in the environment ow' increasing. activism by colleges and universities. Models which reflect and even predict, the impact of policy changes are becoming essential to planing.

## NEMD FOR ADDITIONAL PREDICTOR VARIABLES

The foregoing discussion demonstrates that the current enrollment forccasting model" is not adequate for annual budget planning. Howeyer, theretis a. role for an enhanced version of this model in a two-phased approach to freshmen edrollment forecasting. First, for guidance over a one to two year planning horizon, the enhanced projection model will provide a forecast every Fall. Then, for more specific estimates of the next freshmen class, a model based on student perceptions provided on the admission application form will produce a revised forecast by the end of the calendar year.

To enhance the current model, we will disagg sgate the forecast to the level of the ten undergraduate colleges in the University and include occupationalpindices relefvant to each college and general economic indices. For the revised estimate, we will use a matriculation prediction model developed from' survey data provided by both matriculants and non-mapriculants. Analysis of this data thus far suggests that the student perceptions listed in Table 3 arscriminate between matriculants and non-matriculants.

Table 3
Student Perceptions Which Discriminate Between Matriculants and Non-Matriculants*

The following question items were found to be statistically significant at "least at the .05 level in both mean scare and score profile (based on a. five point opinion scale) for matriculants and non-matriculants.

- Member of inmediate family attended or attending NU.
- o Friends currently attending NJ.
- Co-operative Education ply was an important consideration. in college choice.

$$
3.7
$$

USe of this two-phased approached permits tentativé planning early in the budget process and refinément later on, but still in suffieient time to alter many funding commitments.
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Cost analysis in higher education has been documented in the itterature for over fifty years. The breadth and scope of the attempts to quantilfy, ;" the cost of the higher education enterprise are perhaps best described in the four volume, monograph, A Study of Cost Analysis in Higher Education (Adams, 1978), which was prepared under the aegis of the Amerlcan Councll on Education. Comparative cost analysis forms a growing sub-area within this larger field. Adams (h978, Volumé 4) used a Delphi Technique with a panel of 120 faculty and apministrators at all levels, to forecast the future use bf comparative/cost analysis in higher education. The panel predicted that the use of cost information for the purpose of cost comparison with other institutions would increase threefold from $21 \%$ of institutions in 1976 to $62 \%$ in 995 . A second prediction was an increase in invesiig弾ion of the operational components which make up costs within instituifonge A third'prediction was that the percentage of states using cost information in deciding on the size of institutional appropriations woild. increase from $50 \%$ in 1976 to $81 \% \ln 1995$. The studies described in.this paper aditress all three fyypes of comparative cost analyses. predicired to incrosse in importance.

The growth in comparative fiscal analysis can be at 'least partially attributed to the early work at WICHE and NCHEMS. As Lavrence (rg72) stated a-decade ago:
"A rajaor element in the managemenfor higher educat ion at all level: is the use of comparable dafa (especialdy cost data). At the irdututional level, interstitutional comparisons are cur- . rently an important aspect of an podividual instilutions evaluation process .... At the state and nationd! levels, comparebite and nationd? levels

* Previously thamanager, of Plannina at the Universily of Mascichusets, fenthersf, hoses.
ify of institutional data is even more critical.... As the need for, and trend toward, increased planning for higher educatian at the state and national level continues, the need for a common data base and standard reporting procedures becones èven more important because evaluation of state and national programs is completely dependent upon the avallabillty of comparable data and information (p.59)."

The NCHEMS design for collecting cost comparison data, the Information Exchange Procedure (IEP), has proved problematic reqarding both institutional ability to provide data in the suggested format and the benefits derived from ífrkst analysis. The problems encountéred in applying the IEP approach in 6 major reseaŕch univefsities is descrited in defaril intopping (1979). While the IEP approach has been found wanting, a number bf institutions have developed iṇdividualized cost studies. Such studios have included internal costing analysis (e.g., cost per student credit.hour by level) and. external comparison or "peer" studies.

A basic concern is raised by Adams (1978, Volume 1) when he notes that there is a significant lack of knowledge about decision-making in higher education. One could argue that performing comparative cost arial.ysis is premature if the question of how sugh analyses will be used in the decisfiun-making. process is unanswered. The three examples presented in 个hiss paper provide limited evidence that comparative data will be used by acadzmic decision makers if it "is available, and that the use can benefit the institution.

The first study is an analysis of academic department costs for a peer group of 10 to 15 universities, includingthe University of. Massachu-. setts. 'The second study considers costs operat lonal component; aca.demics, administration, physicäl, plant, and student affairs. The opera--tional cost analysis study included majar research institútions in 4 states. The third study compares public higher education appropriations for a peer group of 17. states.

Acadomic Department Cosis-Peer. Comparisons.
Amherst campus academic deans and the provost first requested peer cost data for the academic departments in 1977. The expected use of the data in the docision making process was not stated. However; there was. an implicit understanding fhat departments found to be comparatively high Pin cons would be scrutinized and possibly given lower budget, while low. cost (or ander-funded). deparlments' would have a gond case for incredsed
budgets. A, follow-ip. peer study was performed in 1980; additional, fóllow : up studies arę expected to be performed on a regular basis".

Peer information exchanges have become more common during the 1970"s: The University of Michigan completed its first peer survey © 1974 (Adams, 1978, Volume 3). Michigan found that it'togk about 20 han-months to collect and develop the initial output. The data are used to provide perspective on the results of an internal cost analysis system. The Univer--

## $*$

 sity of Houston requested comparison cost data from 20 institutions in the late 1970's in support of a strategic planning model (Lawless et al; 1980). Houston's information exchange was initiated in response to the concern voiced by faculty and academic deans that departments should not be"compared internally to other dissimilar. departments but, instead, to similar dopartat other institutions. The University of Massachusetits academic department peer study was designed to answer the same type of questions as the Michigan and Houston studies.The mechanics of data collection included the following steps:

- identification of peer institations *
- survey desigr
- misiling and follow-up
- software design for data preparation and reports
- verification of data
- report generation.

Criteria used to select the 17 peer public institutions were geographic diversity, size, percent fulltime and percent undergraduate. The major issue in survey design was comprehensiveness versus ease of completion. The 4. 1977, peer study opted for simplicity and obtajned responses from 13 of $17^{\prime \prime}$ instifutions surveyed. The 1980 survey a'ttempted to obtain' comprohensive $\sigma$ (4) the response rate plunged to 9 of 46 institutions surveyed. The ent Fre process fron initial request to disseminat ígn of resplts on campus took an average of eight months of elapsed time and about 10 men-mgnths of, effort. The total cost was about $\$ 1,000 \%$ per study of which $\$ 7000$ was for personnel, -and the remainder wás printing, maiting and computer costs.:
' Two major areas of emérn became apparent as the peer' studies progressed. Wirst, the question of dat'a comparability; for example, the definition of fulttime: equivalent sludent was different for each ins, fity fion. Another examply: acadenic department expenditures included fringe biget it costs at. som: instifutions while such. expenses were funded from university-wide or .

* state hevel accounts, in others. The second problenf area was the quest holl of
coniparabillily of academic departments. One could argue fhat an English . department emphasizing the classics.might have a cost structure different thàn one focused on modern literature and journali,sm: The problem becomes much more acute when discussing speciālized programs such as sports studies, exercise sci.ence or Afro-American studies: The Anherst compus soiution consisted of obtaining as much information as possible on the academic emphasis of the departments in question and courining or deleting departments to obtaln a subjectively determined comparability for departments defined as similar.

Use of the study resuits in academic planning and decision-making wạs neither immediate nor dramatic: The major purpose was in identifying. outlying departments, those departments which had much higher or lower expenditures per student khan the peer mean. There was a general ragising of consciousness concerning relative expenditures at the university versus peer institutions. In particular, the Amherst campus was found to have relative high expenditures for personnel but comparatively.low expenditures. ón support categories, such as travel, supplies and equipment, etc. As a result of this obsecvation, the university administration began to both request, additional state funding for support categories and to Internally move funds into the support accounts: .

The peer'study was repeated in 1980; results were similak. At the present time, the university plans to cooperate with the University of Houston in a periodic collection of peer, cost data. The Houston study includes 20 schools, which considerably overlap with the Massachusefts peer university group. Cooperation with Houston will ailow ongoing consideration of relative expenditures for academic departments at a fairly modest cost.

Operational Cost Analysis
An increasing number of university administrators and statelevel analysts of higher education are interested in comparative cost data for the eperational components of academic institutions. The widespread-uste of formula funding approaches (Gross, 1973) is one example of the tuse of such informistion. An illustration of direct interest to the Amherst campus was tho
imposition in $19800^{\circ}$ a limit on the number of admini.strative possitions funded by the, state apiropriation.

- The interest shown by the legistature coupled with evidence of an increasing ctate governing board for highor education curiosity led to department emphasizing the classics.might have a cost. structure different than one focused on modern 'literature and journdilism: The problem becomes much more acute, when discussing speciallized programs such as sports ştudies, exercise science or Afro-American studíes: The Amherst campus sollution consisted of obtaining as much ihformation as possible on the academic emphasis of the departments in question and cexbining or deleting departments to obtain a subjectively determined comparability for departments defined as similar.

Use of the study results in academic plannlng and decision-making was neither immediate nor dramatic: The major purposse was in identifying outlying departments, those departments which had much higher or lower expenditures per student than the peer mean. There was a.general raising of consciousness concerning relátive expenditures at the university versus peer institutions. In particular, the Amherst campus was found to have relative bigh expenditures for personnel but comparatively.low expenditures. ón support categories, such as travel, supplies and equipment, etc. As a result of this obsecvation, the university administration began to both request, additional state funding for support categories dad to internally move funds into the support accounts: .

The peer' study was repeated in 1980; results were similan. At the present time, the university plans to, cooperate with the University of Houston in a periodic collection of peepr.cost data. The Houston study includes 20 schools, which considerably overlap. with the Mzssachusefis peer university group. Cooperation with Houston will ailow ongoing consideration of relative expenditures for academic departments at a fairly modest cost.

Operational Cost Analysis
An increasing number of university administrators and state level analysts of higher education are interested in comparative cost data for the operational components of academic institutions. The widespread use of formula funding approaches (Gross, 1973) is one examplye of the wise of such information. Añillustration of ditect interest to the Amherst campus was tho
inposition in 1380 of a limit on the number of administrarive postitions funded by the, state appropriation.

- . The interest shown by the legislature coupled with evidence of an increasing state governing board for highor education curiosity lod to
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a flanning Office study of formula funding and operational costs in four states - lllinois, wisconsin, Texas and Ohio. Each state either funds : the higher education enterprise via a formula based on cost analysis the last three) or performs a detailed cost analysis as part of the budget proces's' (lllinois).

The desired data were collected during site visits with major flscal officers at institutions, central offices and in the legislature. All' states were visited by the author with the exception of Ohio; in this case, a former Ohio state fiscal officer employed in Massachusetts provided the needed data and. commentary. For the formula funding states, detailed information was obtafned concerning the formula, hów to apply it to an inst!tution and any caveats which would influence use of the formula at the University of Massachusetts. Illinois provided detailed"cost study data which gave comparable information. The obtained data allowed preparat lon - of theoretical budgets, that is, budgets as if the universlty were, located in Illinois, Ohio or Texas (the Wisconsi,n formula contained many idiosyncrasies which precluded such an approach),

The theoretical budgets detailed the total level of funding the univer-

* sity coult expect if it were located in.lllinois, Texas or Ohio. . In add Ition, the budgets detailed expected funding level for the four major,"operational areas on campus: açademics, student affairs, physical plant and administration. Unfortunately, organizational differences from state to state ruled out accurate comparisons for, all four areas. However; a clear division between ácademic and non-academic operations was possible: The results were quite illuminating; academic affairs appeared to be funded less well than similar activitles in the three comparison state while, non-academle areas weref funded much more generously.

These findings were Hsed during the next budget decision cycle to protect academic area budgets at the experise of non-academic budgets. The university was forced to absorb a $\$ 2$ million budget shori fall' (fróm inflation adjusted level funding) in fiscal year 1982. Academic affáirs absorthed proportionally less of the short fall while non-academic areas were given larger cuts. The percent effect is shown in figure l; fhe total dollar benefit recoived by the academic aron in 1982 and 1983 fotaled about oriemillion dollors.

Future use of operotional carst comparison data is dependent on improvercl

Figure 1
$!$

MOVEMENT OF \$ TO ACADEMIC PROGRAMS
Allocation of FY1982 Shortfall
$\$$

Bercent of Expenditures FY1981.

Percent of Shortfall FY1982

andysis of, the non-açademic areas. 'A' detained consideration of the Amhers't campu's organizational, structure versut the comparison schools would allow direct comparison of physicail pladt costs seqparate from. administration costs and'sbi forth. 'Campus admínistrator's have not yet agreed to fund a detailed, ánalysismalfhough thè "agree, it is needed to make equitable butdget decisions for the nor-academic areas.

## State Support for Higher Educatión

The Ma'ssachusetts Board of Regents", the state geverríng, board for higher education in Massachusetts, submits the butget request for public higher education to the governor and legislaturé. One of their responsi-.. bilities is public and primate lobbying in support of the qubmitted budget. The Director of Planning on the Regent's stafff requested the support of the author in aralyzing the comparative" level of faxpayer support for pubilic higher edication in the Commonwealth. Althouh published analyses (Chronicle, 1978,1979, 1980) ranked Massachusetts 48 th or 49 h h of the 50 states, the accuracy of the data was suspect. The pulpose "of the proposed study was to obtain accurate daty on relative suppot and to use the results in the political arena.

The firṣt step in the study was the definition of helative support; should one look at dolitars per capita or at'dollars per full-time equivalent (FTE) student: Support per capita is a measure of public higher education's share of the t'otal state budgét and indicates the access provided for potential stídents. That is, a state with higher per capita supporth . is funding educational opportunities ferfa larger percentage of its resi. dents. The second possibility, dollars per FTE student, measures the levei of" support provided for students enrolled in the higher education system; i..e., the amount of money the state is opntributing per enrol!ed student. I Previous studies (Hátstead, 1982; McCoy and Halstead, 1979; Chronicle, 1978, 1979, 1980) largely considered the fir'st measure, support per capita, and excluted the second. However, enroliment in private institutions of higher eduation comprises geer $50 \%$ of Mássachusetts post-secondary enrol Iment.
 noting that the per capita measure is probably negatively correated with percent enrollment int public sector, the second meatsure was chosenisuppópt per FTE'student:

Twenty-three comparitison states were "chosen for the study. The states chcsen were a representative national sampléaccording to previously published cost studies and were perceived to be high technology states and/or yeographically and politically appropriate for the comparison study. Surveys were malled in late April 1982 with telephone follow-up. A total of. 18 states (including Massachusetts) responded, a $78 \%$ respónse rate. Special effort was made to obtain comparable dàta, especially on fringe benefit expenditures and use of tuition receipts. A copy of the survey and specific state data is available from the authorpon request.

The results, summarized on Table 1 and 2 ; were surprising when compared to previous results'. The Commonwea*th of Massachusetts funding for public higher education was close to average rather than' near the bottoro. For commity colleges and universities, Massachuseft's support p'er FTE waṣ at or near the mean for the surveyed states. Only for the four year state college wars support per FTE low (13th of 15). The results hạve been dis-- cussed with public college and university presidents and the members. of the Board of Regents. To date, no decisions have been made concerning use of the dara in the political arena. However, the data have been described as very revミaling and useful by presidents and regents. While future use is unclear, the Direator of Planning is satisfied that a major goal has beren accomplished, that of obtaining accurate data and making the internal contstituency (presidents and regents) awore of the sltuations

Summary
Three examples of comparative cost studies have been presented and discussed. While cost effectiveness data must be considered' in the context of " institutional mission, the relative quality of the units compared and, sturdent demand fof programs, "it can identify high cost or underfunded program areas. For the three studies described above, the most. important function of accurate cosi comparisondatawas to provide a more enlightened context for the jolitical budget decision process.
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\text { TABLE 1: FISCAL YEAR } 1982 \text { DATA }
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* Does not include oil related revenues from land deeded to the university from the state; inclusion of same would adc about $i 22$ to the University's $\$ / F T E$.
** Pleaso note that ohio combines a year coliege data with University data throughout this report.
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TAble 2: EXPENDITURES PER FTE: MASSACIUSETTS' RANK AND PERCENT OF MEN K


- States with larger fiE student populations are weighted more heavily.
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## breaking the "vicious circle" of decline after a faculty retrenchment:

A Cybernetic model
Louis M. Spiro and Jill F. Campbêt
Office of Analytic Studies
State University of New York
College at Brockport
I. INTRODUCTION

Budget and enrolment difficulties have been.well documented in the higher education literature during the 1970's and 1980's, and there seems to be no immediandreljef in sight. What is of greater concern, is the , nore recent crisio situation developing in the public sector and in the larger independent institutions, in addition to the small, private liberal arts colleges that have been under pressure for some time. These larger colleges and universities have management and/or planning systems yet many seefi unable to develop contingency plats or to cope with sudden budget and/or enrollment dislocations.

The initial responses to these environmental constraints tend to be fairly similar -- faculty and staff vacancies are not refilled; across the bóard budget outs arę made; maintenance i.s deferred; and retrainîing efforts are made to reallocate expertise internally -- but these are rarely success= ful since they deal-with the symptoms rather than the root causes of the problems. In many recent situatịons, faculty retrenchínent and prográm elimination have been necessary as a drastic attempt to realign the institution, its resources, its mission and its student clienteles. Unfortunately, faculty retrenchment does not guarantee an end to the se budget and enrollment woes, it may only buy a little breathing room as the cycle of decline continues,", perhaps leading to. the eventual closure of the institution. .

The contention of this paper is that the current management and planning systems are not being used to analyze many interrelationships of interrial institutional and external environmental variables, and that a new approach. is essential. Also, when organizaterfs are subjected to stress, increased attention is focused upon time-honored strategies "that have proven successful in the past, or simply upon managing and surviving
the current crisis. New methods of operation are generally ignored, leading to an instithtional. "tunnel vision at precisely the tinte when: consideration of the widest range of future options is requirè"

The sections which follow use the State Universíty of New York, college at Brockport as a caś study of an institution having budget anf-enrollment problems and seeking to readjust following a facy

- retrenchnrent

Cybernetics has as its centrat the concepts of regulation and control of systems. This discipline has tendet to focus, on machines, such à thermostats and automatic pilots, but it has also been suçcessiful in analyzing living organisms and social systems aś more complex systems that operate under the same principles.
. .The following overview of cybernetics is from Ashby ${ }^{\prime}(1956)$ and points out some of the basic components of cybernetig.' The basic problem of regulation iss that given some essentią variables of an. organism or social system (E), the acceptable levels or, states of theses. variables ( n ), the environmént ( T ) and somé external threats or disturbances ( $D$ ); to form a mechanism of regulation (R) such that after, the outcomes of the disturbances in the environment, the essential variables are kept within the acceptable levels.

One important point is that this process assumes that the essentian variables have already been identiffed anethat the acceptable state's of. these variables have been specified as the goal. The emphasịs is to achiéve the gaàl in spite of distürbances and fficulties.

To bring this discussion of cybernetics into an educational context, let us consider the following example"; An institution requires a specified nùmber of faculty to operate ( $E$ ), but $\ddot{\mathrm{t} t}$ is subjẹct to student, and budgetary aspects of the efiviroriment ( $T$ ); and the disturbance ( $D$ ) is a decline in the number of traditionally aged students. If the regulating mechanism ( $R$ ) can anticipatè this disturbance and take action, such as through tapping non-traditional student markets, the outcome to the number. of faculty will remain unchanged. However, if the initinal dectine in students' is not-readily anticipated by the regulator ( $R$ ), the first budgetary réductions in the envìronmental outcome dưe to the decline in students ( $T$ ) will cause an initial reduction in the number of faculty in ${ }^{\text {b }}$
the institution (E): This-information-will cause-the-regutator $(R)$ to - begin operating to founteract this small, initial error and prevent - larger errobrs that could threaten the required levels of faculty at the institution.

There has been less attention paid to systems in which theṣe processes amplify somè disturbance arld diverge from the original condition, rather than having them controlled or counteracted. Maruyama (1963) is. the soùrce for. the review of these deviation-amplifying systerms, which he defines as the "seçond cybernetics". Evolution of organtsms and culturai change are frequent situations where this type of process operates, and work ha's been developed in econoinic systems all. The power of 'this process that is so disproportional to the initial kick makes.planning the direction of change vital.
$\therefore$ Several important definitions relate to these types of systems:

1. Mutual causation exists only when the size-of the influence in one direction has an effect-upon the size of the influence in the other direction and is in turn affectẹ by it.
2. These relationships can be defined by many elements, and it is the formation of loops that allows the influence of an element to come back to itself through anather element. Positive relationships indicate that the changes between elements are in the same directipn. 'Negative relationships indicate that changes occur in opposite二directions.
3. A loop with an even number of negative enfluences is deviationamplifying and a loop with an odd number of negative influences is deviation-counteracting.
4. $\because$ Most systems contain poth amply fying and counteracting loops, and $\therefore$ the evertll orientation of the system is determined by the relative strengths of each loop.
5. Under chȧnged conditions, a deviation-amplifying tioopŕ can becóme deviation-counteracting, and vice versa.

## III. RESEARCH DESSIGN

Purposes
The primary purpose of this paper is to adapt the cybernetics. model, as illustrated by Maruyama, to an institution of higher educat, tion and to examine the elements that make up the mutual causal relationships.

Specifically, this entails the identification of the major elements that impact súny College at Brockport from the external environment, as well as from the internal operations and the constituencies of the College. This is to, be, followed by a determination of the positive and negative feedback relationships that exist between these elements and the cluster ing of these elenfents of the system into deviation-amplifying and deviation-counteracting loops. The survey nature of this project marks the initial attempt to estimate the perceived relative sțrength of each loop and the general orientation of. the sustem, taking the Maruyama approach from 'a methodological development to an operational technique. A secondary purpose is to consider the potential of how the façulty retrenchment process may have altered, these feedback relationships between elements and loop and system orientations.
Methodology
The important elements were pre-determined in consultation with several other administrators. 'Three basic elements" and their relationships were developed firşt -- Budget, Students and Faculty -- based on the enrollment driven nature of the SUNY system budget .process and the past-trends in these elements. Within these basic categories, a list of important elements was developed for the cybernetic model and then randomlyassigned aplace on the survey'form (see Table 1). Jhese elements were then placed in a matrix format with the main diagonal and one-half of the matrix "X"ed out. This wäs to eliminate the evaluation of a variable by itself. and the need to evaluate converse relationships, since once $A-B$ was indicated by a value, $B-A$ should have the same value. Attachments to each survey form included an introduction to the survey; . directions for its completion, the range of possible positive and negativé values from -5 to +5 , and examples of negative relationships, no relationship and positive relationships.

The expldratory nature of this survey, suggested a relatively small sample, and it'was determined that ten surveys each would be administered to faculty, student and administrator groups.

Survey forms/were personally delivered or mailed țo faculty and admuritrators.after a personal contact designed to:increase the response rate. Completed surveys were unsigned and returned ith unmarked envelopes to insure confidentiality and anonymity. The student surveys were

Table 1:• Budget, Student and Faculty Elements for the Cybernetic. Model

Budget

New Program Development10
Program Discontinuance ..... 12
Unemployment Rates' ..... 16
Inflation Rates ..... 20
Budget Allocations ..... 21
Students Number of New Students ..... - 1
Total Number of Students ..... 3
Competition Within SUNY ..... $4^{\circ}$
Student Attrition ..... 5
Marketing Strategies ..... 6

- Admissions Standards ..... 17
Financial Aid Eligibility ..... 18
External Image of Quality ..... 22
Number of High School and Community College Grads ..... 23
Faculty Program Articulation with Community Colleges ..... 2
Major Advisement ..... 7
General Education Program ..... 8
Faculty Research and Publications ..... 9
Quality of Instruction ..... 11.
Public Service ..... 13
Program Review. ..... 14
- Program Quality ..... 15
Number of Faculty ..... 19
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administered personally at the end of a class session and collected upon. their completion. The nature of the student survey insured one-hundred percent completion and return. Administrators also had a one-hundred. percent response rate, perhaps because of the initial personal contact. Faculty had a rather disappointing forty pefcent response rate, but the impending faculty retrenchment and associated concerns may have been an over-riding factor,
Analysis
The major emphasis of the project is the examination of the three distind groups of respondents, in terms of the elements relating to the cybernetic model. Therefore, an analys is of 'Individual respondents to form similar groups was required. The technique chosen to group similar respondents. was Q Factor Analysis, where instead of clustering variables into factors. (as Ractor Analysis) the respondents themselves were clustered into factors. 'Since the Q Factor Analysis procedure was not available on the existing version of SPSS (Nie et al, 1975) the data was inverted before analysis so that the rows were equivalent to the element relationships values and the columns were equivalent to the respondents. A standard factor analysis procedure was performed, including the use, of communality estimates through iteratian, and a VARIMAX rotation.

## IV. RESULTS

A two factor solution with a VARIMAX rotation was.identified as a trade-off position between the least possible number of factors representing groups of $\eta$ espondents, with a reasonable pattern of loadings of each respondent with a factor in the rotated solution.

The student, faculty and administrator respondent groups disappear in the potated factor solution. Of the 16 respondents comprising factor 1 , they include 7 out of $\leqslant 10$ students, 7 out of 10 administrators and 2 out of 4 fáculty. Factor 2 has the remaining 3 students, 3 administrators and 2 faculty.

For each factor group and for the total group of all respondentso the "average rating for each of the possible element pairs, was calculated. The positive and/or negative response values for all members of the factor, group were totaled and then this total was divided by the number of . respondents in the group to arrive at an averaqe rating of the element pair relationships. "Very few element pairs have average ratings of "ho relationship" but the vast majority of them fall into the low "positfue
relationship" and low "negative relationship" ranges (0.1 to 2.5 and -0.1 to -2.5 ). Only a few are moderate to high positive relationstips ( 2.6 to 5.0 ) and there are no moderate to high negative relationships. The specific element pair's with.moderate to ligh relationships are used for the remainder of the analysis, and it should be noted that all of these systems are deviation-amplifying since there are an even number of negetive relationships, zero in all cases.
: Figures 1 and 2 provide the diagrams for the total respondents view of these processes and their generalized relationships. There is a high degree of complexity in-this diagram as seen by the incredible number of. potential łoops that can be established to indicate mutual causal relationships. These elements can be reduced by diagramming only the elements with the highest number of linkages. There are direçt interrelationships between all of the possible element pairs'with the exception of the number of new students and the total number of students, where there is indirect link. What is apparent is that minor disturbances in this deviation= amplifying system can flow through a mymed of channels to move the entire system farther and farther away from its original condition withoūt being easily monitored, regulated or controlled.

It is interesting to note how few relationships are perceived for the budgetary allocation and number of faculty elements, as well as their relatively low to moderate, element relationship ratings'.;

The emphasis is on student numbers and quality issues, particularly the total number of students, the number of new students and the external image of quality. This may have been a function of the coincident faculty retrenchment process that had been well publicized and which had specified program quality and student demand as major evaluative factors. It is possible that the system percepțions that were obtained were somewhat affered and that the budgetary and faculty elements should have been more prominent: In any event, these elements, processes and deviat tonamplifying systems are quite useful in trying to understand the complexities of the current problems and in identifying means to cope with them.
V. RECOMMENDATIÓNS FOR CHAAGE •

It would not be an overgeneralization to suggest that SUNY College at Brockport is in a deviation-amplifying system characterized by fairly abrupt changes. The condition has gone from an oversupply of students

Figure 1: Total Respondent Diagram of Element Relationships
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with budget and faculty resources trying to catch up, to the current condition of an undersupply of students with budget and faculty resources being removed quickly. What makes, these changes more frustrating is that there is no simple explanation of how the change started, why it. $r$ continued, how it moved from a positive to a negative direction for the institution, and how or if the change can, be stabilized or reversed.

The most obyious problem that currently exists is that the level of complexity and inter-relatedness of the various elements are not recognized - or well understood. The same problems appear in many different contexts over time and never seem to be satisfactorily resolved. The failure of this piecemear approach is not surprising cknsidering the dtagramis of element/relationships.

Another area of concern is the identification of stabilizing factors that can be built into the system some of its component roops, to change ? 'iterfrom a deviation-amplifying to a deviation-counteracting system. This ' is particularly important because of the roller coaster nature of enrollment, faculty and budget that hàs been experfenced at Brockport. One mechanism of stabilization is admissions standards that can be negatively related to the number of new students. Modification of this element can be deviation-counteracting, but its effects on other elements of the system, ie. program quality and external image of quality, must be closely monitored. New program development could also be negatively related to the number of new and total students, so that as the number of students decreased, the new program development would be increased: The types of additional elementis that could be developed as negative relationships.or positive ones that could be changed are limited only by the system effects that are to be accomplished. In the current situation, an initial kick to increase program quality, the external image of quality and/or marketing strategies would seem to be appropriate and could spread throughout the system elements to increase the total number of students and the number of new. students. Once the levels of students, faculty and budget are in balance at acceptable levels, then the deviation-amplifying system can be modified to become a deviation-counteracting bne.

The extablishment of specific godals and the creation of a dectsion making process te ensure that they are achieved are essential to the -understanding and modification of cybernetic systems. As mentioned earlier, specific goals are assumed to already exist by, these cybernetic
systems and the specification of acceptable levels or ranges of essential. elements are required in order to determine if error conditions exist and to provide regulation to either maintain or return the essential elements to acceptable levels. The lack of specific goals and the, identification of essential element levels prevents the mission of the College and its day-do-day operations from interfacing. effectively. The control of/day- ? today operations is not possible since there is no overall context within which they can be evaluated and compared. While they may seem to be in control at the unit level, and perhaps be seen as functioning in the best interests of the institution, at the systemslevel they, may be contributing to deviation-amplifying forces that ate not apparent and that actually work counter to the best interests of the College.

The final recommendation is the developmentiof an information mech- . anim that not only monitors potential disturbances in the environment, such as the decline in high school graduates and the changing participatimon rates, but att so evaluates the outcomes of change in terms' of the essential institutional variables. If these outcomes indicate a threatening situation, this information component should be able to provide recommendations for action that take into account the, system inter ~ relationships. A decision making process should also be instituted so that when the evaluation of outcomes indicates action or reaction, the appropriate response will be initiated and brought to a successful conclusion.
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INSTITUTIONAL RECEARCH IN AN EXPERIMENTAL-SETTTING: EXPERTENGéS WTTH THE NEW YORK STATE REGENTS EXTERNAL DEGREE PROGRAM.
-Elizabeth Taylor, Ed.D.
Office of Institutional Research ${ }^{*}$ Regentrs External Degree Program

## Introduction

The Regents External Degree Program of the State/University. of the State of $\mathrm{Ne}^{3}$ York (REX) was oreated by the New York State 'Board of Regents' in 1970 as an experimental proğram, based on the concept that what a person knows is more important than how cor'where the $e^{\dot{*}}$ knowledge was acquired. Its central mission is "to provide añ opportunity for earing a college degree to academically able individuals at low cost, regardless of age, place . of residence, prior edu*ational credentials, or constraints imposed by occtipation. ${ }^{1}$ The program implements this mission by offering.academic recognition in the form of credit and degrees to- students who have demonstrated college-level learning through examinations, college coursework completed through other ačeredited académic institutions, and/or other approved means.

The Program currently offers eight degrees: two associate degrees and two baccalaureate degrees in the arts and sciences, two associatye degrees in nursing, and baccalfureate degrees in , business and nursing, Over 50,000 studuents are or have ben involyed ir the program since its beginning. About 16,000.are currently active candidates.
:. REX differs from other more traditional colleges in that
is offers no direct instruction.
"The Regents External Degree

- .drasticallychanges four of the procedures traditionally associated with American higher education and may will chànge the fifth. Formal admission requirements are abandoned, all effective methods of learning are accepted as valid, varied methods (both old and new) of measuring accomplishment are used, and the degree is awarded by what is as much a department of government as was the University of London until 1900."2

Students in the program are feferred to as candidates, and there are $\mathrm{n} q$ campus and no classrooms off-campus. Insfead, the staff assesses and documentsilearning that takes plácè somewhere elsor through several means, including the verification of transcripts and the development of tests to assess college-1evel learning. As candidates progress thr申ugh the program they can receive written and verbal advising about thethods to earn credit.

Faculty involved in the program are composed of facuift $\dot{y}$ mémbers from colleges and universities who have agreed to serve on committees to set standards and goals for the program. Faculty committees meet several toimes a year to discuss , policy and to review candidates for graduation.

The day-to-day activities of the program are performed by the staff. The program is divided into units that have' responsibility for specific functions, such as the Registrar. *. and Test Development. For example, personnel in the Office of Independent Study perform the kinds of tasks most closeiy associated with faculty and counsellor at a more traditional
projects outside of nursing needed to be coordinated: Provisions were made to create the position of Institutional Researcher. As originally planned, the Institutional Researcher would have responsibility for the generation of information regarding various aspects of the REX Program. The researcher would interact with various audiences to determine their information , needs, develop strategies for generating the required infer: mation, carry out data capture activities, implement systems $\because$ for data storage, analyze data in appropriate ways to yield, the required information, and present the information effectlively in written and oral form.

Research Needs of An External Degree Program
The fact that REX candidates do- not reside on a centralized campus leads to some unique needs for information. Contact between faculty and candidates is minimal. Contact between'. staff and candidates, is somewhat greater, but not every candidate receives personal contact. Thus there was basic need to discover just who the candidates were.. Much anecdotal devidence existed to indicate the kinds of people who needed the .. services REX provides. Systematic collection of information was now needed to give a clearer picture of candidates.

Beyond simple data gathering, there was an internal need for a centralized research office to aid in the integration of research. findings into the daily decision-making process. Staff members make decisions about student advisement. and the interpretation of ppogram policies on a continuous basis. Information to base these decisions on was, generally anecdotal, based on the experierices of former candidates. A clear information base was
projects outside of nursing needed to be coordinated: Provisions were made to create the position of Institutional Researcher. As originally planned, the Institutional Researcher would have responsibility for the generation of information regarding ヘ various aspects of the REX Program. The researcher would interact with various audiences to determine their information needs, develop strategies for generating the required infermation, carry out data capture activities, implement systems for data storage, analyze data in appropriate ways to yield, the required information, and present the information effect-. lively in written and oral form.

Research Needs of An External Degree Program
The fact that REX candidates do not reside on a centralized campus leads to some unique" needs for information. Contact between faculty and candidates is minimal. Contact between". staff and candidates, is somewhat greater, but not every candidate receives personal contact. Thus there was ma basic need to discover just who the candidates were. Much anecdotal devidence existed to indicate the kinds of people who needed the .. services REX provides. Systematic collection of information was now needed to give a clearer picture of candidates.

Beyond simple data gathering, there was an internal need for a centralized research office to aid in the integration of research findings into the daily decision-making process. Staff members make decisions about student advisement. and the interpretation of ppogram policies on a continuous basis. Information to base these decisions on was generally anecdotal, based on the experiences of former candidates. A clear information base was
needed to provide cohesive policy advisement rather than idiosyncratic intếrpretations.

A third need was for the integration of "reseal chofindings into the long-term planning and management of the program. In s. a rapidly expanding experimental program long térm.planning goals ofteft remain in the background as critical shomt-tepm need are encountered. Now that the program had reached a point where . . natural ' expansion and development were beginning in in it was possible to reflect on what had been achieved and the best direction for the future.

Finding the Candidates
The first task' was to. determine just who the candidates were. This task was complicated by the enrollment and gradualtimon process. Candidates' may enroll in REX'at any time 'o fe the year:: Thus there are new enrollment figures on a daily basis. Candidates may graduate from REX at several times during the year: In addition, candidates may become "inactive" at several times. during the year. A candidate is categorized as inactive n or she has not paid the annual fee for two consecutive years. Inactives may be dropouts, or they may be pausing for personal reasons, with the intention of returning. As of April, 1982,. REX, transferred to a monthly billing system, and candidates are now. classified as inactive after. two months rather than two "years.

Finding the actual number of candidates the program at. any given time is complicated by all these factors. Once a time is selected, the groups that fit the criterial of or being inactive, active or graduated can be identified amd sampled. For: research purposes thad groups is then frozen during that week;
even though their actual status may change as jon as the next. . week.

Contacting the selected sample is the next challenge in and external degree setting. All candidates to be contacted must be reached by mail. Their, addresses are available from a form they complete when they first enroll.' These addresses, however, may have changed since the enrollment form was completed. Thus there are some candidates who cannot be reached until they choose to update their addresses. This is particularly true of the inactive candidates who were not identified until two years after they chose not to pay the annual fee.

The 1981 Candidate/Graduate Survey: An Example
' The first large scale study of candidates' characteristics illustrates some of the problems inherent in identifying and reaching candidates. The status of candidates was frozen for May, 1981. From the information available at that time a sample of candidates were selected. . These candídates were mailed a four page survey asking for basic information such as previous schooling, occupation, and satisfaction with the program.

Approximately 6,000 surveys were mailed out. The response rates varied tremendously between actives, inactive and graduates. The number of undeliverable surveys due to incorrect addresses was approximately $7 \%$ for active, candidates, $12 \%$ for graduates and $34 \%$ for inactive. For example, of the surveys returned by inactive in the Business Degree Programs, $80 \%$ were undeliverable because of an unknown address.?

In an experimental program it is difficult to determine whether the surveys that are returned are representative of the
population because the population is often not well-defined. In an external degree program this becomes even, more difficult because there are few other sources of background information. For the 1981 Candidaté/Graduate Survey certain questions were repeated from the enrollment form so that the sample could be chẽcked against the total population. Variables such as gender, Education*previous to enromlment and"military status were used as internal checks becuase they tended not to change over time. In this case, the respondents were similar enough to the known population to warrant further consideration of the responses. Other Sources of Information

Beyond the mailed survey, direct contact with candidates is exceedingly difficult in an external degree program. Even telephone contact becomes formidable when candidates may reside over. seas, on an isolated military/base, or anywhere in the United States However; indirect information can provide some clues as to hew candidates progress through the program and how they perceive the process.

A first source of information is the actual, enroflmont, graduation and attrition figures. Degree program within REX vary as to their requirements, and the rate of progxess through the degree varies. However, if an unusually high number of candidates suddenly enter or leave the program it may. be an indication that candidate perceptions of the program have changed. For example, when the Bachelor of Science in Nursing Degreebecame accreditated by the National League of Nursing, a group of candidates who were inactive re-errolled in that program to finnish their. degrees.

Another sourse of information is available from program advisors. The advisement staff has contact with candidates through the mail and over the telephone, and they form strong and valid impressions of candidates needs and opinions.'. The Registrar's office also has direct contact with candidates when they verify transcripts, as do volunteer advisors in the field. Though these views of the candidates may be somewhat fragmented, when looked at as a whole they reveal a great deal about candidate characteristics.

Other secondary sources are available from examining candidate test performance, performance in other educational settings ass evidenced from transcripts and military records. Each can provide information about a specific problem or can be integrated to provide a more complete picture of the program. Use Of Available Information: Establishing Creditability

One of the pitfalls of establishing a new research office is that once the initial tasks are completed the tendency is to continue the pattern of addressing short term needs for information while ignoring long-term planning. The need for all kinds. of information is great, and the researcher may become focused on providing a great deal of information for individuals without establishing a base of information needed for the institution as a whole. In an' experimental setting such 'as REX this temptation is even greater because there are no proestablished data collection requirements. Thus the researcher can easily become useful only in dealing with short-term Arises or problems.

The next task, then, is to establish the creditability of
the office as a tool for long-term planning. In the case of REX, this was initially a process fo establishing priorities on the needs for information. The first step was to survey the staff and the faculty about their goals for the program. To accomplish this a modified version of the Tnistitutional Goals Inventory ${ }^{4}$ was used. Results for both professional and clerical staff were compared to responses from the faculty'. This initial survey indicated that the general goals established for the program were.still considered important by both facilly and staff. ${ }^{5}$

From these goals it was possible to begin to prioritize actual research tasks in a series of planning meetings held. with unit coordinators. By specifying research tasks it is possible to provide information that can then be used to lay the groundwork for further planning and research. The research. office must strongly affirm its.role in producing information to meet these needs not only for more information but for better management of that information.

## Conclusion

Research in an external degree setting is a challenge when the usual subjects of study are far removed from the institution Some non-traditional methods of data capture have been examined. \& for use with external-degree staff and candidates. The role of research within an experimental system has also been examined and reaffirmed. Emphasis must be placed on the need to provide coherent, cohesive information for the institution as a whole.
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L.EADERSHIP' IN PRIVATE LIBERAL ARTS COLLEGES
(9:30 - 9:5S A.H.)
Cloris 1. Dyer
Fairleitb Diekingon Vaiversity
STUDENT CHOICES: WHY ARE ELECTIVE COURSES ELECTED?
(10:00 - 10:25 A.H.)
Robert Y. Groae
Ahtrat Collegt
TRACK IV - PROFESSIONAL. DEVELOPMENT SEMINAR

MICROCOMPUTERS IN INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH (9:00-20:20 A.H. , Xesras rest foam)

Romid L. Ortute toment

10:30 = NOON
TRACK I - PAPER PRESENTATIONS
(VIadeor Hoom)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Moderstor: Kd Delsusy } \\
& \text { Keste Collese }
\end{array}
$$

AN ACADEMIC MANAGEMENT DLTATA BASE; INSTRUCTIONAL UNIT
PROFILES AT SUNY-BUEFALO*
(10:30-10:5S A.H.)
Lovrence Kojsku sum - mitele

INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH IN AN EXPERIMENTAL SETTING: EXPERIENCES WITH THE

- NEW YORK STATE REGENTS EXTERNAL DEGREE PROGRAM,
(1]:00 - H1:2S A.M.)
Elizabeta Taylor
MY Kegeate Euterapi Degríe Esogioce
$\therefore$ THE DESIGN AND DPPLEMENTATION OR, AN EVENING STUDENT SURVEY: METHODOLOGICAL ISSUES AND PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS
(2t:30-11:SS A.H.)
Linde Lyata
Jerter City itste Colfege

TRACK : PANEL DISCUSSION
(Charles Roon)

- the tratining and use of peld CONSULTANTS IN DEPARTMLNTAL SEIIVEVALUATIONS *
(to. 30 - Noon) "
Harjorie $X$. Reab, Tom Feraandez, Anne Chriatian, John Q, Ademe, Elliot Kitay, and Terty $V$ O'Duyer Nafeat Comounity College
TRACK $1 \| 1$ - PANEL DISCUSSICN
(Berkehire Auditoriun)
PRORESSIONAL PERSPECTIVE ON INSTITUTIONAL. RESEARCH (10:30 - Noon)
- Nuth H. Dewpery

Panel Coordinator
Seint Vincent College
TAACK IV - PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT' SEM1 FAR
(Kearyaete Room)

DEVELOPINC DATA INTLGKITY ANI USRR CONFIUENCE IN CENTRALIZEU MANAGEMENT INEORMATION SYSTEMS

Joha P. Nindryk
SUNY - New Paliz.
Hichael Middauth
4 SUNY - Utiscs

- PLEASE REMEMBER TO COMPLETE AN EVALUATION FORM BEITORE LEAVING THE CONFERENCE
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## Noeth <br> 4stinciation fer <br> factutiva <br> igetictis

 executed by sharon Heyeack, $H, 8$. In Comuatication Denign, Rochentor Iantitute of Technology. It ${ }^{4}$ aymbolisen the effilistion of riaik with the iaternationel Apsocintion for Inatitutional geppirch. the networkiaf and cooperation witch the Associstion amports, and the flow of information in pad out of on IR offica. The northeset quidrant is highlighted, to ouphasise the close relot iononips soons It profnosionelp is thet ires, and thelr formol speociation.


[^0]:    Educom
    2 Execucom

[^1]:    - "Inadequate" can mean one of three things: 1) the implementation of the computerized function was a poor one. 2) experience with a system has led to perceptions of need for greater capacity or increased function, or 3) the system involved has become overloaded, and no longer performs some of its functions adequately.

[^2]:    *Tnis work was a collaborative effort of H . Agee, Americar University, M. Bell, Georgetown University, W. D. Johnson, George Washington University and J. P. Whalen, The Wash ; ton Consortium of Universities.

[^3]:    *Standardized questionnaires used in the study were selected from the set of student outcomes questionnaires jointly developed by the College Board and the National fenter for Higher Education Management Systems (Gray, et al, 1979).

[^4]:    *Sifnificant at . 05 level of confidence.

[^5]:    *This study was done as part of a requirement to complete an M.A. Jegree ill Clinical Psychology. Anyone who would like a more detailed explanation of the methetology or results is invited to request a copy of the write-up of that study.

[^6]:    * Points were assigned as follows: 5 points for the most influential factor,

[^7]:    ${ }^{2}$ Early: development work was performed by Ramasubramanian (1979) in conjunction with master's degree thesis.

